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Addressing the Problem of Digital Divide
United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

SDG Target 9c
“Significantly increase access to information and communications technology and strive to provide universal and affordable access to the Internet in least development countries by 2020”

LCDs = Least Developed Countries (48 countries)

Note: *Estimate.
Source: ITU World Telecommunication/ICT Indicators database.
### Reality of Affordability vs Reach Challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Billions of People on Earth</th>
<th>Average Annual Income</th>
<th>Affordable monthly communications spend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} Billion</td>
<td>$29,206</td>
<td>$205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} Billion</td>
<td>$12,722</td>
<td>$53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} Billion</td>
<td>$5,540</td>
<td>$23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4\textsuperscript{th} Billion</td>
<td>$2,987</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5\textsuperscript{th} Billion</td>
<td>$1,771</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6\textsuperscript{th} Billion</td>
<td>$1,065</td>
<td>$4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7\textsuperscript{th} Billion</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Richard Thanki, University of Southampton, from UN & ITU Data
Providing cost-effective **RURAL** broadband is a significant opportunity

- Today, **73%** of the people in the world (5.1 Billion people) do not have access to internet. More than half the population in the world live in rural areas with hardly any access to broadband.

- It is **expensive** to lay fiber / cable in rural and remote areas with low population density.

- **Wireless broadband** powered by license exempt or lightly licensed spectrum can help.

- **Backhaul / backbone internet access for rural areas** is very expensive (50% of the cost). Hence long distance communications technologies are very useful as well.

- **Digital-Divide is in Reality a Middle-Mile Divide** – Optical Fiber/ Coaxial Backbones near Urban Hubs, IEEE 802.11 Wi-Fi for the Last Mile Connectivity. Wi-Fi alternatives exist for long distance Line of Sight connectivity. There is no affordable solution for Non Line of Sight (NLoS) Ranges from 5 km to 30 km.

- **This has created a DIGITAL DIVIDE / OPPORTUNITY**
How the Use of Television WhiteSpaces can Solve the Problem of Digital Divide
Spectrum: Optimum frequency range for large area Non-Line-of-sight Broadband Access

Optimum frequency range for large area Non Line of Sight (NLoS) operation falls within the TV Band spectrum.
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- Ground wave reach
- % bandwidth
- Filter selectivity
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- Foliage absorption
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 FIGURE SPECTRUM: OPTIMUM FREQUENCY RANGE FOR LARGE AREA NON-LINE-OF-SIGHT BROADBAND ACCESS

Courtesy: Gerald Chouinard: gerald.chouinard@crc.ca
What are TV Band WhiteSpaces (Video)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MCUUSGVGjV4
IEEE WhiteSpace Applications

Before

Rural Broadband and Backhaul

Now

Nothing

TVWS

High Speed Internet
TVWS: Much Larger distance covered at much lower power transmission. Allows operation using Solar Panels

- Provides 3-4x the range and 9-16x the coverage of current 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi (40 mWatts). Multi-kilometer range at higher power (up to 4 Watts EIRP).

Source: Microsoft Presentation at the WhiteSpace Alliance, Global Summit on WhiteSpaces, New Delhi, 2015
TV WhiteSpace Database (Entire USA)

Shows the Number of Channels Available in the US for WhiteSpace Operation

https://www.google.com/get/spectrumdatabase/channel/

Most Database Providers in the USA use the IETF Protocol to Access WhiteSpaces (PAWS) Standard for connectivity between Devices and Database
TV WhiteSpace Database (Specific Locations)

Search for white space spectrum in your area:

- Device type: [What's this?]
  - Fixed
  - Portable

- Address: [Enter location]
- Latitude: [Enter value]
- Longitude: [Enter value]
- Height (m): [Enter value]
- Height type: [Enter type]

[Search] [Reset]

Shows the Number of Channels Available in Kansas City, Kansas.
More than 10 WhiteSpace Channels of 6 MHz each available for communications

https://www.google.com/get/spectrumdatabase/channel/
TV WhiteSpace Availability (Africa)

Television Spectrum Occupancy in African Countries in 2012

- Africa is huge by area and as an economy
- Low internet penetration, large areas to cover and availability of plenty of TV WhiteSpaces makes WhiteSpace Communications ideal for African and other developing economies

Source: H. Nwana, WhiteSpace Alliance, Global Summit on WhiteSpaces, New Delhi, 2015
India - UHF Band-IV (470-590MHz)
Over 100 MHz of WhiteSpaces Available

Band Characteristics

1. Primary user: Doordarshan
   373 transmitters overall

2. 15 channels of 8MHz each

3. At any place at least 12 out of 15 channels are always available

4. Better propagation characteristics than existing unlicensed band

5. Potential for providing affordable rural broadband

* Using protection viewpoint [Mishra-Sahai’09]
TV WhiteSpaces Applications and Trials Around the World
TV WhiteSpace Regulations and Trials Around the World

- **Completed WhiteSpace Regulations**
- **On-going WhiteSpace Regulations**
- **Trials Conducted**
What are TV Band WhiteSpaces (Video)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TuW5zNUdizI
WhiteSpace Applications

- **Triple play**
- **Cellular offload**
- **Critical infrastructure monitoring**

- **Border protection**

- **Emergency broadband infrastructure**

- **Environment monitoring**

Applications:
- Triple play
- Cellular offload
- Critical infrastructure monitoring
- Border protection
- Emergency broadband infrastructure
- Environment monitoring
WhiteSpace Applications

Archipelago and marine broadband service.
Servicing oil rigs

Remote medical service

C. W. Pyo, A. Mody et al. Use Cases for IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR® Smart Grid and Critical Infrastructure Monitoring)
Trial of the IEEE 802.22 Trial, Tono, Japan

https://mentor.ieee.org/802.22/dcn/17/22-17-0058-00-0000-video-of-nict-802-22-trials-tono-japan.wmv
TV WhiteSpace Trials in India

Many WhiteSpaces Pilots are under way in India. Large scale Pilots likely to happen this year – Assam, Telangana, Gujarat

Source: Saankhya Labs – [www.saankhyalabs.com](http://www.saankhyalabs.com)
TV WhiteSpace Trials in India (Video – IITB)

IIT Bombay Palghar TVWS Testbed HD
TV WhiteSpace Trials Around the World

**Philippines.** Same network was re-used to establish connectivity after the Hurricane Haiyan

**Singapore:** Public Safety Network

Source: Pankaj Sharma, I2R Singapore, Presentation from the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
TV WhiteSpace Trials Around the World

TV WhiteSpace Activities in Africa
The UK: Challenges with Broadband Provisioning, and TVWS Opportunities

Many rural areas of the UK are still challenged in terms of broadband performance

- Right-top: Household broadband performance in Mbps for an area of the UK of approx. 90*60 km
- Right-bottom: Number of (8 MHz) TV channels available for same area, >= 1W allowed Tx EIRP, Tx antenna 30m above ground level
- Rural broadband often <5 Mbps; in much of this area could be provided over TV white space instead with over 100 MHz, even 150 MHz, b/w

Slide courtesy of Oliver Holland, King’s College London: oliver.holland@kcl.ac.uk
TV WhiteSpaces Regulations at a Glance
Completed WhiteSpace Regulations
On-going WhiteSpace Regulations
Trials Conducted
Regulation is different from standardization

**Regulations**
- Sets Limits of Operation to cause no interference to the Primary Services - e.g. TV Transmission

**Standardization**
- Provides optimal and inter-operable protocols and devices to meet specific applications using the spectrum – e.g. LANs, RANs, Database Access

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
### Comparison of TVWS Frequency Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCC (MHz)</th>
<th>Canada (MHz)</th>
<th>Ofcom (MHz)</th>
<th>IDA (MHz)</th>
<th>RSM (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- TVWS frequency range in North America and Singapore span from VHF to UHF while in Europe is only in UHF.
- Frequency range will affect the antenna size.

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
## Comparison of Available TVWS Spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of channels</th>
<th>Channel Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
<th>Total available TVWS spectrum (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofcom</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDA (Singapore)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSM (NZ)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- TVWS spectrum in Singapore exclude operating TV broadcast channels
- TVWS spectrum in New Zealand is only for trials

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
### Comparison of WSD Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCC</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Ofcom</th>
<th>IDA</th>
<th>RSM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed WSD, Mode I WSD, Mode II WSD, Sensing only WSD</td>
<td>Fixed WSD, Mode I WSD, Mode II WSD</td>
<td>Master WSD, Slave WSD</td>
<td>Fixed WSD, Mode I WSD, Mode II WSD</td>
<td>Fixed, Base station, Mobile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Similar structure which consist of WSDs that have the ability to access WSDB and another type of WSDs that determine the available channels from other WSDs instead of WSDB
- Only FCC supports sensing-only WSDs

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
### Comparison of Power Limits - FCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of WSD</th>
<th>EIRP (6MHz)</th>
<th>Conducted power limit (6 MHz)</th>
<th>PSD limit (100 kHz)</th>
<th>OOB limit (100 kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>36dBm</td>
<td>30dBm (1W)</td>
<td>12.6dBm</td>
<td>-42.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32dBm</td>
<td>26dBm (0.4W)</td>
<td>8.6dBm</td>
<td>-46.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28dBm</td>
<td>22dBm (158mW)</td>
<td>4.6dBm</td>
<td>-50.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24dBm</td>
<td>18dBm (63mW)</td>
<td>0.6dBm</td>
<td>-54.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20dBm</td>
<td>14dBm (25mW)</td>
<td>-3.4dBm</td>
<td>-58.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16dBm</td>
<td>10dBm (10mW)</td>
<td>-7.4dBm</td>
<td>-62.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/portable (adj. channel)</td>
<td>16dBm</td>
<td>16dBm (40mW)</td>
<td>-1.4dBm</td>
<td>-56.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing only</td>
<td>17dBm</td>
<td>17dBm (50mW)</td>
<td>-0.4dBm</td>
<td>-55.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other personal/portable</td>
<td>20dBm</td>
<td>20dBm (0.1W)</td>
<td>2.6dBm</td>
<td>-52.8dBm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Canada will initially harmonize with USA until further release of WSDs’ details

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
Comparison of Power Limits - IDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of WSD</th>
<th>EIRP limit (8 MHz)</th>
<th>Adjacent channel limit (100 kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>36dBm (4W)</td>
<td>-56.8dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode I/II</td>
<td>20dBm (0.1W)</td>
<td>-56.8dBm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 2 channels adjacent to local DTV broadcast are blocked off
- No OOB if WSDs are operating in TV channels that are not adjacent to any TV broadcasting channels
- WSDs’ signal power propagated to Malaysia’s border will must be below -115dBm

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
Comparison of Power Limits – ETSI & Ofcom

\[ P_{OOB}(dBm/0.1MHz) < \max\{P_{IB}(dBm/8MHz) - AFLR(dB), -84\} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where (P_{OOB}) falls within the (n^{th}) adjacent DTT channel</th>
<th>ACLR (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=\pm1)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=\pm2)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=\pm3)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
### WhiteSpace Database Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCC</th>
<th>Ofcom (not exhaustive)</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Min. WSDB output data</strong></td>
<td>Avail. TV channels</td>
<td>1) Start and end frequencies of available bands, 2) Maximum power levels</td>
<td>Avail. TV channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3) Maximum power spectral density levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4) Time validity of data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WSD access freq.</strong></td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Default time validity of data</strong></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>According to database response</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location accuracy</strong></td>
<td>50 meters</td>
<td>100 meters</td>
<td>50 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserve channels for WSDs</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oh Ser Wah, Presentation on WhiteSpace Regulations at the WhiteSpace Alliance Global Summit, New Delhi, India, 2015
Standards Supporting TV WhiteSpaces
Standardization for TVWS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standardization body</th>
<th>Working group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IETF PAWS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 802</td>
<td>802.22 (Wi-FAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>802.11af (Wi-Fi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>802.15.4m (ZigBee, Wi-SUN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>802.19.1 (Coexistence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE DySPAN Standards Committee</td>
<td>1900.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1900.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1900.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NICT Presentation at the WhiteSpace Alliance, Global Summit on WhiteSpaces, New Delhi, 2015
IEEE 802.11 AF Local Area Networks Standard

Rich Kennedy, rich.kennedy@hpe.com
Abstract

As the Internet of Everything (IoE) becomes a reality, IEEE 802.11af strives to create a wireless world that enables Spectrum for Everything (SfE). This presentation will describe some of the unique advantages of 802.11af technology, and how it opens up huge opportunities for networks working in license-exempt spectrum.
Introduction

• The technology of the devices
  – Drawing on the advances of IEEE 802.11ac
  – Range in the TV bands

• Geo-location database as an enabler
  – The database
  – The RLSS

• The Future
IEEE 802.11af Radio Technology

- **IEEE 802.11ac** is designed to operate in the 5 GHz bands
  - Tremendous capacity gains
  - Up to 160 MHz channels for over 1 Gbps throughput
  - Able to operate in multiple, non-contiguous channels

- **IEEE 802.11af** uses the same leading-edge technology, and scales it down for smaller channels
  - Can operate in 6, 7 or 8 MHz channels or multiples of them to match the TV band allocations
  - At least 5x times range advantage over 11ac, maximizing data throughput over longer range micro-cells
Channel Bandwidth Flexibility

NOTE: This channel not present for TVHT_W

primaryTVHT_W: any single BCU channel
secondaryTVHT_W: the non-primary TVHT_W channel

0-n BCUs:
0 for TVHT_2W
1-n for TVHT_W+W,
where n depends on Operating Class

TVHT_2W

0-n BCUs:
0 for TVHT_4W
1-n for TVHT_2W+2W,
where n depends on Operating Class

primaryTVHT_2W: any single BCU channel
secondaryTVHT_2W: the non-primary TVHT_2W channel in the same TVHT_2W channel group
secondaryTVHT_2W: the TVHT_2W channel group that does not contain the primaryTVHT_W
Geo-location Databases

• Designed to maximize the use of under-utilized spectrum
  – For Experimental Licensed (like the US databases, where 24-hours is the standard of enablement)
  – For localized control (campuses, large enterprises, etc.)

• A Registered Location Secure Server can maintain the area knowledge and be the enabler for one or more small networks
The RLSS provides the database function for multiple networks. It can access a regulatory database, or using centrally mounted antennas, provide available channel information based on sensing of the spectrum.
Regulatory Flexibility

- Regulatory limits are set in Operating Classes
- Originally designed with the FCC in mind (because it had the only published rules at the time), the elements for operating in various regulatory domains gets coded into the Operating Classes
- As more regulatory domains specify their rules, it require only the specification of the appropriate classes, or creating new classes
Some Future Examples

• In other bands, this technology can be used to provide interference-free spectrum access for special applications
  – For geo-survey satellite bands, it can secure the spectrum while a satellite is scanning a region, and share it when it is not, based on the highly predictable satellite path
  – For defense use of spectrum, eliminates the need to provide sensing data (like DFS) to maintain security of the satellite, UAV, etc.
Reference Documents

- **US CFR47 Part 15 subpart H:**
  [http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-index?c=ecfr&SID=9706a0746c793439e40007796de1f076&rgn=div5&view=text&node=47:1.0.1.1.16&idno=47#47:1.0.1.1.16.8](http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-index?c=ecfr&SID=9706a0746c793439e40007796de1f076&rgn=div5&view=text&node=47:1.0.1.1.16&idno=47#47:1.0.1.1.16.8)

- **ETSI BRAN EN 301 598 v1.1.1:**
  [http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_en/301500_301599/301598/01.01.01_60/en_301598v010101p.pdf](http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_en/301500_301599/301598/01.01.01_60/en_301598v010101p.pdf)

- **Ofcom (UK) Statement on approving TV white spaces; regulations:**
802.15.4m Wireless Personal Area Networks (PAN)s for TV WhiteSpaces

Clint Powell (PWC, LLC), cpowell@ieee.org
Title
IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks Part 15.4: Low Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (LR-WPANs) Amendment 6: TV White Space Between 54 MHz and 862 MHz Physical Layer

Abstract
In this amendment to IEEE Std. 802.15.4-2011, outdoor low-data-rate, wireless, TV White Space network requirements are addressed. Alternate PHYs are defined as well as only those MAC modifications needed to support their implementation.
Scope
This amendment specifies a physical layer for 802.15.4 meeting TV white space regulatory requirements in as many regulatory domains as practical and also any necessary Media Access Control (MAC) changes needed to support this physical layer. The amendment enables operation in the VHF/UHF TV broadcast bands between 54 MHz and 862 MHz, supporting typical data rates in the 40 kbits per second to 2000 kbits per second range, to realize optimal and power efficient device command and control applications.

Purpose
The purpose of this amendment is to allow 802.15.4 wireless networks to take advantage of the TV white space spectrum for use in large scale device command and control applications.
Intro of Draft

This amendment specifies alternate PHYs in addition to those of IEEE Std 802.15.4-2011. In addition to the new PHYs, the amendment also defines those MAC modifications needed to support their implementation.

The alternate PHYs support principally outdoor, low-data-rate, wireless, TV White Space network (TVWS) applications under multiple regulatory domains. The TVWS PHYs are as follows:

— Frequency shift keying (TVWS-FSK) PHY
— Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (TVWS-OFDM) PHY
— Narrow Band Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (TVWS-NB-OFDM) PHY

The TVWS PHYs support multiple data rates in bands ranging from 54 MHz to 862 MHz.
PHY - Features

- **3 PHYs: multi-rate and multi-regional** - operating multiple over-the-air data rates in support of various applications in the TVWS
- Devices must support at least one of the 3 PHYs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHY</th>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>Data Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSK</td>
<td>2 level FSK</td>
<td>50 or 100 or 200 or 300 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 level FSK</td>
<td>400 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFDM</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
<td>390.625 or 1562.5 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>781.250 or 3125 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
<td>1562.5 or 6250 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB-OFDM</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
<td>156 or 234 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QAM</td>
<td>312 or 468 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
<td>624 or 936 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64-QAM</td>
<td>936 or 1404 or 1638 kbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHY - Features

- 17 Bands Currently Supported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TVWS Band USA</td>
<td>896-901 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVWS Band UK</td>
<td>901-902 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVWS Band Japan</td>
<td>902-928 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVWS Band Canada</td>
<td>917-923.5 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVWS Band Korea</td>
<td>928-960 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450-470 MHz</td>
<td>920-928 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470-510 MHz</td>
<td>950-958 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779-787 MHz</td>
<td>2400-2483.5 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>863-870 MHz</td>
<td>future expansion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAC - Features

- TVWS multichannel cluster tree PAN (TMCTP) with a Super PAN coordinator (SPC)

  The SPC:
  - Communicates with other PAN coordinators on their dedicated channels during the beacon only period (BOP)
  - Provides access to geolocation database (GDB) server, providing TVWS channel availability information to all PAN coordinators in TMCTP
  - Allocates use of a different channel for each PAN coordinator in TMCTP
MAC - Features

- Direct device-to-device data transfer via 4-modes:
  - Probe-mode direct data transfer
  - Polling-mode direct data transfer
  - Broadcast-mode direct data transfer
  - Multicast-mode direct data transfer

- Low-energy mechanisms via TVWS power saving (TVWSPS) Information Element (IE)
  - TVWSPS IE is used to initiate a TVWSPS transaction and contains the: PS Control, Periodic Listening Interval, Periodic Listening Duration, Rendezvous Time, and Data Transaction Duration entities
MAC - Features

- Location, GDB and channel access/usage supported by use of multiple IE’s:
  - TVWS device category IE
  - TVWS device identification IE
  - TVWS device location IE
  - TVWS channel information query request/response IE
  - TVWS channel information source description IE
  - Channel timing management IE
  - Channel list verification IE
MAC - Features

- **Transfer of ranging measurements between devices**
  supported by use of multiple IE’s:
  - Ranging request IE
  - Ranging response IE

- **Ranging determination (to generate geo-location info)**
  support covered in informative annex:
  - FSK PHY - Use of symbol transition timing (STT)
  - OFDM PHYs - ToA estimation using conventional autocorrelation-based schemes
Depiction of Smart Utility Usage Model Utilizing TVWS*

P802.15.4m - Summary

- **P802.15.4m:**
  - Enables 802.15 low-rate WPAN technologies in the TVWS for targeted applications using low-power low complexity devices including sensors for smart grid/utility, and machine-to-machine networks
  - Provides Multiple PHY, Multiple Data Rate, Multiple Region Capability
  - Is well suited for large scale device command and control applications, such as Smart Utility and Field Area Sensor Networks
IEEE 802.19.1 Standard for TV WhiteSpace Co-existence

Steve Shellhammer, sshellha@qti.qualcomm.com
IEEE 802.19.1-2014

- **Scope of the project:** The standard specifies radio technology independent methods for coexistence among dissimilar or independently operated TV Band Device (TVBD) networks and dissimilar TV Band Devices.

- The purpose of the standard is to enable the family of IEEE 802 Wireless Standards to most effectively use TV White Space by providing standard coexistence methods among dissimilar or independently operated TVBD networks and dissimilar TVBDs. This standard addresses coexistence for IEEE 802 networks and devices and will also be useful for non IEEE 802 networks and TVBDs.
IEEE P802.19.1a

- **Scope of the project:** This amendment to IEEE 802.19.1-2014 defines the network-based coexistence information exchange among networks and devices to enable network-based coexistence management. It specifies procedures and protocols for collection and exchanging coexistence information of heterogeneous networks, spectrum resource measurements and network performance metrics, such as packet error ratio, delay, etc., and information elements and data structures to capture coexistence information.

- The purpose of the standard is to enable the family of IEEE 802 Wireless Standards to most effectively use, under general authorization, frequency bands such as TV band White Spaces, the 5GHz license-exempt bands and the general authorized access in 3.5GHz bands by providing standard network-based coexistence methods among dissimilar or independently operated networks of unlicensed devices and dissimilar unlicensed devices with geo-location capability. This standard addresses coexistence for IEEE 802 networks and devices and will also be useful for non IEEE 802 networks and devices.
Logical entities and their functions

• The Coexistence Discovery and Information Server (CDIS) provides coexistence discovery service to the Coexistence Managers (CMs) it serves. Within this service the CDIS informs the CMs about potential neighbors of the White Space/Geo-location Capable Objects (WSOs/GCOs) served by these CMs. WSO is under an umbrella of GCO.

• The CM provides either information or management service to the WSO/GCOs it serves. Communication between the CM and the WSO/GCOs is performed via their Coexistence Enablers.
  – Information service provides information about its potential neighbors including their operating frequencies, potential interference levels etc
  – management service the CM provides the WSO/GCO reconfiguration requests that create such configuration of this WSO/GCO that its operation is improved according to some criteria.

• The Coexistence Enabler (CE) is an interface element that represents one or several WSO/GCOs of the same type in the coexistence system.

• The coordination enabler (COE) is an interface element that represents one or more CMs to communicate with the other COE in another independent coexistence system.
Application example
IEEE 802.22 WG on Cognitive Radio Based Wireless Regional Area Networks

Apurva N. Mody, Ph.D., apurva.mody@ieee.org
IEEE 802.22 WG on Cognitive Radio Based Wireless Regional Area Networks

IEEE 802.22 WG is the recipient of the IEEE SA Emerging Technology Award

IEEE 802.22 Standard – Wireless Regional Area Networks: Cognitive Radio based Access in TVWS: Published in July 2011

P802.22 – Revision (On Going)

802.22.1 – Std for Enhanced Interference Protection using beaconing: Published in Nov. 2010

802.22.2 – Std for Recommended Practice for Deployment of 802.22 Systems: Expected completion - Dec 2012


802.22b Enhancement for Broadband Services and Monitoring Applications

802.22.3 Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing (On Going)

www.ieee802.org/22

IEEE 802 Tutorial on WhiteSpaces, Regulations, Standardization and Technologies
IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR™) – Cognitive Radio Capability

Channel Set Management

Subscriber Station Registration and Tracking

Policies

Geo-location

Self Co-existence

Spectrum Sensing

Incumbent Database Service
Long distance communication in the VHF/ UHF Band needs to deal with severe multipath and delay spread conditions.

Frequency selective with large excessive delay:
- Excessive delay (measurements in US, Germany, France*)
  - Longest delay: >60 μsec
  - 85% test location with delay spread ~35 μsec
- Low frequency (54~862 MHz)
- Long range (up to 100 km)
- Slow fading
  - Small Doppler spread
  - (up to a few Hz)

* WRAN Channel Modeling, IEEE802.22-05/0055r7, Aug 05
Information provided by TV Broadcasters
IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR™) – Frame Structure

- Time Division Duplex (TDD) frame structure: Super-frame: 160 ms, Frame: 10 ms
- OFDM/OFDMA Transport
- QPSK up to 64 QAM modulation supported
- Convolutional codes and other advanced codes supported
- Throughput: 22-29 Mbps per TV channel WITH NO MIMO. MIMO and channel bonding increase the throughput
- Spectral Efficiency: 0.624 – 3.12 bits/sec/Hz
- Distance: 10 km minimum. Upto 30 km and even 100 kms
- MAC supports Cognitive Radio features
- Self-coexistence Window (SCW): BS commands subscribers to send out CBPs for 802.22

IEEE 802.22 prototypes are being announced
This experiment was conducted jointly with Hitachi Kokusai Electric.

## IEEE 802.22 Trials and Applicability to India

IEEE 802.22 Device - Source: Saankhya Labs [www.saankhyalabs.com](http://www.saankhyalabs.com)

Image credit: IIM-Bangalore, Opel consulting

| Number of Blocks (National Optical Fiber Network - NOFN Phase-I) | 6,382 |
| Number of Village Heads (Gram Panchayat) (NOFN Phase I/II) | 2,50,000 |
| Number of Villages | 6,38,619 |
| Avg. number of Gram Panchayats per block | 40 |
| Avg. number of Villages per Gram Panchayat | 2.56 |
| Avg. number of Hamlets per Village | 4 |

Source: Saankhya Labs
IEEE 802.22 Base Stations and Customer Premises Equipment

- Implementation of the IEEE 802.22 Devices under way

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long range up to 30 Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band: 300MHz to 700 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configurable bandwidth: 6, 7, 8MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation Scheme:: OFDMA with coding support from BPSK, QPSK, 16-QAM &amp; 64-QAM with configurable code rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max link rate: 30Mbps per 8-MHz channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver sensitivity: -98dBm for QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF Power: Upto 30dBm conducted power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjacent &amp; alternate channel blocker immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated PoE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Highlights
  - Non-Line of Sight connectivity
  - Point-to-Point & Point to Multi-point topology
  - TDD (Time Division Duplex) or FDD (Frequency Division Duplex) modes
  - Encryption and authentication
IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR™) Features

- *First* IEEE Standard that is specifically designed for rural and regional area broadband access aimed at removing the digital divide
- *First* IEEE Standard that has all the Cognitive Radio features
- IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR™) provides Broadband Wireless Access to Regional, Rural and Remote Areas Under Line of Sight (LoS) and Non Line of Sight (NLoS) Conditions using Cognitive Radio Technology (*without causing harmful interference to the incumbents*).

- Cognitive Radio technology added to a simple and optimized OFDMA waveform (similar to the OFDMA technology used in other broadband standards)
- Each IEEE 802.22 (Wi-FAR™) cell can provide 22 to 29 Mbps per TV Channel and provide support for 512 devices at distances of 30 km
  - New Amendment adds Channel Bonding and MIMO – *Allows Greater than 200 Mbps*
Future – Spectrum Sharing and Spectrum Super Highways
PCAST recommends the President issue a new memorandum that:

- states the *policy of the U.S. government is to share underutilized Federal spectrum*; and
- identifies immediately 1,000 MHz of Federal spectrum for sharing with the private sector; and

**National Spectrum Consortium Formed:**

- [www.nationalspectrumconsortium.org](http://www.nationalspectrumconsortium.org)
- Takes approx. 10% proceeds of the spectrum auctions and re-invests into Spectrum Access Research and Development (SARDP)
For example (one among many), the CEPT Electronic Communications Committee ECC (entity that acts as/forms the common position among EU regulators) has issued a strategic plan for wireless communications in 2015-2020:

- Identifies *spectrum sharing*, receiver characteristics (i.e., not just transmitter), and use of higher frequencies as means to address spectrum challenges in the duration 2015-2020
- Specifically (re. spectrum sharing),
  - “The ECC should continue to define conditions to support the concept of spectrum sharing in both unlicensed and licensed spectrum in order to meet the need for more sophisticated sharing, without prejudice to the need for protection from interference from other services or applications, whether in the same band or in adjacent bands.”
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