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Abstract

This document provides the minutes of the Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing Ad-hoc held on

**Year 2016** – March 23, 2017

**1. March 23rd 2017–Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing Ad-Hoc Conference Call Meeting Minutes**

**Notice:** This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.22. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

**Release:** The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.22.

**Patent Policy and Procedures:** The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802 Patent Policy and Procedures

<[**http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf**](http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf)>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <**Carl R. Stevenson**> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.22 Working Group. **If you have questions, contact the IEEE Patent Committee Administrator at <****patcom@ieee.org****>**.

1. Attendance

Gianfranco Miele (GM), University of Cassino and Southern Lazio

Roger Hislop (RH), TG chair, Internet Solutions

Nilesh Khambekar (NK), University at Buffalo

Ken Baker (KB), NTIA

Mike Cotton (MC), NTIA

Oliver Holland (OH), KCL

Apurva Mody (AM), BAE Systems

William Suriaputra (WS), Cognitive Systems

Li Li (LL), Canada

2.1 Agenda

* Attendance
* IEEE norms and processes
* Discussion
* New business

Minutes and Discussions

* Meeting started at 14h00 UTC
* The IEEE 802.22.3 Task Group Chair took the attendance
* Chair asked if everyone attending was familiar with the IEEE patent policy – No one seemed to be unfamiliar with the IEEE Patent Policy
	+ <http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-slideset.pdf>
* Chair reiterated the IEEE prohibition of commercial discussion and early disclosure of Intellectual Property, and meeting commenced.
* Task Group process
	+ Meeting minutes from meeting of February 16th reviewed and call for approval.
	+ Moved by MC, seconded by AM, no objections, so approved.
* Task Group planning:
	+ Reviewed event (Apurva) – highlighted that Return Ratio must be more than 50%, and ballot votes more than 90%
		- Some interest from Sony, joining in group
		- Gianfranco Miele added as voting member
		- 136 comments provided
		- Action – Roger/Oliver to get list of voting members and check return ratio, chase any outstanding
		Result – return ratio is 82%
		- This allows ballot to be closed and moved on to approval vote
	+ Group reviewed new structure as proposed at Vancouver plenary – still TBD item
	+ Item by item through comments starting at 5.3.1. Major points
		- Whether “Requirements” are normative or should go in annex
		- Discussion contemplating merger of data manager and sensing manager. Possibly this would be desirable, but with functions being kept separate to allow splitting into separate entities later. This requires defining control messages internally in the new merged block.
		- Discussion on working on functional diagrams into something more simple
		- Breaking down User Requirements and Functional Requirement as separate descriptive block diagrams
		- Action – Roger to work on two-pager
	+ Discussion of block diagram on SSD:
		- Simplify to description of RF front end – all that comes out is conditioned signal
		- Simplify to description of Sensor/SDR – that it just puts out a digitised interpretation of a detected signal, e.g. a power/freq plot
* Meeting was adjourned at 15h50 UTC, next meeting to be held 30th March 2017.