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Abstract

This document presents the minutes of regional area smart grid and critical infrastructure monitoring (RASGCIM) study group teleconference-4 held on August 22, 2011.

 **RASGCIM Study Group Teleconference-4 Minutes**

**Notice:** This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.22. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

**Release:** The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.22.

**Patent Policy and Procedures:** The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802 Patent Policy and Procedures

<[**http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf**](http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf)>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair Apurva Mody <apurva.mody@ieee.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.22 Working Group. **If you have questions, contact the IEEE Patent Committee Administrator at <****patcom@ieee.org****>**.

**August 22, 9-11 PM EDT**

**Attendees:**

Apurva Mody (BAE Systems), Gerald Chouinard (CRC), Antony Franklin (ETRI), Sung Hyun Hwang (ETRI), Gwangzeen Ko (ETRI), Nancy Bravin (Bravin Consulting), Jerry Kalke (CBS), Chang Woo Pyo (NICT), Zhang Xin (NICT), M. Azizur Rahman (NICT)

**Minutes:**

1. Meeting called to order by M. Azizur Rahman.
2. Agenda 802.11-00100-00-rasg presented by chair and approved by the attendees.
3. There was a suggestion that e-balloting is not nessasary, if the number of people attending September meeting is large, voting will be sufficient.
4. Zhang Xin from NICT Singapore volunteered to be secretary.
5. IEEE patent policy read by the chair.
6. PAR for Extension to Broadband and Monitoring Applications Amendment (802.22-11-0097-01) was presented by M. Azizur Rahman (NICT).
7. It was pointed out that the use of word “application” was not appropriate in the concern of the OSI model.
8. It was suggested that “Regional Area” should appear in the title, the word “rural” should be put after amendment, for example, “rural area broadband service extension”.
9. Serveral proposals regarding the title were listed:
* Extension for enhanced broadband and monitoring capability
* Extension for enhanced broadband and monitoring service/application/capability
* Support for enhanced broadband and monitoring service/application/capabiliy
* Enhanced broadband and monitoring
1. Finally, “Enhanced broadband and monitoring” was accepted. There was ojection to it saying that it is not specific enough, however majority think the shorter the better.The detailed modifications for the title will be shown in the revised PAR document.
2. The scope of project was discussed.
3. There was a discussion on which to put “alternate PHY” or “PHY amendment” in the scope of project. Some doubt if our modifications qualify for alternate PHY. However, putting both is definitely not a good idea because it shows the study group is not sure of what to do.
4. Comments were addressed immediately in the revised PAR document.
5. Need for the project was discussed. Comments were addressed immediately in the revised PAR document.
6. One comment for 5C for document (802.22-11-0098-01) relating to stakeholder was pointed out.
7. Meeting adjourned by M. Azizur Rahman.