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The following list is created from the following contributions as well as email conversations.

* 21-10-0171-00-0sec, 21-10-0079-02-0sec (WI#2 option II)
* 21-10-0078-04-0sec , 21-10-0120-03-0sec (WI-option-iii)
* 21-10-0123-01-0sec (WI#1 option A)
* 21-10-0172-00-0sec (Aug 17 teleconference minutes)
* 21-10-0174-00-0sec (Aug 31 teleconference-minutes)

Issues are not listed if they are recognized as non-issues after discussion.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Assignee | Description | Proposed Resolution | Status |
| 1 | Subir | MIH SA definition should cover both (D)TLS and EAP based key establishment. | MIH SA definition has been revised to cover both types of key establishment schemes. | Closed |
| 2 | Subir | There are redundant text about mutual authentication and its credentials. | * Definition on “TLS credential” was added.
* Definition on TLS Identity was removed.
* Redundant text was cleaned up.
 | Closed |
| 3 | Subir | Is maintaining a mapping between transport address and TLS session in the scope of 802.21a? | * Yes, it is in scope.
* Added one sentence “A Session TLV is defined [Clause XXX] to maintain the mapping.”
 | Closed |
| 4 | Subir | Service Id field should not be used to indicate that MIH security is used. | * Used one reserved bit to indicate MIH security.
* Removed AID for MIH security
 | Closed |
| 5 | Rafa | What are the ciphersuites  | * For confidentiality, AES-CBC, null
* For integrity, HMAC-SHA-96, CMAC-AES, null
* For confidentiality and integrity, AES-CCM
* For KDF, CMAC-AES, HMAC-SHA1
 | Closed |
| 6 | Rafa | The terms KDF and PRF+ are confusing. | * Replaced PRF+ with KDF.
* Added reference to RFC 5246 for KDF.
 | Closed |
| 7 | Rafa | MIH\_Capability\_Discover extension needs to have .request, .indicate, .response and .confirm primitives. | * Add the four primitives.
 | Closed |
| 8 | Rafa | Are the same random numbers used for generating MIEK, MIIK and MI-PMK? | * Yes.
* MI-PMK was removed and make MS-ROOT as a child of MSK/rMSK.
 | Closed |
| 9 | Rafa | How is MIH PDU protected with MIH-specific ciphering processed? | Detailed processing rule is provided. For encrypted message authentication, only MIEI is used. | Closed |
| 10 | Rafa | MIH\_Start\_Auth.request primitive generated by MIH user is used for sending an indication message. | Use of MIH\_Start\_Auth.request primitive to send MIH\_Start\_Auth indication message is ok.Needs some consideration on race condition (i.e., both MN and PoS initiates authentication simultaneously). | Closed |
| 11 | Rafa | MIH\_Start\_Auth and MIH\_Finish\_Auth primitives have both Source and Destination identifier. | One of the identifiers should be removed from each primitive. | Closed |
| 12 | Rafa | Extended MIH\_Capability\_Discover primitives do not have the attributes originally defined in 802.21-2008. | The security related attributes are only additions and the original capability attributes must be kept as it is. | Closed |
| 13 | Rafa | Are reactive key distribution messages MIH messages or not? | They are not MIH messages. Reactive key distribution call flow has been updated to distinguish them from MIH messaging. | Closed |
| 14 | Dapeng | Which IE container structures should be used, having a separate container for all security related IEs or add security related IEs to each existing container. | It is simpler and natural to add security related IEs to each existing container. | Closed |
| 15 | Dapeng | What are the data types for SuggestedNewLinkCandidateAuthenticatorList and PreferedCandidateAuthenticator? | PreferedCandidateAuthenticator data type is: LINK\_ADDRSuggestedNewLinkCandidateAuthenticatorList data type is: LIST(LINK\_ADDR) | Closed |
| 16 | Lily, Rafa | For AES-CCM, what are the counter generation function and formatting function and what is the nonce generation rule?  | * Use counter generation and formatting functions defined in Appendix of NIST SP800-38C.
* Check 802.11 specification for nonce usage.

Text provided in DCN 209-00. | Text Provided |
| 17 | Dapeng | Detailed text is needed for MIH\_Pro\_Auth\_start. | Detailed text provided in DCN 123-02. | Closed |
| 18 | Dapeng | Is it true that MIH\_Pro\_Auth\_Start is the only primitive without extensions, such as .request, response, indication, and confirm? How to use this primitive? In which messages? |  Detailed text provided in DCN 123-02. | Closed |
| 19 | Dapeng | Detailed text is needed for MIH\_Pro\_Auth.request and .response. | Detailed text provided in DCN 123-02. | Closed |
| 20 | Dapeng | IE\_POA\_POS\_IP\_ADDR appears twice, i.e., in PoA specific IEs and PoS specific higher layer service IEs. | It should appear in PoS specific higher layer service IEs only. | Closed |
| 21 | Rafa | Several new data types are used without definition, such as KEY\_DIST, {INT,CIPH,KDF}\_ALG, ID\_OPT, INTREGRITY\_DATA, SESSION\_ID and KEY. | Detailed text provided in DCN 209-00. | Text Provided |
| 22 | Subir, Rafa, Dapeng | Are authentication messages defined as service management or command service? | Since authentication is related to all services, authentication messages are defined as service management. | Closed |
| 23 | Subir | Can all different authentication options (i.e, TLS, EAP and proactive EAP) be defined as a single message type or separate message types? | For the time being, define as separate messages.For TLS, use an indication message under service management category. | Closed |
| 24 | Rafa | .confirm primitive is missing in MIH\_Push\_Key and MIH\_Proact\_Pull\_Key. | Detailed text provided in DCN 209-00. | Text Provided |
| 25 | Rafa | What is session lifetime of MIH SA? | Define session lifetime parameter. Detailed text provided in DCN 209-00.  | Text Provided |
| 26 | Rafa | General message flow figure should be explicit about MIH\_Start\_Auth is an indication message.Also, MIH\_Auth request with “EAP-Succ” needs to be responded by MN with MIH\_Auth response message. | Revise the figure as follows:Add “indication” to MIH\_Start\_Auth message.Add MIH\_Auth response message below MIH\_Auth request (EAP Succ).Detailed text provided in DCN 209-00. | Revised Figure Provided |
| 27 | Rafa | Clarification is needed on Figure 16 of DCN 0078 “scenario using a PoA as a bridge” as to why the proposed approach is more suitable than PANA.  | Detailed text provided in DCN 78-07. | Revised Text Provided |
| 28 | Lily/Rafa | Capability discovery may not need to contain detailed ciphersuite parameters. | Detailed ciphersuite parameters will be included for MIH-specific ciphering for both capability discovery primitives and messages and MIH\_Auth messages (but not MIH\_Auth primitives). IEs for ciphesuite parameters also need to be defined.Text provided in DCN 209-00. | Text Provided |
| 29 | Rafa/Lily | For MIIK and MIEK derivation, KDF is called twice. | Derive MIIK and MIEK from one KDF call.Text is provided in DCN 209-00 (except for IEs). | Text Provided |
| 30 | Lily/Rafa | Do we want to use all 512 bits for MSK and do we need to support only HMAC or CMAC?  | We should use HMAC-SHA1 or HMAC-SHA2 that does not need to truncate key before computing hash.802.11 uses HMAC-SHA-1 but uses only 256 bits of MSK before computing hash. This came from historic usage of MPPE-Recv-Key as PMK based on RFC2716 which is obsolete now. 802.16e uses CMAC or SHA-1 but it also truncate MSK before computing hash.So both 802.11 and 802.16 are not good practices for KDF.Text provided in DCN 209-00 | Text Provided |
| 31 | Rafa | Is there any way both peers will know if there is a bundle or non-bundle case? | Text added to indicate that if the KeyDistMechList TLV is not present the bundle option is not going to be used.Text provided in DCN 209-00 | Text Provided |
| 32 | Rafa/Dapeng | MIH\_Pro\_Auth primitives and MIH\_Auth primitives are semantically different but have similar structures. | Rafa will work with Dapeng to harmonize this. | Text Needed |
| 33 | Rafa/Subir | Can we move P and F- bits by defining a generic security TLV? | * Bit P is represented on position 0 in RESERVED2 field in MIH Header.
* Bit F is replaced by adding a STATUS TLV in MIH\_AUTH.

Text provided in DCN 209-00. | Text Provided |
| 34 | Subir/Rafa/Fernando | A new Security TLV should be used for both TLS-based ciphering and MIH-specific ciphering. | A generic TLV: SECURITY TLV must be defined on subir’s proposal instead of TLS TLV to merge both proposals in order to use MIHS header.* Text provided in DCN 209-00.
* Rename TLS TLV to Security TLV.
 | Text Provided |