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The following list is created from the following contributions as well as email conversations.

* 21-10-0171-00-0sec, 21-10-0079-02-0sec (WI#2 option II)
* 21-10-0078-04-0sec , 21-10-0120-03-0sec (WI-option-iii)
* 21-10-0123-01-0sec (WI#1 option A)
* 21-10-0172-00-0sec (Aug 17 teleconference minutes)
* 21-10-0174-00-0sec (Aug 31 teleconference-minutes)

Issues are not listed if they are recognized as non-issues after discussion.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Assignee | Description | Proposed Resolution | Status |
| 1 | Subir | MIH SA definition should cover both (D)TLS and EAP based key establishment. | MIH SA definition has been revised to cover both types of key establishment schemes. | Closing |
| 2 | Subir | There are redundant text about mutual authentication and its credentials. | * Definition on “TLS credential” was added. * Definition on TLS Identity was removed. * Redundant text was cleaned up. | Closing |
| 3 | Subir | Is maintaining a mapping between transport address and TLS session in the scope of 802.21a? | * Yes, it is in scope. * Added one sentence “A Session TLV is defined [Clause XXX] to maintain the mapping.” | Closing |
| 4 | Subir | Service Id field should not be used to indicate that MIH security is used. | * Used one reserved bit to indicate MIH security. * Removed AID for MIH security | Superceded by Issue #23 |
| 5 | Rafa | What are the ciphersuites | * For confidentiality, AES-CBC, null * For integrity, HMAC-SHA-96, CMAC-AES, null * For confidentiality and integrity, AES-CCM * For KDF, CMAC-AES, HMAC-SHA1 | Closing |
| 6 | Rafa | The terms KDF and PRF+ are confusing. | * Replaced PRF+ with KDF. * Added reference to RFC 5246 for KDF. | Closing |
| 7 | Rafa | MIH\_Capability\_Discover extension needs to have .request, .indicate, .response and .confirm primitives. | * Add the four primitives. | Closing |
| 8 | Rafa | Are the same random numbers used for generating MIEK, MIIK and MI-PMK? | * Yes. * MI-PMK was removed and make MS-ROOT as a child of MSK/rMSK. | Closing |
| 9 | Rafa | How is MIH PDU protected with MIH-specific ciphering processed? | Detailed processing rule is provided. For encrypted message authentication, only MIEI is used. | Closing |
| 10 | Rafa | MIH\_Start\_Auth.request primitive generated by MIH user is used for sending an indication message. | Use of MIH\_Start\_Auth.request primitive to send MIH\_Start\_Auth indication message is ok.  Needs some consideration on race condition (i.e., both MN and PoS initiates authentication simultaneously). | Open |
| 11 | Rafa | MIH\_Start\_Auth and MIH\_Finish\_Auth primitives have both Source and Destination identifier. | One of the identifiers should be removed from each primitive. | Closing |
| 12 | Rafa | Extended MIH\_Capability\_Discover primitives do not have the attributes originally defined in 802.21-2008. | The security related attributes are only additions and the original capability attributes must be kept as it is. | Closing |
| 13 | Rafa | Are reactive key distribution messages MIH messages or not? | They are not MIH messages. Reactive key distribution call flow has been updated to distinguish them from MIH messaging. | Closing |
| 14 | Dapeng | Which IE container structures should be used, having a separate container for all security related IEs or add security related IEs to each existing container. | It is simpler and natural to add security related IEs to each existing container. | Closing |
| 15 | Dapeng | What are the data types for SuggestedNewLinkCandidateAuthenticatorList and PreferedCandidateAuthenticator? | PreferedCandidateAuthenticator data type is: LINK\_ADDR  SuggestedNewLinkCandidateAuthenticatorList data type is: LIST(LINK\_ADDR) | Closing |
| 16 | Rafa | For AES-CCM, what are the counter generation function and formatting function and what is the nonce generation rule? | TBD. | Open |
| 17 | Dapeng | Detailed text is needed for MIH\_Pro\_Auth\_start. | TBD. | Open |
| 18 | Dapeng | Is it true that MIH\_Pro\_Auth\_Start is the only primitive without extensions, such as .request, response, indication, and confirm? How to use this primitive? In which messages? | TBD | Open |
| 19 | Dapeng | Detailed text is needed for MIH\_Pro\_Auth.request and .response. | TBD | Open |
| 20 | Dapeng | IE\_POA\_POS\_IP\_ADDR appears twice, i.e., in PoA specific IEs and PoS specific higher layer service IEs. | It should appear in PoS specific higher layer service IEs only. | Closing |
| 21 | Rafa | Several new data types are used without definition, such as KEY\_DIST, {INT,CIPH,KDF}\_ALG, ID\_OPT, INTREGRITY\_DATA, SESSION\_ID and KEY. | TBD | Open |
| 22 | Subir, Rafa, Dapeng | Are authentication messages defined as service management or command service? | Since authentication is related to all services, authentication messages are defined as service management. | Text Needed |
| 23 | Subir | Can all different authentication options (i.e, TLS, EAP and proactive EAP) be defined as a single message type or separate message types? | For the time being, define as separate messages.  For TLS, use an indication message under service management category. | Text Needed |