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CIDs addressed: 104

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Sub-Clause** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 104 | 10.40.4.5.2 | 150 | 4 | The RFC1951 does not only describe the format it also described the whole compression method. Also there is no need to have normative reference to IETF RFC 1951.  | Change "shall be applied using the\nDEFLATE compressed data format described in IETF RFC 1951." to "shall be applied as specified in the \nDEFLATE Compressed Data Format Specification version 1.3 RFC 1951 [Bxx]". I.e. use full title of the RFC and move IETF RFC 1951 from normative reference to bibliography. This is optional feature inside optional enhancement thus there is no need for readers of the IEEE Std 802.15.4 to go and read RFC 1951 in general case. Also the RFC 1951 is not a standard it is just informational RFC. |

**Discussion:**

Initial resolution provided in DCN 339. After further discussion with the group, the group disagrees with the comment. RFC1951 is properly cited in normative text with the role and relationship of the RFC explained clearly as required in the IEEE Standards Style Manual, 12.3.1 and 12.3.3.

**Resolution: Rejected**