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Discussion: Note that we added below text as per resolution of CID 452, so we can extend on this. Tbd. if we want to reassign completion of this comment regarding "other flavours" to other participants?

Proposed resolution: Revised.

Disposition detail:

Instruction to editor: Change clause 10.39.3.9 as per below:

**10.39.3.9 Supported message control list indication**

The initiator (controller) may indicate the supported message ID field values for each Compact frame by

referencing the supported Compact Frame ID values and their Message Control and Message Version values

using the SMID TLVs field. Subclause 10.39.11.1.3.2 details the message encodings.

For example, a SMID\_TLVs to indicate support for basic one-to-one ranging with extended support for

Presence Bitmap signaling in Poll and Response frames with Message Control equal to 1 is represented by the following SMID\_TLVs field:

{ 0x00, 0x01, 0x00, //SMC\_Tag=0 (Advertising Poll), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

0x01, 0x01, 0x00, //SMC\_Tag=1 (Advertising Response), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

0x02, 0x01, 0x00, //SMC\_Tag=2 (Start of Ranging), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

0x03, 0x02, 0x00, 0x10, //SMC\_Tag=3 (One-to-one Poll), SMC Length=2, SMC\_Values={0x00, 0x10}

0x04, 0x02, 0x00, 0x10, //SMC\_Tag=4 (One-to-one Response), SMC Length=2, SMC\_Values={0x00, 0x10}

0x05, 0x01, 0x00, //SMC\_Tag=5 (One-to-one Initiator Report), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

0x06, 0x01, 0x00 } //SMC\_Tag=6 (One-to-one Responder Report), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

An alternative, more concise representation using the Message Control 0 set defined in 10.39.11.3.1 is:

{ 0x00, 0x01, 0x00, //SMC\_Tag=0 (Advertising Poll), SMC Length=1, SMC\_Values=0

0x03, 0x01, 0x10, //SMC\_Tag=3 (One-to-one Poll), SMC Length=2, SMC\_Values={0x00, 0x10}

0x04, 0x01, 0x10 } //SMC\_Tag=4 (One-to-one Response), SMC Length=2, SMC\_Values={0x00, 0x10}

The responder (controlee) may request ranging session configuration in the Advertising Response Compact

frame and may indicate the supported message ID list for each Compact frame by referencing the

supported Compact Frame ID field values and their Message ID field values using the SMID TLVs field.

After the supported message control lists have been exchanged, devices shall use values for Compact Frame

ID and Message ID indicated in the peer's SMID TLVs field when transmitting Compact frames to the

peer.