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Abstract

# This document contains the TG7a Optical Camera Communications CRG Telco minutes from May to June 2024.

**Wednesday, 12th June 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:00 AM (EST)**

Attendance remotely by Webex :

* Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University)
* Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University)
* Sangsung Choi (A2UICT)
* Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI)
* Herfandi (Kookmin University)
* Ida Bagus Krisna Yoga Utama (Kookmin University)
* Khairi Hindriyandhito (Kookmin University)
* Muhammad Rangga Aziz Nasution (Kookmin University)
* Muhammad Adnan (Kookmin University)
* Ones Sanjerico Sitanggang (Kookmin University)

**Minutes:**

1. The Chair, Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University), called the meeting to order. Muhammad Adnan (Kookmin University) recorded the minutes.
2. The Chair announced the patent and the copyright policy. There was no response.
3. The Chair reported briefly the SA Ballot 1st Recirculation Comment and D8 draft. He also mentioned about SA Ballot comment resolution guidelines, comment resolution process and the draft update as follows:
* Use a spreadsheet application (e.g Excel) to edit the file, completing the columns for “Disposition Status” and “Disposition Details” for all comments.
* The “Disposition Status” column must contain one of the following values:
* Accepted
* Revised
* Rejected
* Disposition status is “*Accepted*”

Means: The CRG agrees exactly with comment and change proposed by the commenter.

* Prerequisite: The changes proposed in the comment contain sufficient detail so that voters can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter and the editor can make the change.
* Changes to the draft standard must be made verbatim as per the balloter’s comment.
* The disposition detail column should be left blank.
* Disposition status is “*Revised*”

Means: CRG agrees in principle with the comment and/or proposed change, and one or more of the following:

* The CRG disagrees with all or part of the specific details in the proposed change in the comment,
* The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter, or
* The changes made by the CRG contain additions or modifications to what was proposed by the commenter
* The disposition details column should contain sufficient detail so that balloters can understand the specific changes determined by the CRG and the technical editor can make the change
* Disposition status is “*Rejected*”

The disposition detail field should explain why the comment is being rejected using one or more of these reasons:

* An explanation of why the CRG disagrees with the comment,
* Statement that the comment is out of scope, and the rationale,
* Statement that the proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter
* Statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment, along with the reason
* Statement that the CRG has previously considered the comment (or a substantively similar comment), along with identification (by reference or copy) of the original comment and its disposition detail and status
* Statement of why the CRG considers that the attachment does not meet the criteria indicated by the myProject system; or cannot be addressed as a single issue; or does not relate to a specific line, paragraph, figure, or equation in the balloted draft
1. The CRG discussed the agenda for today teleconference as follows:

- Review and discussion on the SA Ballot 1st Recirculation Comment

- How to update D8 draft

1. Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University), TG7a Technical Editor, took over the host position for the discussion on the initial SA ballot comment resolution from the Chair.
2. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had uploaded his comment document (15-24-0337/r0) on June 09 for the SA Ballot 1st recirculation. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) had the short presentation on his comment document (15-24-0337/r0) in this teleconference and addressed that most of the comments are editorial comments to improve the draft quality and to synchronize the technical issues, so it is easy to resolve them. Then, the Group agreed with his proposed changes on the whole, but the Technical Editor pointed out that there is a comment describing the wrong proposed change in the document. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) agreed with his disposition after reviewing the comment and its proposed change in the document. The document (15-24-0337/r0) has been merged into the Comment Resolution document for P802.15.7a Recirculation #1 and it will be uploaded to the mentor.
3. The Group decided to continue to resolve the comments in the next teleconference.
4. The Group recessed.

**Wednesday, 26th June 2024, 06:00 AM ~ 07:00 AM (EST)**

Attendance remotely by Webex :

* Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University)
* Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University)
* Sangsung Choi (A2UICT)
* Herfandi (Kookmin University)
* Ida Bagus Krisna Yoga Utama (Kookmin University)
* Khairi Hindriyandhito (Kookmin University)
* Muhammad Rangga Aziz Nasution (Kookmin University)
* Md. Minhazur Rahman (Kookmin University)

**Minutes:**

1. The Chair, Yeong Min Jang (Universitas Kookmin), called the meeting to order.
2. The IEEE 802.15.7a CRG Teleconference was chaired by Yeong Min Jang (Kookmin University). Herfandi (Kookmin University) recorded the minutes.
3. The Chair announced the patent and the copyright policy. There was no response.
4. Huy Nguyen (Kookmin University), TG7a Technical Editor, took over the host position for the discussion on the initial SA ballot comment resolution from the Chair.
5. Sang-Kyu Lim (ETRI) made his suggestion by e-mail to resolve the comment from FLYNN. P. It’s that the CRG accept the comment and put the new sentences for 16.1, 16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.5.3.1, 16.5.3.2, and 16.5.3.3 as shown in this comment for description level balancing. In addition, he addressed that when the redline draft is made, the D8 red-line draft should be revised only by the proposed change in case of "accept" and the disposition details in case of "revised".
6. The CRG discussed the process for reviewing the comment resolution document and the redline and clean D8 documents.
7. The CRG discussed today's teleconference agenda as follows:
* Review and discussion on the SA Ballot 1st Recirculation Comment
* Review and discuss the details of comment resolution for CIDs R1-1 to R1-114
1. The Technical Editor opened the comment resolution document, where all comments had been aggregated, to initiate the review and discussion of comment resolution details and the corresponding redline sections from the CIDs R1-1 to R1-114
2. The CRG teleconference adjourn.
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