Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)

Submission Title: Ranging Integrity with HRP STS

Date Submitted: May 2023

Xiliang Luo, Vinod Kristem, Moche Cohen (Apple) Source:

Address: One Apple Park Way, Cupertino, CA 95104, USA

E-Mails: xiliang_luo@apple.com

Abstract: More results about the ranging integrity with the STS waveform.

Purpose: Highlight that STS waveform also enables quantifiable ranging integrity.

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.





PAR Scope

PAR Objective

Safeguards so that the high throughput data use cases will not cause significated duty-cycle ranging use cases

Interference mitigation techniques to support higher density and higher traffic

Other coexistence improvement

Backward compatibility with enhanced ranging capable devices (ERDEVs)

Improved link budget and/or reduced air-time

Additional channels and operating frequencies

Improvements to accuracy / precision / reliability and interoperability for high-

Reduced complexity and power consumption

Hybrid operation with narrowband signaling to assist UWB

Enhanced native discovery and connection setup mechanisms

Sensing capabilities to support presence detection and environment mapping

Low-power low-latency streaming

Higher data-rate streaming allowing at least 50 Mbit/s of throughput

Support for peer-to-peer, peer-to-multi-peer, and station-to-infrastructure prof

Infrastructure synchronization mechanisms

	Proposed Solution (how addressed)
ant disruption to low	
USE CASES	
integrity ranging	Ranging integrity with STS waveform: clarifications
9	
otocols	





Introduction to Ranging with STS



Ranging and CIR Estimation

First-Path Arrival Timing at Receiver

- Receiver needs to figure out the arrival timing of the RMARKER in the received STS packet
 - Record the timestamps for the arrival timing of the RMARKER in the received packet and the departure timing of the RMARKER in the transmitted packet
 - The round-trip times and the reply times are derived with the recorded timestamps -
- The first path in the estimated channel impulse response (CIR) at the receiver is mapped to the arrival timing of the RMARKER







Ranging \rightarrow ToF \rightarrow RMARKER \rightarrow CIR

Equivalence





Secure Ranging: Definition and Attacks









Secure Ranging

Definition

than a prescribed value whenever it is earlier than $\tau_0 - \Delta$, i.e., $\Pr(\text{Accept } \eta \mid \eta < \tau_0 - \Delta) \leq \rho$

where

- τ_0 is the true timing of the first path
- Δ is a constant representing the amount of allowed implementation headroom
- ρ is the prescribed upper bound on the false acceptance rate
- the probability is with respect to all random STS sequences

This security guarantee also applies to the cases where arbitrary feasible attacks try to advance the timing estimate by manipulating the STS ranging waveform from the TXD.

The ranging receiver at the RXD is secure only if it ensures that a given timing estimate η is accepted with a probability no more





Feasible Attack

Definition

- cryptographic STS sequence at any time
 - _ information about $\{s[n] \mid n \le k - 1\}$ and is unable to make any inferences about $\{s[n] \mid n \ge k\}$
- Let a(t) represents the time-domain attack waveform generated by an attacker
 - _



An attack to the STS ranging waveform is feasible only if this attack does not utilize any non-causal information from the

In other words, $\forall k$, at any time within the interval $((k-1)LT_c, kLT_c)$, a feasible attack to the STS waveform can only learn

The attack to the STS waveform is feasible only if a(t) is independent of $\{s[n] \mid n \geq k\}$, $\forall t < kLT_c$ and $\forall k$



A Reference STS Receiver with Proved Security

STS Receiver

Hypothesis Detection

- After observing the STS signal
 - RXD first obtains an estimate of the CIR
 - either with the SYNC or with the STS
 - then the RXD identifies a particular tap as **a first path** candidate
 - the next task is to **either accept** it as a true physical path or reject it
- In the case of attack
 - there could be **fake peaks in the estimated CIR** that are earlier than the true first path
 - a secure STS receiver need to reject any fake peak earlier than the true first path reliably
- **Two hypotheses** when validating a particular CIR tap l_* :
 - \mathcal{H}_0 : tap l_* does not corresponds to any true physical path
 - \mathcal{H}_1 : tap l_* is a real physical path



A Reference STS Receiver

High Level View

$$r[n] := r_l(nT_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} s[k]q_R[n - kM] + w[n]$$
Sig

$$y[n] = r[l_* + nM]$$

Pre-processing over CIR tap l_*

gnals relevant for tap l_*



Proof of Security

Tap l_* Earlier than True 1st Path

When the CIR tap l_* is earlier than the first path, the received signal under \mathcal{H}_0 becomes

$$- y[n] = \dot{w}[n] + y_{\text{legit}}[n]$$

where

-
$$y_{\text{legit}} := \sum_{\zeta>0} q_R[l_* + \zeta M]s[n - \zeta], \dot{w}[n] \text{ models both}$$

from time n, i.e., $\{s[k] | k \ge n\}$

When validating a CIR tap l_* that is earlier than the true physical first path, the false acceptance rate of the reference STS receiver is upper bounded as

 $\Pr(\text{Accept } l_*) = \Pr(l_*)$

Meanwhile, the upper bound is valid under arbitrary feasible attacks.

the adversarial attack and the additive noise

feasible attack: the received waveform from the attacker at time n, i.e., $\dot{w}[n]$, is independent to the STS sequence starting

$$r(T(\mathbf{x}) \ge \gamma) \le \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right)$$



Detection Performance

Tap l_* Corresponding to True 1st Path

- When the **CIR tap** l_* corresponds to the true first path, we have
 - $\tilde{x}[n] = q_R[l_*]s[n] + \tilde{w}[n]$

where $\tilde{w}[n]$ models both the additive noise and the interference. Then we can also have

- $x[n] = \mathbb{Q}(\hbar s[n] + \bar{w}[n]) = \mathbb{E}[x[n] | s[n]] + e[n] := \mathbb{M}(s[n]) + e[n]$

where $\mathbb{M}(s[n])$ is the conditional mean estimate of x[n] and e[n] stands for the estimation error with a zero mean

Assume the estimation error e[n] is uncorrelated with s[n] conditioned on the past samples the miss rate of the reference receiver can be upper bounded as

 $Pr(Reject l_*) = Pr(T(\mathbf{x}) <$

where $C_n := E[M(s[n])s[n]], \bar{C} := \sum_{n=0}^{Q-1} C_n/Q$, and \bar{C} or Q is large enough such that $\bar{C} > \gamma/\sqrt{Q}$.

 $\{x[k]\}_{k < n}$ and the past STS $\{s[k]\}_{k < n}$. When validating a CIR tap l_* corresponding to a true physical path,

$$\langle \gamma \rangle \leq \exp\left(-\frac{Q(\bar{C}-\gamma/\sqrt{Q})^2}{2}\right)$$



Backup Slides





IEEE 802.15.4z HRP UWB

STS and RMARKER

- **SYNC** (Synchronization):
 - initial packet acquisition, timing and frequency synchronization, and channel estimation
 - SYNC portion includes a repetition of a ternary preamble code that exhibits an ideal auto-correlation function
- **STS** (Scrambled Timestamp Sequence):
 - the STS portion consists of a sequence of uniformly spaced pulses with pseudo-random polarities that are generated by applying the AES-128 engine in counter mode
- **RMARKER** (Ranging Marker):
 - the time when the peak of the (hypothetical) pulse associated with the first chip following the SFD is at the local antenna all reported times during ranging are measured relative to the RMARKER.
 - _

STS Packet Config 1	SYNC	SFD
STS Packet Config 2	SYNC	SFD
STS Packet Config 3	SYNC	SFD







Double-Sided Two-Way Ranging

DS-TWR

- With respect to the RMARKERs, both Device A and B measure the following times
 - Round-trip times: -

-
$$\hat{R}_a = k_a R_a$$
 (packet TX1)

-
$$\hat{R}_b = k_b R_b$$
 (packet TX2)

Reply times: _

-
$$\hat{D}_a = k_a D_a$$
 (packet TX2)

-
$$\hat{D}_b = k_b D_b$$
 (packet TX1)



IEEE formula to obtain the ToF estimate $\hat{T}_f = \frac{\hat{R}_a \cdot \hat{R}_b - \hat{D}_a \cdot \hat{D}_b}{\hat{R}_a + \hat{R}_b + \hat{D}_a + \hat{D}_b}.$

The **relative error** in the ToF estimate

$$\frac{\hat{T}_f - T_f}{T_f} \approx \frac{(k_a - 1) + (k_b - 1)}{2}$$

- Device A clock frequency to ideal clock ratio: k_a \checkmark
- Device B clock frequency to ideal clock ratio: k_b
- Ground-truth times: R_a, R_b, D_a, D_b
 - $R_a = D_b + 2T_f$
 - $R_b = D_a + 2T_f$