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#### Abstract

This document proposes a simulation framework to evaluate the new preamble codes introduced in 4ab, and provides the performance of Golay codes Purpose: To converge on a common framework to evaluate the new codes being proposed in 802.15.4ab

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein. Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.


| PAR Objective | Proposed Solution (how addressed) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safeguards so that the high throughput data use cases will <br> not cause significant disruption to low duty-cycle ranging use <br> cases |  |
| Interference mitigation techniques to support higher density <br> and higher traffic use cases | Proposed sequences offer flexible multi-user <br> interference mitigation |
| Other coexistence improvement |  |
| Backward compatibility with enhanced ranging capable <br> devices (ERDEVs) |  |
| Improved link budget and/or reduced air-time |  |
| Additional channels and operating frequencies |  |
| Improvements to accuracy / precision / reliability and <br> interoperability for high-integrity ranging |  |
| Reduced complexity and power consumption | Proposed sequences allows efficient construction |
| Hybrid operation with narrowband signaling to assist UWB |  |
| Enhanced native discovery and connection setup <br> mechanisms |  |
| Sensing capabilities to support presence detection and <br> environment mapping |  |
| Low-power low-latency streaming |  |
| Higher data-rate streaming allowing at least 50 Mbit/s of <br> throughput |  |
| Support for peer-to-peer, peer-to-multi-peer, and station-to- <br> infrastructure protocols |  |
| Infrastructure synchronization mechanisms |  |

apEval Simulation Framework

## apEval (4ab preamble Evaluation) Framework - 1

- INPUT
- Set1: set of target codes
- e.g. Set1 = \{new preamble codes for 4ab\}
- Set2: set of interfering codes
- e.g. Set2= \{16 length-127 lpatov codes\} or $\{8$ length-91 lpatov codes $\}$ or the union of these
- Number of preamble symbol repetitions (PSR) : $R_{1}$ for Set1, $R_{2}$ for Set2
- Can set both to be the same by default. Allow to configure them differently for more checking
- Gap of size: $G$
- IntfGapFlag: 0: no gap for interference codes; 1: add gap to interference codes (only matter when interference code is also Golay)
- Data/STS collision prob: $p$
- Relative CFO: $\Delta f_{\text {max }}$
- 40 ppm, channel 9
- Spreading mode:
- Common spreading: $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$
- More spreading modes can be defined
- RUN Monto Carlo Sims
- Details are in the following slide
- OUPUT
- With a PSR value of $R_{1}$ for the target sequences, gap size $G$, and data collision probability $p$
- 90-percentile cross-correlation for all sequences from Target codes (Set1) wrt the Interference codes (Union of Set1 and Set2)
- 90-percentile cross-correlation for individual sequence in Target codes (Set1) wrt the Interference codes (Union of Set1 and Set2)


## apEval Framework - 2

- For each $x$ in the set: Set 1 , carry out the following Monto Carlo sims:
- Construct a preamble symbol $x^{\prime}$ from $x$ after spreading by $L_{1}$
- A gap $G$ is introduced before spreading when $x$ is Golay

```
Note:
The total number of sequences in both Set1 and Set2 is expected to be in the order of \(O(100)\)
- Construct the target sequence \(X\) by repeating the preamble symbol \(x^{\prime}\) by \(R_{1}\) times
- FOR \(k=1: 1000\)
- Generate one uniformly distributed random number: \(a \in[0,1]\)
- IF \(a<p\)
- Generate a sequence \(Z\) containing random polarities with spreading factor of \(L_{2}\)
- ELSE
- Pick preamble symbol \(y(y \neq x)\) from the interference code set (Set1U Set2)
- Construct \(y^{\prime}\) by spreading \(y\) by a factor of \(L_{2}\), then repeat symbol \(y^{\prime}\) by \(R_{2}\) times to get a sequence \(Z\)
- If IntfGapFlag \(>0\), a gap \(G\) is introduced before spreading when \(y\) is Golay
- END IF
- Generate CFO \(\Delta f\), uniformly random in the interval \(\left[-\Delta f_{\max }, \Delta f_{\text {max }}\right]\)
- Apply CFO \(\Delta f\) to the sequence \(Z\) and get interference sequence \(Y\)
- Compute the cross-correlation metric between \(X[n]\) and \(Y[n]\)

\section*{- END LOOP}

\section*{apEval Framework - 3}
- Cross-Correlation Metric
- Let \(N\) denote the length of \(x^{\prime}\), the length of the target sequence \(X[n]\) is \(R_{1} \times N\)
- Let \(M\) denote the length of \(y^{\prime}\), the length of the interference sequence \(Y[n]\) is \(R_{2} \times M\)
- Normalized Cross-Correlation metric is computed in dB scale as
\[
\max _{\tau \in\left[0, R_{2} M-1\right]} \phi[\tau]
\]
\[
\text { - where } \phi[\tau]:=20 \log _{10}\left|\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{R_{1} N-1} Y\left[\bmod \left(n+\tau, R_{2} M\right)\right] \cdot X[n]}{\sum_{n=0}^{R_{1} N-1} X[n]^{2}}\right|
\]
- Note: the range of \(\tau\) to find the max of \(\phi[\tau]\) could be reduced to \([0, M-1]\) when \(Y[n]\) is periodic with period \(M\)
- This will be the case when \(\Delta f=0\). When \(\Delta f \neq 0\), the range needs to be \(\left[0, R_{2} M-1\right]\)


\section*{Simulation Performance Highlight}

\section*{1. Performance of Proposed Golay Pairs}


\section*{Results for Golay Pair: 64+64 ( \(\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=40, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

\section*{Long-Term Correlation w/ PSR=40: No Gap in Target Sequence X}

Target codes \(=\{\) lpatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes = \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \}
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- Size 64 Golay set has similar/better cross-correlation than \(4 z\) Ipatov 127 set of size 16
- Similar 90\% CDF, but 1 dB better worst case cross-correlation with Golay 64+64
- Adding Golay \((64+64)\) to the 4z-Ipatov family, does not make cross-correlation any worse

\section*{Results for Golay Pair: 64+64 ( \(\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=40, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

\section*{Long-Term Correlation w/ PSR=40: Gap=1 in Target Sequence \(X\)}

Target codes = \{lpatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes = \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \} Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- Golay set with Gap: Adding just a gap of 1 chip improves the Golay cross-correlation by around \(>16 \mathrm{~dB}\) (Due to averaging of interference)

\section*{Results for Golay Pair: 64+64 ( \(\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

Short-Term Correlation w/ PSR=4: Gap=1 in Target Sequence X

Target codes = \{lpatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes = \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \} Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- Even with 4 preamble symbols (R=4), size 64 Golay set has better cross-correlation than \(4 z\) lpatov 127 set of size 16
- 7 dB better cross-correlation at 90\% CDF

\section*{2. Performance of Legacy Ipatov due to new Golay Pairs}


\section*{Impact on Legacy Ipatov \(\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=40, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

\section*{Long-Term Correlation w/ PSR=40: No Gap in Interference Sequence \(Y\)}

Target codes \(=\{\mid p a t o v ~ 127: 16\) codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes \(=\{\mid p a t o v ~ 127: 16\) codes \(\}\) Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- Adding Golay (64+64) to the 4z-Ipatov family, does not make cross-correlation worse

\section*{Impact on Legacy Ipatov \(\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=40, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

Long-Term Correlation w/ PSR=40: Gap=1 in Golay Interference Sequence \(Y\)

Target codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) \{lpatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes \(=\{\mid p a t o v ~ 127: 16\) codes \(\}\) Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}


\footnotetext{
- Adding a Gap to Golay doesn’t impact the cross-correlation observed by legacy 4z-lpatov
}

\section*{Impact on Legacy Ipatov \(\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

Short-Term Correlation w/ PSR=4: No Gap in Interference Sequence \(Y\)

Target codes = \{lpatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes \(=\{\mid p a t o v ~ 127: 16\) codes \(\}\) Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- Adding Golay (64+64) to the 4z-Ipatov family, does not make cross-correlation worse

\section*{Additional miscellaneous Results}

\section*{Results for Golay Pair, with CFO \(\left(\Delta f_{\max }=320 \mathrm{KHz}, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

\section*{Gap=1 in Target Sequence \(X\)}

Target codes \(=\) \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \(\}\) Interfering codes \(=\{\) lpatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}


Target codes = \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \(\}\) Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- CFO makes the cross-correlation better
- 1 dB lower cross-correlation at 90\% CDF

\section*{Results for Data/STS Collisions \(\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=1, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

Short-Term Correlation w/ PSR=4: Gap=1 in Target Sequence X

- Golay 64+64 set has lower cross-correlation with pulses with random polarity, due to higher mean PRF
- 3 dB lower cross-correlation at 90\% CDF

\section*{\(90 \%\) Cross-correlation Results for individual sequences \(\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4, \Delta f_{\max }=0, p=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=4\right)\)}

\section*{Short-Term Correlation w/ PSR=4: Gap=1 in Target Sequence X}

Target codes = \{lpatov 127: 16 codes \(\}\)
Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127\}


Target codes = \{Golay 64+64: 64 codes \} Interfering codes \(=\{\) Ipatov 91, Ipatov 127, Golay 64+64\}

- All the 64 sequences from Golay set has similar 90\% Cross-correlation CDF
- All sequences have better 90\% cross-correlation than Ipatov 127 set

\section*{More Information on Proposed \((64,64)\) Golay Pairs}

\section*{Golay Generator from Seeds}

\section*{Seed and Delay Vector Definitions}

- \(L=6\)
- Delay Vector:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \mathbf{D}:=\left[D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{L-1}\right] \\
& -D_{k} \in\left\{2^{0}, 2^{1}, \ldots, 2^{L-1}\right\}, \forall k \in[0, L-1]
\end{aligned}
\]
- Weight Vector:

Seed \(:=\sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \frac{1+w_{i}}{2} 2^{i}\)

\section*{Golay Generator from Seeds}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Seq. 1: Seed=40; & delay=[1 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 32]; & Se & \(33:\) & Seed=61; & delay \(=[8\) & 4 & 1 & 2 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 2: Seed=27; & delay=[2 & 1 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 34 : & Seed=33; & delay=[4 & 16 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 3: Seed=7; & delay=[4 & 1 & 16 & 8 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 35: & Seed=11; & delay=[1 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 4: Seed=39; & delay=[1 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 36: & Seed=38; & delay=[2 & 1 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 5: Seed=61; & delay=[8 & 1 & 16 & 2 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & \(37:\) & Seed=35; & delay=[8 & 4 & 16 & 1 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 6: Seed=37; & delay=[4 & 1 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & 38: & Seed=17 & delay=[1 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 7: Seed=63; & delay=[16 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 39: & Seed=46; & delay=[8 & 1 & 2 & 16 & 4 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 8: Seed=3; & delay=[4 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 1 & 32]; & Seq & 40: & Seed=37; & delay=[8 & 16 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 9: Seed=58; & delay \(=[16\) & 2 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & 41 : & Seed=16; & delay=[1 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 10: Seed=40; & delay=[4 & 2 & 16 & 1 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & 42: & Seed=27; & delay=[8 & 4 & 1 & 16 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 11: Seed=22; & delay=[4 & 8 & 2 & 1 & 16 & 32]; & Seq & 43: & Seed=42; & delay=[16 & 1 & 8 & 2 & 4 & \(32]\) \\
\hline Seq. 12: Seed=30; & delay \(=[16\) & 4 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & 44 : & Seed=0; & delay=[1 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 13: Seed=21; & delay=[8 & 4 & 16 & 1 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 45: & Seed=8; & delay=[2 & 16 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 14: Seed=0; & delay=[4 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 16 & 32]; & Seq & 46 : & Seed=49; & delay=[16 & 1 & 8 & 4 & 2 & \(32]\) \\
\hline Seq. 15: Seed=47; & delay=[4 & 8 & 16 & 2 & 1 & 32]; & Seq & 47: & Seed=11 & delay=[1 & 16 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 16: Seed=59; & delay=[2 & 8 & 1 & 16 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 48: & Seed=27; & delay=[4 & 2 & 8 & 16 & 1 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 17: Seed=42; & delay=[1 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 32]; & Seq & 49: & Seed=7; & delay=[8 & 4 & 16 & 1 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 18: Seed=61; & delay=[1 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 32]; & Seq & 50 & Seed=62; & delay= [2 & 8 & 1 & 4 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 19: Seed=52; & delay=[1 & 4 & 8 & 16 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 51 : & Seed=36 & delay=[2 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 20: Seed=47; & delay=[1 & 4 & 16 & 2 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & 52 : & Seed=15; & delay=[2 & 16 & 8 & 1 & 4 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 21: Seed=58; & delay=[16 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & \(53:\) & Seed=30; & delay=[1 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 2 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 22: Seed=39; & delay \(=[8\) & 1 & 4 & 2 & 16 & 32]; & Seq & 54 : & Seed=11; & delay=[2 & 4 & 8 & 16 & 1 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 23: Seed=53; & delay=[8 & 4 & 2 & 16 & 1 & 32]; & Seq & \(55:\) & Seed=61; & delay=[2 & 4 & 16 & 1 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 24: Seed=50; & delay=[2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 32]; & Seq & 56 : & Seed=54; & delay=[2 & 4 & 8 & 1 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 25: Seed=52; & delay=[1 & 8 & 2 & 16 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 57 & Seed=1; & delay=[2 & 1 & 4 & 16 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 26: Seed=9; & delay \(=[16\) & 2 & 8 & 1 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 58: & Seed=27; & delay=[1 & 2 & 16 & 4 & 8 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 27: Seed=8; & delay=[16 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 59: & Seed=13; & delay=[16 & 2 & 8 & 1 & 4 & 32] \\
\hline Seq. 28: Seed=9; & delay=[16 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 60 : & Seed=44; & delay=[8 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 29: Seed=54; & delay=[1 & 2 & 16 & 4 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & & Seed=35; & delay=[8 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 30: Seed=63; & delay=[16 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 32]; & Seq & & Seed=61; & delay=[4 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 31: Seed=53; & delay=[2 & 16 & 1 & 8 & 4 & 32]; & Seq & 63 : & Seed=28; & delay=[1 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 32]; \\
\hline Seq. 32: Seed=27; & delay=[4 & 16 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 32]; & Seq & 64 : & Seed=39; & delay=[2 & 1 & 8 & 16 & 4 & 32]; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Note: corresponding sequences are also available in the shared codes for apEval: Doc\#: 15-22-0447-01-04ab apEval_framework.m
- Each of the recommended Golay pair exhibits a ZACZ of \(2 \times 32\) as illustrated in the top right figure (before spreading, in the absence a gap)```

