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# IEEE 802 criteria for standards development (CSD)

The CSD documents an agreement between the WG and the Sponsor that provides a description of the project and the Sponsor's requirements more detailed than required in the PAR. The CSD consists of the project process requirements, 1.1, and the 5C requirements, 1.2.

## Project process requirements

### Managed objects

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

1. The definitions will be part of this project. Yes
2. The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
3. The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.

### Coexistence

A WG proposing a wireless project shall prepare a Coexistence Assessment (CA) document unless it is not applicable.

1. Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13? (yes/no) Yes
2. If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable.

## 5C requirements

### Broad market potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

1. Broad sets of applicability.

This standard defines the physical layer (PHY) and medium access control (MAC) sublayer capabilities to support communications for low energy consumption devices widely deployed in wireless ad hoc networks for Internet of Things applications.

Applications include utility, smart city, industrial, energy, transportation, agricultural and other consumer products and the list keeps growing.

1. Multiple vendors and numerous users.

Industry organizations such as International Society of Automation, Wireless HART, Thread Group, Wi-SUN Alliance, Connectivity Standards Alliance (formerly known as Zigbee Alliance) have adopted the technologies targeted by the standard, with hundreds of vendors providing devices, products and solutions. The number of deployed devices is estimated in the 100s of millions and this figure continues to grow and encompasses components (ICs, modules) solutions, and end-product vendors. The capabilities defined by this standard will be implementable by many of these existing vendors and will attract many new vendors supporting many different user communities.

### Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Sponsor.

1. Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?

No.

1. If the answer to a) is no, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.

It is the intention of P802.15.15 to maintain backwards compatibility with IEEE Std 802.15.4 PHY and MAC. P802.15.15 will include existing PHYs by reference which support different MTU sizes, and also addressing modes requiring support for EUI 64, and short addresses (16 bit), for which it has been previously determined that compliance with the above IEEE 802 standards is not possible.

The review and response is not required if the proposed standard is an amendment or revision to an existing standard for which it has been previously determined that compliance with the above IEEE 802 standards is not possible. In this case, the CSD statement shall state that this is the case.

### Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

As specified in the need for the project, some IEEE Std 802.15.4 functionality will be included (via referencing) into IEEE P802.15.15.

### Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

1. Demonstrated system feasibility.

FSK, DSSS and OFDM radio technologies have been implemented in volume and widely deployed in many applications, demonstrating feasibility and value. This standard consolidates this proven technology.

1. Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.

Enhancements created by this project will have been proven by implementation, testing, and demonstration in existing standards-based and non-standards-based products, prototypes, and demonstration systems. This project brings these proven capabilities into the standard in a way compatible with existing standards-based solutions.

### Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

1. Known cost factors.

The standard is built upon widely deployed RF technologies at reasonable and known costs.

1. Balanced costs.

The proposed new standard will define FSK, DSSS and OFDM PHYs and data link layer functionality that provides a balanced approach for all forms/instantiations of wirelessly connected devices.

1. Consideration of installation costs.

There are no or at most minimal additional costs associated with installation.

1. Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).

Costs associated with operation are negligible.