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# IEEE 802.15 Task Group 9ma (KMP Transport Updates)

## Tuesday, September 22, 2020

Tero Kivinen called the meeting to order at 16:05 ET. Kivinen asked if anyone had any essential patents to disclose. No one had anything to disclose.

Kivinen displayed the comment database ([15-20/0236r07](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.15/dcn/20/15-20-0236-07-09ma-lb178-consolidated-comments.xlsx)), which now contains several comments from Ben Rolfe that were received after last week’s meeting. Based on comment ID (CID) i-76, the scope in subclause 1.2 was changed to match the PAR scope. CID i-77 is rejected because the scope and purposes subclauses have been aligned with the PAR and it can be argued that they are not inaccurate. CID i-78 is accepted in principle. CID i-79 will be handled by removing the offending sentence. CID i-80 will be resolved by removing the use of “shall”, since this section is informative. CID i-81 is resolved by removing the redundant text on large PDUs. CID i-82 reflects a misunderstanding that the text in question is for the single key case and those no need to negotiate a KeyIndex. Kivinen has rewritten the text to make it clearer. CID i-83 is accepted to use “alternative” in place of “option”. CID i-84 asks for a definition of a suitable timeout. Instead of defining that, the onus on specifying the timeout will be placed on the KMP and that value will be specified in the KMP-CREATE.response parameters. CID i-85 is accepted. CID i-86 is likewise accepted. CID i-87 asks about confusing use of MSDU because it could refer to both IEEE 802.15.9ma or IEEE 802.1X. Kivinen presented corrective text. CID i-88 is accepted. CID i-89 corrects identifier to identity. CID i-90 (in the PANA Annex) is accepted despite the Annex being deprecated. Finally, CID i-91 is accepted and removes use of “shall” in the Dragonfly Annex.

Kivinen has submitted a couple of rogue comments to fix some dated references for IEEE 802.1X and IEEE 802.11. They should both be the 2020 versions rather than 2010 and 2016 respectively. Kivinen also verified that the subclause numbers align to the newer versions.

Tero Kivinen moved that the CRG authorized a recirculation ballot after the technical editor makes the edits as specified in [15-20/0236r08](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.15/dcn/20/15-20-0236-08-09ma-lb178-consolidated-comments.xlsx), which will be uploaded at the conclusion of this teleconference. Pat Kinney seconded the motion. The motion passed without objection. Kivinen will also send the edited draft to the CRG.

The meeting was adjourned at 16:52 p.m.

Attendees: Gene Flaendysz (Itron), Pat Kinney (Kinney Consulting, Tero Kivinen (self), Don Sturek (Itron), Peter Yee (NSA-CSD)