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1.1 Motivation

- According to medical knowledge, the result of rehabilitation will be better if the patient feels comfortable.
- Individual Approach as well as categorical approach is important for patients not to feel stress during rehabilitation.
- Usually, the therapist judge whether the patient feels stress. There is no clear criteria.
- On the other hand, it is known that there is a correlation between stress and biosignals like Electrocardiogram (ECG).
- WBAN can measure biosignals of the patient in real time.

Stress estimate in real time using biosignals obtained from WBAN

we use heartbeat information from ECG in this research.
1.2 System Model

Processing Procedure
1. Measurement of heartbeat information by WBAN sensor
2. Send heartbeat information to WBAN coordinator
3. Machine learning calculation (Neural Network) by WBAN coordinator
4. Stress estimate

Advantage
- Transmission of biosignals to the supercomputer is unnecessary and network delay is eliminated.
- Cost reduction of system introduction

Problem
- Machine learning (especially deep learning) requires huge amount of computation and takes long time with the processor of the coordinator.
1.3 Aim of the Research

When computing machine learning with the processor in the WBAN coordinator,

**Problem**

Machine learning (especially deep learning) requires long time to learn and estimate because of calculation complexity

**Aim of the research**

- Reduction of calculation complexity for neural network

In my Proposal method,

1. In advance, extract features relating to stress which are known medically
2. Extract the remaining features with neural network
3. This eliminates the need for many hidden layer of neural network.
2.1 Feature Extraction in Neural Network

- The features of the input data is extracted in the unit of the hidden layer.
- More features can be extracted as the number of units in the hidden layer is increased.
- Finer features can be extracted as the number of the hidden layer is increased.
- The number of parameters and calculation complexity increase as the number of units in the neural network increases.
- It is unknown what the extracted features in the hidden layer specifically mean.

【Proposal】 Extract necessary features reliably in advance.

【Fig. 3 Deep Neural Network】
2.2 Features in heartbeat regarding stress

**RRI (R-R interval)**
Time interval of R wave in ECG.
It reflects variation of autonomic nerves correlated with emotion.

**CVRR (Coefficient of Variance of RRI)**
Index of variance of RRI
CVRR is smaller when the patient feel stress

**Frequency**
Frequency component in RRI reflects impact of other biological information[4].
- 0.04~0.15Hz (LF: Low Frequency) ➔ Blood pressure control system
- 0.15~0.40Hz (HF: High Frequency) ➔ RSA: Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia

\[
CVRR = \frac{SDRR \times 100}{mRR}
\]

SDRR: standard deviation of RRI
mRR: mean RRI
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3.1 Preprocessing

- In this research, RRI is input to the neural network as heart beat information.
- In preprocessing, RRI is translated to CVRR and frequency components.
- These feature extraction do not require iterative calculation of weight correction.

CVRR (Coefficient of Variance of RRI)

CVRR is derived from RRI by the following formula:

\[ CVRR = \frac{SDRR \times 100}{mRR} \]

SDRR: standard deviation of RRI
mRR: mean RRI

Frequency

Wavelet transform is performed for extraction of frequency components.

Wavelet transform: Effective time frequency analysis for unsteady signals like biosignals

\[ \mathcal{W}_\psi [x(t)] = T(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)\psi_{a,b}^*(t)dt \]

\[ x(t): \text{RRI signal} \]
\[ \psi_{a,b}(t): \text{wavelet} \]
3.2 Proposal System

Proposal

Preprocessing is performed instead of multilayered Neural Network. It is possible to reliably extract useful features while reducing calculation complexity for learning.

Fig. 5 proposal system

Fig. 4 Multilayered Neural Network
3.2 Simulation regarding preprocessing

Comparison of the following performances of neural network depending on presence or absence of preprocessing and the type of preprocessing (only CVRR, only wavelet transform, CVRR and wavelet transform)

- learning speed
- accuracy
- calculation complexity (number of multiplication)

In order to ensure reproducibility, we used artificial RRI data with label (‘relax’ or ‘stress’) in this simulation.

Table 1: Simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>input neurons</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hidden neurons</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hidden layer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>output neuron</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation function (hidden layer)</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation function (output layer)</td>
<td>softmax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loss function</td>
<td>crossentropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning rate</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optimizer</td>
<td>SGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>batch size</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max epoch</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Results

Table. 2  comparison of calculation complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>multiplication</th>
<th>RRI</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preprocessing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180,043,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>2,595,360,000</td>
<td>892,320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>2,595,360,000</td>
<td>1,072,363,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table. 3  comparison of accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy[%]</th>
<th>RRI</th>
<th>CVRR</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81.13</td>
<td>89.66</td>
<td>68.5805</td>
<td>93.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Preprocessing reduced calculation complexity of learning
- Accuracy is improved by preprocessing
- Preprocessing of only extraction of CVRR also improve accuracy.
- Preprocessing of only wavelet transform decline accuracy
- CVRR is more important feature than frequency component
3.3 Results

- Preprocessing of only extraction of CVRR improve learning speed
- Preprocessing of only wavelet transform decline learning speed
- CVRR is more important feature
- Combination of two types of preprocessing more than CVRR alone
- Frequency components are necessary, but CVRR is more dominant feature and important.

Fig. 6 comparison of learning speed
4.1 Pre-Learning

- Pre-Learning is introduced to proposal method in order to extract feature of CVRR reliably
- Weight obtained in Pre-Learning is used for Main Learning
- In Main Learning, Feature from both of CVRR and frequency components are extracted
- Weight of Red node is not updated in order to protect features obtained in Pre-Learning

Fig.7 Pre-Learning

Fig.8 Main Learning
4.1 Modified Proposal System

1. Preprocessing is performed to RRI, and CVRR and frequency component is extracted
2. Pre-Learning is performed with CVRR and features are extracted from CVRR
3. Main Learning is performed and features are extracted from both of CVRR and frequency components

Fig. 9 Modified Proposal System
4.2 Simulation regarding Pre-Learning

Comparison of the following performances of neural network with preprocessing depending on presence or absence of Pre-Learning

- learning speed
- accuracy
- calculation complexity (number of multiplication)

- Table 4 shows simulation parameters of Pre-Learning.
- Parameters of Main-Learning is same to the simulation just before.

### Table. 4 simulation parameters of Pre-Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>input neurons</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hidden neurons</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hidden layer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>output neuron</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation function (hidden layer)</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation function (output layer)</td>
<td>Softmax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loss function</td>
<td>Crossentropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning rate</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optimizer</td>
<td>SGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>batch size</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max epoch</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Results

Table. 5 comparison of calculation complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiplication</th>
<th>Without Pre-learning</th>
<th>With Pre-learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Learning</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>232,320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-Learning</td>
<td>892,320,000</td>
<td>538,080,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>892,320,000</td>
<td>770,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table. 6 comparison of accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without Pre-learning</th>
<th>With Pre-learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy[+]</td>
<td>93.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Number of features is reduced in main learning by Pre-Learning
- Pre-Learning reduced calculation complexity
- Accuracy is declined by Pre-Learning
- It is considered that overfitting occurred because the method of extracting features was overly restricted.
4.3 Results

- Pre-Learning improved learning speed and reduced calculation complexity
- High learning speed is an important factor in estimating in real time.
- However, overfitting is occurred so we should consider method for extract better features.

Fig. 10 comparison of learning speed (with pre-learning vs without pre-learning)
5. Conclusion

Conclusion

- We proposed a method to perform learning by neural network after feature extraction by preprocessing and Pre-Learning to reduce calculation amount.
- Preprocessing and Pre-Learning improved learning speed and reduced calculation complexity.
- Pre-Learning declined accuracy because of overfitting.
- We should consider method for extract better features.

Future Work

- Consideration of more suitable feature extraction method.
- Consideration of multi-class classification of 3 classes or more according to stress level.
Thank you for your attention
Appendix 1. Artificial RRI signal

Fig 11 Artificial RRI signal