Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)

Submission Title: Comment Resolution LB-95

Date Submitted: November 4, 2014

- **Source:** Henk de Ruijter, Ping Xiong, Silicon Labs 940 Stewart Dr, Sunnyvale, CA, USA
- **Abstract:** Comment Resolution
- **Purpose:** Comment Resolution for comments collected from LB-95

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein. **Release:** The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.

Note:

Resolutions In green font (<u>Resolution</u>) are accepted by the BRC in the San Antonio meeting, November 2014.

From the 23 comments in this document, three comments are not resolved yet. (1167, 1168 and 1177).

- **Comment:** The draft does not meet the 5C requirement of uniqueness. There are already 6 FSK PHYs defined in 802.15.4, with data rates ranging from 2.4 kb/s to 400 kb/s operating in all of the bands already identified for the proposed ULP PHY. There is nothing in the current definition of the ULP FSK PHY that enables it to be lower power than the existing FSK PHYs.
- **Proposed change:** Delete Clause 31 and references to the ULP FSK PHY.
- **Resolution:** Rejected. The PAR states: "This amendment defines an ultra low power (ULP) physical layer supporting typical data rates up to 1 Mbps." The ULP-GFSK PHY is supporting rates up to 1Mbps, no other FSK PHY in 15.4 supports this. The highest FSK data rate currently defined in 802.15.4 is 400kb/s which is only specified for Japan. The highest rate in other bands is only 200kb/s. Using the higher data rates as specified in the ULP-GFSK PHY

Comment: Nothing in the draft standard supports the assertion that the ULP-TASK or ULP-GFSK PHY supports the PAR goal that the PHY power consumption is < 15 mW. As defined - power consumption is a silicon or application implementation and thus out of scope. There already exists a low energy 802.15.4 MAC and PHY amendment (802.15.4k). In addition since silicon vendors have already produced or demonstrated silicon that meets the power consumption figure quoted in the PAR as justification for the standard with EXISTING 15.4 PHY modes, this proposed standard is superfluous

Proposed change: Justify standard

Resolution: Rejected. As correctly stated in this comment, the proposed PHYs meet the peak power requirement as stated in the PAR. Besides the peak power requirement the PAR also states: "This amendment defines an ultra low power (ULP) physical layer supporting typical data rates up to 1 Mbps." The ULP-GFSK PHY is supporting rates up to 1Mbps, no other FSK PHY in 15.4 supports this. The highest FSK data rate currently defined in 802.15.4 is 400kb/s which is only specified for Japan. The highest rate in other bands is only 200kb/s. Using the higher data rates as specified in the ULP-GFSK PHY drastically reduces the on-time which conserves energy.

Comment ID: 1047 - slide 1 of 2

- <u>Comment:</u> "The Rate Switch field shall be set to one if rate switch mode, as described in 31.3, is supported and shall be set to zero otherwise." Subclause 31.3 reads, in its entirety -- and I am not making this up -- "An ULP GFSK device shall support the Rate Switch field set to zero. Support for the Rate Switch field set to one is optional." Where is the description of the rate switch mode I was promised?
- **Proposed change:** Please provide a description of the rate switch mode. What does it do? When? To what?

Comment ID: 1047 - slide 2 of 2

<u>Resolution</u>: Accept in principle. Replace line 25 & 26 on page 31 and line 9 and 10 on page 32 with: "The Rate Switch field indicates if Rate Switch is enabled or disabled. The Rate Switch field shall be set to one when enabled and shall be set to zero otherwise."

Replace text in sub clause 31.3 by "Enabling Rate Switch is optional. When Rate Switch is enabled the SHR and the PHR shall be transmitted in any 2-GFSK MCS with modulation index 1 and the PSDU shall be transmitted using the same symbol rate as is used during SHR and PHR employing 4-GFSK with modulation index 0.333. When Rate Switch is disabled then a single MCS is used during the transmission of the PPDU. The Rate Switch may be enabled from a higher layer using the *macRateSwitchEnabled* MAC PIB attribute. For example, when the Energy Detect level is crossing a threshold." Add **two** MAC PIB attribute:

Attribute	Туре	Range	Description	Default
macRateSwitchE	Boolean	TRUE,	An indication of whether the device is using a	FALSE
nabled		FALSE	Rate Switch in its transmission as described in	
			31.3. If TRUE, the device is using Rate	
			Switching. If FALSE, it is not.	
macShortPHREn	Boolean	TRUE,	An indication of whether the device is using a	FALSE
abled		FALSE	Short PHR in its transmission as described in	
			31.1.4. If TRUE, the device is using a Short	
			PHR. If FALSE, it is using a Long PHR.	

<u>**Comment:**</u> Without loss of generality the following for the 863-876 and 915-921 bands:

1st Channel Center Freq.: 863.25, 915.35

Number of Channels: 63, 27 Channel Spacing: 200,000, 200,000

Modulation Scheme: GFSK, GFSK FSK Mod. Order: 2, 2

FSK Mod. Index: 0.7, 0.7 FSK BT: 0.5, 0.5 Symbol Rate: 100,000, 100,000

- provide nearly the same number of channels while providing/allowing for an an improvement in nearly all receiver performance parameters.
- **Proposed change:** Consider these changes for the 863-876, 915-921 and apply similarly for all other bands.
- <u>Resolution:</u> Rejected: Modulation index 1 as specified for MCS 1 to 5 complies with the TGD. In addition it allows for an easy to implement Rate Switch in conjunction to the 4-GFSK MCS's. With mod-index equal to 0.7 for the 2-GFSK MCS's the 4-GFSK mod index would need to scale down to 0.7/3 which will compromise the receive sensitivity.

- **<u>Comment</u>**: What is different about the FSK mode defiend in this amendment, that provides for substantially lower power over that of plethora of FSK modes already defined in 802.15.4?
- **Proposed change:** While the PAR stated 15mA as the upper limit, given that implmentations have already been doing that for a number of years and newer ones are in the 6-8mA, once would expect the draft seek to be in the <1.5mA range to make it compeling. The PHY's defined here do not seem to support these expected levels. Rework these PHYs to achieve/promote more aggressive power levels.
- **Resolution:** Rejected. The PAR does not state 15mA as the upper limit. The PAR states: "The desired peak power consumption for the PHY should be typically less than 15 mW." Also this is only a part of the scope. It also states: "This amendment defines an ultra low power (ULP) physical layer supporting typical data rates up to 1 Mbps." The ULP-GFSK PHY is supporting rates up to 1Mbps, no other FSK PHY in 15.4 supports this. Using the higher data rates as specified in the ULP-GFSK PHY drastically reduces the on-time which conserves energy.

- <u>Comment:</u> SFD for uncoded is the same SFD as in MR-FSK for coded. Also SFD for coded is the same as in MR-FSK for uncoded.
- **Proposed change:** Reverse uncoded/coded SFDs in table 20.

- <u>Comment:</u> There may be a coexistence issue when the same SFDs are used between a MR-FSK PAN and a ULP-GFSK PAN. Especially when FEC is enabled since the MR-FSK and ULP-GFSK have different convolutional codes.
- Proposed change: Add set of SFDs to fix coexistence issue in case that interop with MR-FSK is not desired. Suggested additional SFD option: Uncoded: 1001 1010 1111 0000. Coded: 0011 0101 1100 0110.

Comment ID: 1162 & 1163

<u>Resolution</u>: Accept in Principle. Replace table in sub clause 31.1.2.

	SFD for coded (b_0-b_{15})	SFD for un-coded (b_0-b_{15})
phyULPGFSKSFD = 0	0110 1111 0100 1110	1001 0000 0100 1110
phyULPGFSKSFD = 1	0011 0101 1100 0110	1001 1010 1111 0000

Add sentence after the first paragraph in sub clause 31.1.2: "If interoperation with MR-FSK PHY is desired a value of zero for the PIB attribute *phyULPGFSKSFD* may be used. If interoperation with MR-FSK PHY is not desired a value of one for the PIB attribute *phyULPGFSKSFD* may be used.

Attribute: *phyULPGFSKSFD*

Type: Integer

Valid range: 0.1

Description: "Determines which group of SFDs is used, as described in Table xx. This attribute is only valid for the ULP-GFSK PHY."

<u>Comment</u>: Value definition of reserved Bit 0 in the PHR is missing.

- **Proposed change:** Add text in sub clause 31.1.3: "Bit 0 in the Long PHR shall be set to "0". When a SFD is used that is shared with MR-FSK, bit 0 in the PHR won't trigger a Mode Switch in a MR-FSK device."
- <u>Resolution</u>: Accepted. Add text in sub clause 31.1.3, below Figure 19: "Bit 0 in the Long PHR shall be set to zero. When a SFD is used that is shared with MR-FSK, Bit 0 in the PHR won't trigger a Mode Switch in a MR-FSK device."

<u>Comment</u>: The value of the reserved bit at bit location 4 needs definition.

Proposed change: The reserved bit at bit location 4 shall be set to "0".

<u>Resolution</u>: Accepted in principle: Add text before last paragraph (page 33, above line 4) in sub-clause 31.1.3: "The reserved bit at Bit 4 shall be set to one."

- <u>Comment:</u> Bit 0 in the PHR should always be set to "0" when a SFD is used that is shared with MR-FSK so that it won't trigger a Mode Switch in a MR-FSK device.
- **Proposed change:** Add text in sub clause 31.1.4: "Bit 0 in the Short PHR shall be set to "0"."
- <u>Resolution</u>: Accepted in Principle: Add text in sub clause 31.1.4 (page 33, above line 9): "Bit 0 in the Short PHR shall be set to zero"

- **Comment:** HL mode may result in false interpretation of the frame control field at the receiver side. E.g. the receiver will need the source address of header-less frame to decide if Rate-Switch should be enabled. So every time a HL-SFD is received the receiving device will need to evaluate the frame control field for a valid address assuming Rate Switch enabled AND Rate Switch disabled. This leads to an ambiguity which may lead to frame corruption. E.g. when frames are received with and without Rate Switch, from many end nodes, the receiver may find two valid addresses: one with Rate Switching assumed and one with assumed without Rate Switching.
- **Proposed change:** Remove headerless and the entire sub clause 31.1.5.
- **<u>Resolution</u>**: Accepted: Remove sub clause 31.1.5 and all references to headerless (HL)

<u>Comment</u>: When HL SFD is received the use of FEC is not specified.

Proposed change: Remove headerless and the entire sub clause 31.1.5.

<u>Resolution</u>: Accepted: Remove sub clause 31.1.5 and all references to headerless (HL)

<u>Comment ID: 1169 and 1172 – slide 1 of 2</u>

Comment ID: 1169

- <u>Comment:</u> This wording is unclear: "The PSDU may be transmitted using either 2-GFSK or 4-GFSK modulation, i.e. one of the operating modes in Table 21 or Table 22 with the same symbol rate used during transmission of the SHR and PHR."
- **Proposed change:** Suggested text: "The MCS shall be such that the symbol rate and the outer deviation are the same across the PPDU. When the Rate Switch bit is set to "1" the PSDU shall be transmitted in 4-GFSK (i.e. one of the operating modes in Table 22). When the Rate Switch bit is set to "0" the PSDU shall be transmitted in 2-GFSK.

Comment ID: 1172

<u>Comment:</u> Symbol rate is not defined.

Proposed change: In 2-GFSK mode the symbol rate is equal to the bit rate. In 4-GFSK mode the symbol rate is equal to the bit rate divided by 2.

Comment ID: 1169 and 1172 – slide 2 of 2

Resolution: Accepted in principle: Replace wording with: "The MCS shall be such that the symbol rate and the outer levels are the same across the entire PPDU. When the Rate Switch bit is set to "1" the PSDU shall be transmitted in 4-GFSK. When the Rate Switch bit is set to "0" the PSDU shall be transmitted in 2-GFSK. In 2-GFSK the outer level is determined by the multiplication of the modulation index by the symbol rate by 0.5. In 4-GFSK the outer levels is determined by the multiplication of the symbol rate by 1.5. In 2-GFSK mode the symbol rate is equal to the data rate. In 4-GFSK mode the symbol rate is equal to the data rate divided by 2."

<u>Comment ID: 1174 – slide 1 of 3</u>

<u>Comment</u>: The frequency deviation tolerances are too course. Will degrade the receive sensitivity.

Proposed change: Reduce the deviation tolerance.

Comment ID: 1174 – slide 2 of 3

<u>Resolution</u>: Accepted in principle. Add new figure below to sub clause 31.2.5. with title: "Eye diagram for filtered 4FSK"



Comment ID: 1174 - slide 3 of 3

Replace sentence "The frequency deviation tolerance shall be as given in 18.1.2.3.1" by "The frequency deviation tolerance for 2-GFSK shall be as given in 18.1.2.3.1. The frequency deviation tolerance for 4-GFSK shall be as given in Figure xx"

<u>Comment</u>: The symbol timing accuracy should not be less than clock frequency tolerance in the transmitter as specified in sub clause 31.4.1. because it may lead to high implementation costs without any benefit.

Proposed change: Change max symbol timing accuracy to 30ppm.

<u>Resolution</u>: Accepted in principle: replace "The symbol timing accuracy shall be better than ± 20 ppm" by "The symbol timing accuracy shall be the same or better than the radio frequency tolerance as specified in sub clause 31.4.1"

- <u>Comment:</u> FEC does not make much sense when Rate Switch is enabled because the 4GFSK mode will reduce the receive sensitivity while FEC is intended to increase the link budget.
- **Proposed change:** The Rate Switch bit in the PHR shall be set to "0" when FEC is enabled.
- **Resolution:** Accepted in principle. Add text to sub-clause 31.3 (grey font shows resolution text of comment ID-1047 "Rate Switch is optional. When Rate Switch is enabled the SHR and the PHR shall be transmitted in any 2-GFSK MCS with modulation index 1 and the PSDU shall be transmitted using the same symbol rate as is used during SHR and PHR employing 4-GFSK with modulation index 0.333. When Rate Switch is disabled then a single MCS is used during the transmission of the PPDU. The Rate Switch may be enabled from a higher layer, e.g. when the Energy Detect level is crossing a threshold. The Rate Switch shall be disabled when FEC is used."

- **<u>Comment</u>**: FEC in combination with Short Header will reduce the traceback length to 5 bits which inhibits full coding gain.
- **Proposed change:** The Short Header bit in the PHR shall be set to "0" when FEC is enabled.
- **<u>Resolution</u>**: Accepted in principle. Add text after "The Short PHR field shall be set to one to indicate the use of the short PHR." → "The Short Header shall not be used when FEC is used."

<u>Comment ID: 1178 & 1194 – slide 1 of 2</u>

Comment ID: 1178

- <u>Comment</u>: Turnaround time should be a function of the symbol rate because the propagation delay in the Gaussian filter (TX) and the channel filter (RX) are proportional to the symbol rate.
- **Proposed change:** Minimum channel switch time shall be 5xTs + 120us

Comment ID: 1194

<u>Comment:</u> Channel switch time consumes energy, reducing this time saves energy

Proposed change: change 500 µs to 200µs

<u>Comment ID: 1178 & 1194 – slide 2 of 2</u>

Resolution: Accepted in principle: Turnaround time should be a function of the symbol rate because the propagation delay in the Gaussian filter (TX) and the channel filter (RX) are proportional to the symbol rate. A fixed time component is required to allow circuits, like frequency synthesizer, to transition from RX to TX and vice versa. Replace text in sub-clause 31.4.5 by "Add description in Table 180 (P802.15.4-REVb) in value column at the "*aTurnaroundTime*" row: "For the ULP-GFSK PHY, the value is 5xTs + 120 us expressed in modulation symbol periods, rounded up to the next integer number of symbol periods using the ceiling function.""

Comment ID: 1188 - slide 1 of 3

<u>Comment (part-1)</u>: The IEEE 802.15.4q PAR paragraph 5.5 states "5.5 Need for the Project: Emerging applications in sensor networks demand increasingly small form factor, low power consumption and low cost solutions. From a power consumption perspective, this amendment addresses solutions making it possible to achieve a battery life of several years when connected to coin cell batteries and/or making it possible to use harvested energy sources while meeting the targeted data rates and continuing to support the small form factor, low cost attributes of 802.15.4.' Thus how does the 802.15.4g PAR substancially differ from the IEEE 802.15.4f PAR where paragraph 5.5 states "...Active RFID tags require the ability to provide bi-directional communications as well as ranging, and congestion control for high density reads using ultra-low power. There are no international standards that meet this capability and moreover, EPCglobal has specifically identified 802.15.4 as one clear possible air interface protocol for active RFID tags that may meet active RFID tag requirements.

Comment ID: 1188 - slide 2 of 3

<u>Comment (part-2)</u>: There is considerable demand for a globally available standard that includes, but is not limited to, the identified requirements:

- Ultra-low energy consumption (low duty cycle), Low PHY transmitter power,...Accurate location determination capability..." Examples, the GuardRFID IEEE 802.15.4f 433 MHz MSK PHY provides a 3-year zero maintenence lifetime http://guardrfid.com/guardrfid-and-omni-iddemonstrate-interoperability-between-their-products-based-on-the-ieee-802-15-4f-2012-standard/ while the Zebra 802.15.4f Low Rate PRF UWB PHY provides a long tag battery life - Up to 7 years of battery life https://www.zebra.com/content/dam/zebra/product-information/enus/brochures-datasheets/location-solutions/dartuwb-tech-datasheet-enus.pdf.
- <u>Proposed change:</u> Make the appropriate changes to the 802.15.4q PAR to substancially differenctiate it from the IEEE 802.15.4f PAR to resolve this issue or proceed no further with the 802.15.4q draft standard.

Comment ID: 1188 -slide 3 of 3

Resolution: Rejected: The highest data rate supported by the MSK PHY is 250kbps. A ULP-GFSK frame can be transmitted in a quarter of the time compared to the MSK PHY using the highest available rate. The LRP UWB PHY is not suitable for Ultra Low Power since the zero symbols (the off part of the OOK) do not contribute to the Eb/No at the receiver side. During transmission of zero symbols most transmitter and receiver functions still consume power which make OOK inferior w.r.t. energy efficiency compared to FSK. Furthermore, when considering sub-GHz, the MSK PHY and the LRP UWB PHY are only specified for usage in the 433 MHz band.

<u>Comment:</u> There are many FSK PHYs specified in 802.15.4, this PHY does not distinguish itself from the others as far as power/energy consumption

Proposed change: delete 31

<u>Resolution</u>: Rejected. The PAR states: "This amendment defines an ultra low power (ULP) physical layer supporting typical data rates up to 1 Mbps." The ULP-GFSK PHY is supporting rates up to 1Mbps, no other FSK PHY in 15.4 supports this. The highest FSK data rate currently defined in 802.15.4 is 400kb/s which is only specified for Japan. The highest rate in other bands is only 200kb/s. Using the higher data rates as specified in the ULP-GFSK PHY drastically reduces the on-time which conserves energy.

<u>Comment:</u> The draft does not meet the 5C requirement of distinct identity. There are already 6 FSK PHYs defined in 802.15.4, with data rates ranging from 2.4 kb/s to 400 kb/s operating in all of the bands already identified for the proposed ULP PHY. There is nothing in the current definition of the ULP FSK PHY that enables it to be lower power than the existing FSK PHYs.

Proposed change: Delete Clause 31 and references to the ULP FSK PHY.

Resolution: Rejected. The PAR states: "This amendment defines an ultra low power (ULP) physical layer supporting typical data rates up to 1 Mbps." The ULP-GFSK PHY is supporting rates up to 1Mbps, no other FSK PHY in 15.4 supports this. The highest FSK data rate currently defined in 802.15.4 is 400kb/s which is only specified for Japan. The highest rate in other bands is only 200kb/s. Using the higher data rates as specified in the ULP-GFSK PHY drastically reduces the on-time which conserves energy.