Project: IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)

Submission Title: Samsung's Physical Layer Proposal
Date Submitted: Nov, 2013
Source: Kiran Bynam, Young-Jun Hong, Jinesh Nair, Chandrashekhar Thejaswi, Sujit Jos, Changsoon Park, Youngsoo Kim, Chunhui Zhu, Ashutosh Gore, Jongae Park, Manoj Choudhary

E-Mail: kiran.bynam@samsung.com

Abstract: Samsung's PHY proposal as response to IEEE 802.15.4q CFP

Purpose: Response to Call for Proposals

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.

Objective

- Proposal for Physical Layer amendment as response to CFP of IEEE 8021.5.4q TG
 - With power consumption less than 15 mW
 - With receiver sensitivity less than -85 dBm

□ To operate in both coherent/non-coherent mode

- With Rx power as low as 2 mW in non-coherent mode
- With sensivity below -90 dBm in coherent mode

PPDU Format

- Quite Synonymous with IEEE 802.15.4
 - Header is protected with 4 bit HCS
 - 4 bits for indicating modulation format

Header Bits	PHY Parameter
0-7	Length of Payload (0-127 bytes)
8-11	Modulation Format



Transmitter Block Diagram



FEC-BCH codes

• BCH (63,51) codes are employed for error correction capability of 2 bits





FEC Encoder

• Any ' ℓ '-bit shortened code BCH (63 - ℓ , 51 - ℓ) codes can be obtained from above encoder with error correction capability of 2

Rate-Matching with shortened BCH codes: $FEC - BCH(63 - \ell, 51 - \ell)$

- \checkmark Incurs lesser overhead when compared to naïve zero-padding.
- ✓ Improves the performance of the FEC, since more bits can be corrected for a given packet length, and also due to the increased energy/coded bit.
- ✓ Same encoder/decoder pair is used for all combinations (all values of $1 \le \ell < 51$).

Total no. of message blocks:

Length of the new message block:

Shortening length of the code: Length of the new encoded block: Length of the new bit-stream: Required no. of zeros for insertion:

$$M_B = \left[\frac{B}{51}\right]; B - \text{packet length in bits.}$$

$$K = \left[\frac{B}{M_B}\right];$$

$$\ell = 51 - K$$

$$N = 63 - \ell$$

$$B_{new} = M_B K$$

$$Z = B_{new} - B$$

Interleaving (1/2)

• Allowed depth of interleaving, d = 2,3,4,5

Interleaving Procedure

- \checkmark Collect *d* blocks of *N*-length codewords
- ✓ Write them row-wise in a $d \times N$ dimensional array.
- \checkmark Read the array column-wise and output the data sequentially.

Ex: Interleaving for depth d=4



Interleaving (2/2)

- $M_B \rightarrow$ number of code words after FEC encoding
- $d \rightarrow$ depth of the interleaver

$$Q = \left\lfloor \frac{M_B}{d} \right\rfloor$$
$$R = mod (M_B, d)$$

- Apply depth 'd' interleaving for Q blocks
- Apply depth 'R' interleaving for last R blocks

Modulation

- Variable Spreading factor **Ternary OOK** modulation schemes
- Two types of spreading codes
 - Orthogonal code: Perfect Orthogonal sequences to map symbols '1' and '0'.
 - **Pseudorandom code :** Set of circularly shifted sequences to map 2^k symbols

М	L	Nomenclature	Orthogonal Sequences (symbols: '1' / '0')
	1 1/1-TOOK		1/0
1	2	1/2-TOOK	1 0/ 0 -1
I	1 4	1/4 –TOOK	1 0 0 1/0 -1 -1 0
	8	1/8 –TOOK	1 0 -1 0 0 -1 0 1 / 0 -1 0 1 1 0 -1 0

М	L	Nomenclature					Bas	ic P	seud	dora	ndo	m Se	eque	nce				
2	4	2/4-TOOK							1	0	0	0						
3	8	3/8-TOOK					0	0	0	1	-1	0	1	1				
4	16	4/16-TOOK	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
5	32	5/32-TOOK	-1 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	0 0	1 0	-1 1	0 1	-1 -1	-1 0	1 0	-1 0	0 0	1 0	0 1	1 1

Random Sequence Inverter



Nov 2013

Preamble Structure



Preamble Def	Spreading Factor (SF)	Base Preamble Sequence	Number of Repetition (N _{rep})
P1	2	-1 0 -1 0 1 0 1 0 -1 0 -1 0 1 0 -1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 -1 0 -	2
P2	4	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 -1 - 1 0 0 1 -1 0 0 1 -1 0 0 1 -1 0 0 -1	4
P3	8	1 0 -1 0 0 -1 0 -1 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 1 -1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	8
P4	16	-1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 -1 0 1 0 1 0 -1 -1 0 1 0 -1 0 1 0 0 1 0 -1 0 -	16

Pulse Shaping

- Gaussian Pulse Shape with BT = 0.3
- Symbol Time $T = 1\mu s$.



Nov 2013

Data Rates-Proposal

Data Rate Number	Code used	Modulati on Duty Cycle	Inter- leaver depth (d)	M (bits per Symbol)	L (chips Per Symbol)	Data Rate in 2.4 GHz (kbps)	Data Rate in 900 MHz (kbps)	Preambl e used	SFD Spreading used
D1	1/1-TOOK	0.50	1	1	1	809.5	485.7	P2	S2
D2	2/4-TOOK	0.25	2	2	4	404.8	242.8	P2	S2
D3	3/8-TOOK	0.50	3	3	8	303.6	182.1	P3	S3
D4	1/4-TOOK	0.50	1	1	4	202.4	121.4	P3	S3
D5	4/16-TOOK	0.50	4	4	16	202.4	121.4	P3	S3
D6	5/32-TOOK	0.50	5	5	32	126.5	75.9	P4	S4
D7	1/8-TOOK	0.50	1	1	8	101.2	60.7	P4	S4

- Chip rate used = 1MHz for 2.4 GHz, 600 KHz for 900 MHz band
- FEC code specified : BCH(63,51)

Data Rate Number	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
Payload efficiency for 40 bytes (% ge)	69.69	82.14	83.63	82.14	82.14	78.63	82.14

Band Plan

- Band plan similar to IEEE 802.15.4 for 2.4 GHz and 900 MHz
- 2400 MHz

$$F_c = 2405 + 5 * k, \dots k = 0, 1, 2 \dots 15$$

• 900 MHz

$$F_c = 906 + 2 * k, \dots k = 0, 1, 2 \dots 9$$

Power Spectral Density



Power Leakage Ratio	Value
Adjacent channel leakage ratio	-69 dB
Alternate channel leakage ratio	-72 dB

Receiver Architecture

- Super Regeneration based amplification used for OOK demodulation and detection
- 60 dB super regenerative gain
- Baseband processing involves
 - Synchronization
 - Demodulation and Detection



Nov 2013

Baseband Processing (1/2)



Timing Synchronization

Frame timing estimate \hat{t}

$$\hat{\tau} = \underset{j}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} x[i]y[i+j]$$

 $[x[1], ..., x[N_p]]$ – preamble template at Rx $\{y[1], y[2] ..., \}$ – baseband samples at Rx

Demodulation

Symbol estimate at epoch n, \hat{m}_n

$$\widehat{m}_n = \operatorname*{argmax}_{m \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}} \mathbf{s}_m^T \mathbf{y}_n$$

 $\mathbf{y}_n = [y_n[1], ..., y_n[L]] - rx$ samples corresponding to symbol at epoch n $\mathbf{s}_i^T = [s_i[1], ..., s_i[L]]$ - spreading sequence corresponding to symbol *i*.

Packet Error Rate in AWGN



□ 20 bytes of packet length assumed for PER measurements

Synchronization Results



BER Results for coherent mode in AWGN



• BER of 4e-5 is equivalent to PER of 1% @ 20 bytes of packet length

Link Budget for AWGN

Donomotor	Value for D7	Value for D5	Value for D1			
rarameter	(1/8-TOOK)	(4/16-TOOK)	(1/1-TOOK)			
Tra	nsmitter Budget					
Payload Data Rate (R _b) in kbps	101.2	202.4	809.5			
Distance (d) in m	30	30	30			
Bandwidth (B) in MHz	1	1	1			
Tx Antenna Gain (G _T) in dB	0	0	0			
Center Frequency (F _C) in MHz	2450	2450	2450			
Average Transmit Power (P_t) in dBm	-5	-5	-5			
R	eceiver Budget					
Path Loss at distance d m	69.77	69.77	69.77			
Rx Antenna Gain (G _R) in dB	0	0	0			
Received Power (P_{rx}) in dBm	-74.77	-74.77	-74.77			
Average Noise Per bit (N) in dBm	-123.94	-120.93	-114.91			
System Noise Figure (NF) in dB	10	10	10			
Minimum Eb/No Required in dB	14	14.5	16			
Implementation Loss (I) in dB	3	3	3			
System Performance						
Link Margin (LI) in dB	22.17	18.66	11.14			
Receiver Sensitivity (S) in dBm	-96.94	-93.43	-85.91			

Link Budget for Indoor Channels

Parameter	Value for D7 (1/8- TOOK)	Value for D5 (4/16-TOOK)	Value for D1 (1/1-TOOK)		
Tra	ansmitter Budget				
Payload Data Rate (R _b) in kbps	101.2	202.4	809.5		
Distance (d) in m	10	10	10		
Bandwidth (B) in MHz	1	1	1		
Tx Antenna Gain (G _T) in dB	0	0	0		
Center Frequency (F _C) in MHz	2450	2450	2450		
Average Transmit Power (P_t) in dBm	-5	-5	-5		
R	leceiver Budget				
Path Loss at distance d m	69.6	69.6	69.6		
Rx Antenna Gain (G _R) in dB	0	0	0		
Received Power (P_{rx}) in dBm	-74.6	-74.6	-74.6		
Average Noise Per bit (N) in dBm	-123.94	-120.93	-114.91		
System Noise Figure (NF) in dB	10	10	10		
Minimum Eb/No Required in dB	14	14.5	16		
Implementation Loss (I) in dB	3	3	3		
System Performance					
Link Margin (LI) in dB	22.34	18.83	11.31		
Receiver Sensitivity (S) in dBm	-96.94	-93.43	-85.91		

ACI Performance



Parameter	Value
Adjacent Channel Rejection	13
Alternate Channel Rejection	20

	Power CC	DIIS	
Tx Component	Power (µW) @ -5 dBm		Со
Baseband	1000		Lľ
VCO	322		E Aſ
Power Amplifier	2982		B
PLL + Freq Synthesizer	1000		PL Sy
Total	5304		

Power Consumption

Rx Component	Power (µW)
LNA+SRO	638
ED+VGA	33
ADC (8 bit)	7.5
Baseband	1500
PLL + Freq Synthesizer	1000
Total	3178.5

- Total Power consumption less than 5 mW for Receiver
- Total Power consumption of transmitter less than 7 mW @ 5 dBm EIRP
- Meets the 15.4q PAR requirement of less than 15 mW in transmit and receive modes

Targeted Area of Applications

Reference Powers for Transmitter and Receiver Circuits

- Transmitter Power Reference: For a 0 dBm Transmit power, and $\eta_T = 0.2$, The transmitter power is 5 mW
- Receiver Power Reference 1: For a median receiver current of 20mA from vendor chipsets the power is $20mA \times 3V \approx 60mW$
- Receiver Power Reference 2: For a minimum receiver current of 3.5mA from vendor chipsets the power is $3.5\text{mA} \times 3\text{V} \approx 10\text{mW}$

2.4 GHz IEEE 802.15.4 Commercial Chipsets

Chipset	Tx Current	Rx. Current
Vendor6	21 mA (0 dbm)	21 mA
Vendor7	19.6 mA (0 dbm)	19 mA
Vendor10	17 mA (+3 dbm)	16 mA
Vendor3	30 mA (+3 dbm)	25 mA
Vendor13	6 mA (0 dbm)	4 mA
Vendor5	20 mA (0 dbm)	22 mA
Vendor12	15 mA (2.5 dbm)	17.5 mA
Vendor2	30 mA (0 dbm)	37 <u>mA</u>
Vendor8	19 mA	20 <u>mA</u>
Vendor9	18.9 mA	17.4 mA
Vendor11	17 mA	13 mA
Vendor1	36 <u>mA</u>	36 <u>mA</u>
Vendor4	29 mA	24 mA
Vendor14	3.6 mA	3.5 mA

Ref [4]: IEEE902.15-12-0383-0000-4q "A Limitation of Coin Cell Batteries" Shahriar Emami

Nov 2013

Efficiency of Transceiver vs Distance

- For distances of below ~30 m, the reference transmitter and receiver system powers are higher than P_t
- For $\eta_T = 0.5$, for distances below ~ 20 m, the transmitter and receiver system powers are higher than P_{tx}
- For shorter distances the Transmitter powers and Receiver powers become more important than the Transmit signal power (EIRP)
- With this protocol, we could support applications with range up to 30 m with greater energy efficiency due to Ultra Low Receiver Power



Transmit Power Required P_t for various distances in Indoor LOS, and with Free space path loss model with n=3 and n=4



Corresp Transmitter Power P_{tx} for various distances in Indoor LOS

Applications with Low Rx Power



- Collaborating sensor nodes
- Sensors in some applications need continuous sensing
- Power Consumed by the Receiver is *also* important







TGD Compliance Sheet

TGD Metric	Evaluation
Lowest Mandatory Data Rate	101.2 kbps
Range in AWGN Channel	Link Margin of 22 dB @ 30 m
Bit Rate	101.2 to 809.5 kbps
Range in channel model proposed	Link Margin of 22 dB @ 10 m
ACI/ALCI Rejection	13/20 dB
ACPR/ALCPR	-69/-72 dB
Band Plan and co-existence	Band plan proposed for 2.4 GHz and 900 MHz
Evaluation of packet efficiency for 40 bytes	Done
Power Consumption for Receiver	3.2 mW
Power Consumption for transmitter	5.3 mW

Summary

- Proposal for air interface for Low range applications requiring ultra low power consumption
- Receiver Power of non-coherent mode less than 5 mw
- Demonstrated the positive link margin for 30 m range in awgn, 10 m range in indoor channels for all data rates proposed
- □ Coherent sensitivity of much less than -90 dBm
- □ Range of data rates 0.1 to 1 Mbps