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Aims

• General requirements for L2 routing in Field Area Networks
• Support and use in higher layer protocols – the Internet of Things
• Areas for further study
Why do L2 Routing at all?

• Range Extension
  – Why not just shout louder?
  – Technology / Cost / Regulatory / Power consumption

• Data Aggregation

• Robustness & survivability
  – Multiple / Alternative paths
    • Avoid single point of failure
  – Load balancing
    • Avoid choke points in a network

• Appropriateness
Some Application Use Cases

• Smart Metering (HAN and NAN)
• Smart City
• Environmental monitoring
• Smart Home
Smart Metering diagram

- Show collection in home
- Show backhaul aggregation in NAN
- Show sleeping end devices
Smart City diagram

- Show fixed location devices
- Traffic control / pollution monitoring
Environmental Monitoring diagram

• Show data collection
• Fixed / Scattered randomly / gps located
  – Eg water quality monitor / adhoc fire sensing
  – Wide geographic spread many sensors
Smart Home diagram

- Show multiple networks & gateways
  - Energy supplier
  - Home network
  - Multimedia – wireless, wifi, plc, wired, optical
What characteristics do these applications need?

- **Data flows**
  - One-to-many, Many-to-one
  - Point-to-point

- **Topologies**
  - Collection tree
  - Mesh
  - Adaptive

- **Routing strategies**
  - Proactive
  - Reactive

- **Management**
  - Self Organising
  - Planned

- **Communications domains**
  - Internal
  - External
    - Multiple ingress/egress points

- **Latency / QoS**

- **Power saving**
  - Sleepy routers
  - Synchronisation
Other requirements

• To make it work in the large network
  – Enforcement of reliability
    • Enhancement of hop-by-hop retransmission to reduce the E2E retransmission
  – Scattering the Joining timing when the whole network restarts
• Scalability
  – Nodes density, network size etc.
• Sleeping routers and sleeping end nodes for environmental monitoring
• Management of broadcast and multicast flooding
  – Timing, grouping etc.
• Congestion avoidance, flow control
• Security
• Priority of frames
• Others
  – Considering scalability of hardware resources to network size
Specific Example in more detail:

Layer 2 Forwarding in Embedded IP networks

(Internet of Things)
The Internet of Things

• Aim to connect many billions of devices to the internet and each other
  – Enables finer control of processes
  – Enable new synergies between systems
  – Enable new applications and improve old ones
  – Its really cool to be able to control things from my phone
• Enabling communications to devices on this scale must be small fraction of overall cost to be viable
  – Wireless device eg 802.15.4, Bluetooth etc
• But we still want to use the tried and tested protocols used on the Internet
• Specifically, need to use IPv6 to cope with the expected volume of devices
Internet Protocol (IP)

- Underlying Model for Internet Protocol
  - A number of networks connected by routers (i.e., inter-networking)
  - Each network contains a number of hosts
  - Hosts can talk directly to:
    - any other host on the same network (subnet)
    - the router(s) which connect this network to other(s)
  - E.g., think ethernet segments
Addressing and Scope

• Reason for using IPv6
  – Public IPv4 addresses are already exhausted
    • We keep going by using Network Address & Port Translation and private network addresses (eg 192.168.0.x)
    • Creates complications when trying to communicate with devices inside a private network from outside
  – 128-bit addresses
    • Not expected to run out in the near future, even with billions of devices
  – Devices can have multiple IP addresses
    • Leads to concept of scoping

• Address Scope
  – Link-local scope is defined as addresses within a subnet
  – Global scope means an address is globally reachable
  – Link-local scope and multicast are important in the mechanisms used to distribute information within subnets
    • Router advertisement and solicitation
IP Routing in multi-hop networks

- Classic IP uses IP addresses to perform the routing between hosts on different subnets.
- Mechanisms (eg Neighbor Discovery) designed with the assumption that IP multicast will work over link-local scope.
- But this simple model breaks down if the underlying media doesn’t allow all hosts in a subnet to see each other (eg wireless).
  - In this case we need some way to connect the hosts in a subnet together – more routing.
- Two methods can be used:
  - Route-Over (L3 or IP routing)
  - Mesh-Under (L2 routing)
- Each has slightly different characteristics.
Route-over

- Treats each host as a router in an independent subnet
  - Each hop to the destination is an IP transfer
  - Therefore it looks like the message is going from one router between subnet to the next

Problems with Route-over

- Breaks lots of things
  - Difficult to define the scope of message
  - Link-local is no longer equivalent to “my segment”
- Efficiency issues
Mesh-under

- Use L2 routing to connect devices in the subnet
- Multiple L2 hops are transparent to L3
- IP packet transfers from (Border) router is one IP hop
  - IP hop count controlling a packet’s Time-To-Live is still sensible
  - Media boundary (eg Wireless PAN) is link local scope
  - Maintains appearance of “ethernet like” network
- Things just work
  - Multicast can be dealt with at L2
IP over “Foo”

- Many RFCs describe how to adapt IP to specific media
- RFC 4944 and RFC 6282 describe adapting IPv6 to 802.15.4 (2006)
- Required to make the media appear to be “ethernet like”
6Lowpan is a mechanism to fit IPv6 into small data frames and improve transmission efficiency.
6LoWPAN and 15.4

- When started, it was assumed that 6LoWPAN would sit on top of an “ethernet-like” service
  - All nodes are one IP hop away
  - Like ethernet and like 802.11
  - No IEEE mesh standard available when effort started (2005)
  - Support for mesh added in the form of a mesh header to 6LoWPAN
  - RFC 4919 defines the architecture of “forwarding at the link layer”
An Embedded Stack

- Stack size < 20K
- RAM size < 4K
- Requires minimal MAC support
- Multiple Implementations
  - Open Source – Contiki/TOS
  - Atmel
  - Sensinode
  - Freescale
  - TI
  - ST Micro

Application and other layers

- Socket
- SNMP
- TFTP
- SE2.0

UDP / TCP

ICMP
- IPv6

Routing

6LoWPAN

Layer 2 Forwarding

IEEE 802.15.4 MAC layer

IEEE 802.15.4 Physical Layer
How 6LoWPAN works

- Stackable headers
  - Stolen from IPv6
- “Pay” only for what you use
  - Only 3 bytes for compressed IPv6 header
  - Only include mesh or fragmentation header if needed
- Extensible dispatch byte
- Defined in RFCs 4944 and 6282
- Fragmentation of IP packets into 15.4 payloads
  - IPv6 has minimum 1280 byte packets
6LoWPAN compression
IPv6 Neighbor Discovery

- Replaced ARP and DHCP (sort of) from IPv4
  - Neighbor Advertisement & Solicitation
  - DHCP not needed for IP address allocation
    - can still be used for default route and subnet
- Adds additional functionality
  - Stateless Address AutoConfiguration (SLAAC)
  - Router Identification
    - Router Advertisement & Solicitation
  - Duplicate Address Detection
- Problems with ND for low bandwidth networks
- Problems with 6LowPan ND
  - If you don’t have link local scope / ethernet behaviour / m’cast you have to do something special - 6LoWPAN-ND
  - Finally published as RFC 6775 last week after 4yrs and 22 drafts
  - Some optimisations are useful for both R-O and M-U
Why L2 Routing

- Simplifies higher layers – doesn't break IP
- Provides for hierarchical architecture
- Can better fit to idiosyncrasies of link
- Might provide improved performance
  - Remember fragmentation?
  - Each IP packet has to be fragmented at source and reassembled at destination
  - With Route-over solution this is every hop
  - With Mesh-under this only happens at the source and destination nodes – otherwise we just forward and route L2 packets
  - But it may not be as big a problem with the introduction of big L2 packets
- Could provide more efficient multicast
Good Functionality

- Efficient multicasting
- Hierarchy of devices
- Multihop security
Layer 2 in 802.15

- IETF deals with the Internet
  - Layer 3 and above
  - Not networks or links
- IEEE appears to be the most appropriate place
Issues

- Do we really need multicasting?
- Really, battery powered routers? Really?
- Rapid connectivity changes
- Wireless is not wired
- Are all nodes in the mesh in a single IP subnet?
- Making use of 6lowpan mesh header
- What if we can't agree
- What functions of the MAC do we require (join)?
IEEE Layer 2 Forwarding

If it was available it would have been used in 6LoWPAN from the start

When it is available we will use it.
Things to look at

• Efficient multicast at L2

• Leveraging recent MAC improvements
  – Information elements to carry routing information
  – Synchronisation mechanisms for low duty cycle (sleeping) networks

• Security in the mesh
  – Securing multicast
  – Route security