Selection Mechanism of the FCS Type

Michael Schmidt - ATMEL

November 10, 2010

November 2010 doc.: IEEE 802.15-10-0898-01-004g

IEEE P802.15 Wireless Personal Area Networks

Title: Selection Mechanism of the FCS type

Date Submitted: November 10, 2010

Source: Michael Schmidt - Atmel (email: michael.schmidt@atmel.com)

Re: Task Group 15.4g LB59 comment resolution

Abstract: Comment resolutions related to the FCS Type selection

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15.

It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or

withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution

becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available

by P802.15.

November 2010

doc.: IEEE 802.15-10-0898-01-004g

Motivation

This document addresses some issues related to the selection of the FCS type. CID #325, #726 #729

According to P802.15.4g/D2 the FCS type is either

According to P802.15.4g/D2 the FCS type is either

▶ a CRC-32 based FCS (ANSI X3.66-1979)

According to P802.15.4g/D2 the FCS type is either

- ▶ a CRC-32 based FCS (ANSI X3.66-1979)
- ▶ a CRC-16 based FCS (ITU-T CRC)

Information on the FCS type is

Information on the FCS type is

▶ signaled by a dedicated information bit of the PHR for MR-FSK.

Information on the FCS type is

- signaled by a dedicated information bit of the PHR for MR-FSK.
- not specified at all for the other SUN PHYs (MR-OFDM / MR-O-QPSK PHY), i.e. there is neither a dedicated information bit nor a PIB attribute.

- ▶ Assume that information on the FCS type is perfectly known.
- Let P_{ue}^T be the probability of an undetected error, where T is either CRC-16 or CRC-32.
- ▶ For long message sequences we have

$$P_{ue}^T \approx \frac{1}{2^L}$$

where L is the length of the CRC check sequence.

- Assume that information on the FCS type is perfectly known.
- Let P_{ue}^T be the probability of an undetected error, where T is either CRC-16 or CRC-32.
- ► For long message sequences we have

$$P_{ue}^T \approx \frac{1}{2^L}$$

where *L* is the length of the CRC check sequence.

► Hence

$$P_{ue}^{\mathsf{CRC-32}} \ll P_{ue}^{\mathsf{CRC-16}}$$

► Assume information on the FCS type itself is transmitted over the noisy channel by a single bit, i.e. the probability of a wrong decision on the FCS type is given by P_h .

- Assume information on the FCS type itself is transmitted over the noisy channel by a single bit, i.e. the probability of a wrong decision on the FCS type is given by P_b.
- ▶ For a significant P_b, the probability of an undetected error for CRC-32 is dominated by

$$\tilde{P}_{ue}^{\mathsf{CRC-32}} \approx P_b P_{ue}^{\mathsf{CRC-16}}$$

- Assume information on the FCS type itself is transmitted over the noisy channel by a single bit, i.e. the probability of a wrong decision on the FCS type is given by P_b.
- ▶ For a significant P_b, the probability of an undetected error for CRC-32 is dominated by

$$\tilde{P}_{ue}^{\text{CRC-32}} \approx P_b P_{ue}^{\text{CRC-16}}$$

► Hence for noisy channels, error detection capabilities of a CRC-32 based FCS are considerably reduced.

November 2010

doc.: IEEE 802.15-10-0898-01-004g

Proposed solutions

1. Consider a CRC-16 based FCS only (enhanced error detection based on upper security layer)

Proposed solutions

- 1. Consider a CRC-16 based FCS only (enhanced error detection based on upper security layer)
- 2. Consider a CRC-32 based FCS only

Proposed solutions

- 1. Consider a CRC-16 based FCS only (enhanced error detection based on upper security layer)
- 2. Consider a CRC-32 based FCS only
- 3. Consider a PIB attribute indicating the FCS type