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## Summary

- SFD: 4 SFD plans with length of 16-bits have been proposed for FEC and Non-FEC identification

| Plan | SFD Value for FEC mode (a) | SFD value for Non-FEC mode (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Doc. 10-0112-01-004g) | $0 x F 68 \mathrm{D}$ | $0 \times 7 B C 9$ |
| B (Doc. $10-0112-01-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 \times 6 F 4 E$ | $0 \times 904 \mathrm{E}$ |
| C (Doc. $10-0112-01-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 x 21 F 6$ | $0 \times C 9 C 2$ |
| D (Doc. $10-0126-00-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 x 632 \mathrm{D}$ | $0 \times 7 A 0 E$ |

- Performance comparisons regarding peak and rms of side lobe of correlation values have been done by
- Correlating between a and 15.4 g preamble ( 24 bit ) $+\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, or $-b$
- Correlating between $b$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, or -b .
- Correlating between $15.4 d$ preamble ( 24 bit ) + SFD ( 8 bit ) and $+\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, or -b
- Plan B achieves the best performance in terms of its correlation properties as well as the co-existence with 802.15 .4 g


## Summary of correlation values

- From the viewpoint of correlation value, plan B achieves the best performance because it offers the lowest side lobe correlation values
- From the viewpoint of co-existence with 802.15 .4 g , plan B also achieves the best performance because it offers the lowest correlation values with 802.15.4d

| Plan |  | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> Correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble <br> +a and a | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble - <br> a and a | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble <br> +b and a | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble <br> -b and a | Maximal Peak and r.m.s. of side lobe by correlation of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ preamble +b and b | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble - <br> $b$ and b | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble <br> +a and b | Maximal <br> Peak and <br> r.m.s. of <br> side lobe <br> by <br> correlation <br> of $15,4 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> preamble - <br> $a$ and $b$ | Maximum peak value of 15.4 g preamble+15. 4d SFD and a, -a, b, or -b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $a=0 x F 68 \mathrm{D}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=0 \times 7 \mathrm{BC} 9$ | 1.6013 | 2 | 1.9748 | 2.0736 | 1.1094 | 1.9215 | 2.4083 | 2.0976 |  |
| B | 0x6F4E | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 0x904E | 1.948 | 1.8947 | 1.7029 | 1.7607 | 1.948 | 1.6641 | 2.0248 | 2.2583 |  |
| C | 0x21F6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 0xC9C2 | 1.7831 | 1.7246 | 1.7321 | 1.7889 | 2.1721 | 1.9215 | 2.3875 | 2.2583 |  |
| D | 0x632D | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 0x7A0E | 1.8947 | 2.4179 | 1.8439 | 2.3664 | 3.5518 | 3.6374 | 3.5777 | 3.4351 |  |

## Comments from Doc. 10-0126-00-004g

- While DC imbalance of the SFD's is not a selection criterion, the preamble is designed to have 0 DC and smaller DC imbalances are generally preferable
- The value "The DC imbalance" is computed by finding the absolute value of the (sum of the zeros - sum of the ones). Since there are 2 SFD's, the larger DC imbalance is listed
- From these viewpoints, plan D has the best performance because DC balance will be 0

Resolution

- To have lower DC imbalance is "nice to have" but minor problem from the viewpoint of feasibility
- Because although the DC imbalance value of the 802.15.4d SFD (8-bits) is 2 , where five ' 1 ' values and three ' 0 ' values, the product (chip) has been developed
- In the case of 802.15 .4 g 16 -bit SFD, it would be OK to develop actual chip even if the DC values is 4 or 5 because of the assumption that 16 -bit SFD can be supported with smaller imbalance.
- Most important parameters of SFD would be autocorrelation, cross-correlation image-correlation values when the SFD is combined with preamble and correlated with the SFD itself.


## Appendix (Partially referred by doc. 10-0112-01)

## Proposal on SFD

| Plan | SFD Value for FEC mode | SFD value for Non-FEC mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Doc. $10-0112-01-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | 0xF68D | $0 \times 7 B C 9$ |
| B (Doc. $10-0112-01-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 \times 6 F 4 \mathrm{E}$ | $0 \times 904 \mathrm{E}$ |
| C (Doc. $10-0112-01-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 \times 21 F 6$ | $0 \times C 9 C 2$ |
| D (Doc. $10-0126-00-004 \mathrm{~g})$ | $0 \times 632 \mathrm{D}$ | $0 \times 7 A 0 \mathrm{E}$ |

## Correlation performance of Plan A ( $a=0 \times F 68 D, b=0 \times 7 B C 9$ )

- Left figs: Correlation values between a and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b$, or $-b$
- Right figs: Correlation values between $b$ and 15.4 g preamble ( 24 bit ) $+\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, or-b
- Note: maximal correlation values between 15.4 d -preamble+15.4d-SFD and $a,-a, b$, or $-b$ are 6




Cross correlation image $b$ with $a$, SidePeak $=4$,SideRms $=2.0736$




## Correlation performance of Plan B ( $a=0 \times 6 F 4 E, b=0 \times 904 E)$

- Left figs: Correlation values between $a$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b$, or $-b$
- Right figs: Correlation values between $b$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b, o r-b$
- Note: maximal correlation values between 15.4 d -preamble+15.4d-SFD and $a,-a, b$, or $-b$ are 4



## Correlation performance of Plan C ( $a=0 \times 21 F 6, b=0 \times C 9 C 2$ )

- Left figs: Correlation values between $a$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b$, or $-b$
- Right figs: Correlation values between $b$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b, o r-b$
- Note: maximal correlation values between 15.4d-preamble+15.4d-SFD and $a,-a, b$, or $-b$ are 6






## Correlation performance of Plan D ( $A=0 \times 632 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{b}=0 \times 7 \mathrm{AOE})$

- Left figs: Correlation values between $a$ and 15.4 g preamble (24 bit) $+a,-a, b$, or $-b$
- Right figs: Correlation values between $b$ and 15.4 g preamble ( 24 bit ) $+\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, or-b
- Note: maximal correlation values between 15.4 d -preamble+15.4d-SFD and $a,-a, b$, or $-b$ are 6


