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## What is revised from the previous Hawaii proposal

- Channelization summarized for allocation on both 950 MHz and 400 MHz band assuming 200 kHz spacing with overlapping
- PHY parameter revision in symbol rate and modulation index
- FEC by systematic convolutional code that effectively realizes low rate transmission
- SFD proposal to identify PHR+PSDU
- PSDU modification for flexibility


## Hawaii proposal

- FSK based SUN is considered to work well in Japanese region( $400 / 950 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) without suffering from multi-path degradation
- No serious multi-paths are found in the propagation range assumed in Japanese SUN
- Multi-hop capability for service area expansion could also provide route with less multi-path effects
- Link budget analysis and outdoor experiment results confirm that:
- Up to 150 m propagation range to achieve -60 dBm received power with 10 mW transmission power
- 300 m with 700 mW
- No notch attenuation more than 20 dB over 300 m radius area
- Computer simulation results confirm that frames are successfully relayed to the collection station where $80 \%$ of all radio links are seriously degraded over $400 \mathrm{~m} \times 400 \mathrm{~m}$ area, while only $20 \%$ of frames are successfully sent without multi-hop transmission


## Latest status for modulation and channel parameters

- With channelization revisions on both 950 MHz and 400 MHz thereby number of channels for each rate has been determined
- Overlapping channels assumed: $400 / 600 \mathrm{kHz}$ width with 200 kHz spacing
- Modulation indexes are reconsidered for 200ksymbols/s
- Previous become ( $1.0,0.33$ ) for (200kbps, 400kbps)

For Japanese bands Note: BT of 0.5 used with GFSK *; Mandatory mode

| Frequency band (MHz) | Parameter | Low rate 50 kbps |  | Medium rate 100 kbps | $\begin{gathered} \text { High rate } \\ 200 / 400 \text { kbps } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non FEC | FEC |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 950.9-955.7 \\ \text { (Japan, 23/22 Ch.) } \end{array}$ | Symbol rate | 50ksymbol/s* | 100ksymbol/s* | 100ksymbol/s* | 200ksymbol/s |
|  | Signal bandwidth(kHz) | 200 | 400 | 400 | 600 |
|  | Channel spacing (kHz) | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
|  | Modulation | GFSK | GFSK | GFSK | GFSK/4GFSK |
|  | Modulation Index | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (1.0, 0.33) for } \\ (2,4 G F S K) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \# of channels within band | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
|  | Channel overlap | N | Y | Y | Y |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 400-430 (1.0MHz BW) } \\ & \text { (Japan, 4/5 Ch.) } \end{aligned}$ | Symbol rate | 50ksymbol/s* | 100ksymbol/s* | 100ksymbol/s* | 200ksymbol/s |
|  | Signal bandwidth(kHz) | 400 | 400 | 400 | 600 |
|  | Channel spacing (kHz) | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
|  | Modulation | GFSK | GFSK | GFSK | GFSK/4GFSK |
|  | Modulation Index | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} (1.0,0.33) \text { for } \\ (2,4 G F S K) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \# of channels within band | 5 (TBD) | 4 (TBD) | 4 (TBD) | 3(TBD) |
|  | Channel overlap | N | Y | Y | Y |

## Allocation on 950MHz band

- Channel BW: 400 kHz for $50 / 100 \mathrm{kbps}, 600 \mathrm{kHz}$ for $200 / 400 \mathrm{kbps}$
- 2 or 3 bundling of 200 kHz BW channels
- Channel spacing: 200kHz
- Channel overlap is necessary to accommodate with Japanese regulatory requirements


GFSK:200kHz spacing for $50 / 100 \mathrm{kbps}, 1 \mathrm{~mW}$ (20channels)
GFSK:200kHz spacing for $50 / 100 \mathrm{kbps}, 10 \mathrm{~mW}$ (3channels) GFSK/4GFSK:200kHz spacing for 200/400kbps, 1 mW (20channels) GFSK/4GFSK:200kHz spacing for 200/400kbps, 10 mW (2channels)

## Allocation on 400 MHz band

- About 1 MHz system bandwidth are under consideration out of $400-430 \mathrm{MHz}$ band
- Channel allocation is similarly done to 950 MHz case


GFSK:200kHz spacing for 50/100kbps, 10mW(4channels) GFSK/4GFSK:200kHz spacing for $200 / 400 \mathrm{kbps}, 10 \mathrm{~mW}$ (3channels)

## Allocation Table

| Channel page (decimal) | Channel page (binary) (b31, b30, b29, b28, b27,) | Channel number (decimal) | Channel number description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 00110 |  |  |
| 7 | 00111 | 0-10 | (additional) Channels 0 to 10 in 950 MHz band using GFSK at 100 kbps |
|  |  | 11-26 | Reserved |
| 8 | 01000 | 0-22 | Channels 0 to 22 in 950 MHz band using GFSK at 50 kbps |
|  |  | 23-26 | Reserved |
| 9 | 01001 | 0-21 | Channels 0 to 21 in 950 MHz band using GFSK at 200 kbps |
|  |  | 22-26 | Reserved |
| 10 | 01010 | 0-21 | Channels 0 to 21 in 950 MHz band using 4GFSK at 400 kbps |
|  |  | 22-26 | Reserved |
| 11 | 01011 | 0-3 | Channels 0 to 3 in 400 MHz band using GFSK at 100 kbps |
|  |  | 4-7 | Channels 4 to 7 in 400 MHz band using GFSK at 50 kbps |
|  |  | 8-10 | Channels 8 to 10 in 400 MHz band using GFSK at 200 kbps |
|  |  | 11-13 | Channels 11 to 13 in 400 MHz band using 4GFSK at 400 kbps |
|  |  | 14-26 | Reserved |
| 6 12-31 | 01100-11111 | Reserved |  |

## Backup: MCS determination for Japan band

950MHz band

| Data rate | Parameter candidate |  |  |  | T-96 compliance | Note; "Why it must be eliminated?" (Need to be validated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mod. scheme | Symbol rate | Modulation index | Channel bundling |  |  |
| Low and Medium rate (50 and 100kbps) | 2GFSK | 100ksps | 1.0 | 3 | Y | Excess bandwidth |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Tentatively employed (same as 802.15.4d) |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N | - |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 3 | Y | Excess bandwidth |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Worse sensitivity than MI:1.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | ??? | ??? |
|  |  |  | 0.3 | 3 | Y | Excess bandwidth |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Worse sensitivity than $\mathrm{Ml}: 1.0$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | ??? | ??? |
| High rate (200/400kbps) | 2GFSK | 200ksps | 1.0 | 3 | Y | May better accommodate with 400kbps |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | N |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N |  |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 3 | Y | Better accommodate with 400kbps |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Best choice for performance and spectrum efficiency |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N |  |
|  |  |  | 0.3 | 3 | Y | Worse sensitivity than MI:0.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Worse sensitivity than MI:0.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N | - |
|  | 4GFSK |  | 1.0 | 3 | N | - |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | N | - |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N | - |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 3 | Y | Best choice for performance and spectrum efficiency |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | N | - |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N | - |
|  |  |  | 0.33 | 3 | Y | Better accommodate with 200kbps |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | Y | Possible NG for practical design (little margin) |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | N | - (anticipated from 2 ch bundling simulation) |

## Appendix1: PSD on 950MHz for 400kHz-bandwidth

| Frequency band | Frequency | Absolute limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 950 MHz band <br> (for 10 mW GFSK <br> channels) | $\|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{fc}\|>0.4 \mathrm{MHz}$ | -39 dBm |
|  | $0.4 \mathrm{MHz}>\|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{fc}\|>0.2 \mathrm{MHz}$ | -18 dBm <br> (within 200 kHz width) |



## Appendix2: PSD on 950MHz for 600kHz-bandwidth

| Frequency band | Frequency | Absolute limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 950 MHz band <br> (for 10 mW GFSK <br> channels) | $\|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{fc}\|>0.5 \mathrm{MHz}$ | -39 dBm |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{MHz}>\|\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{fc}\|>0.3 \mathrm{MHz}$ | -18 dBm <br> (within 200 kHz width) |



## PHR coding and whitening

- SFF proposes data whitening on whole PHR + PSDU input, as in 15.4d
- Since SFF employs single sequence for scrambling, the received PHR part can be read after descrambling without scrambler seed information
- Then, the following PSDU with variable length can be successfully received based on the PSDU length information in the descrambled PHR


## Modulation and coding for each field

- First cut of PPDU design

| SHR |  | PHR | PSDU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preamble | SFD |  |  |


| 50kbps (mandatory) | 50ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) | 50ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) | 50ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50kbps* (mandatory) | 100ksymbols/s (Spreading factor:2**, no FEC, 2GFSK) | 100ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) | 100ksymbols/s (no spreading, FEC, 2GFSK) |  |
| 100kbps (mandatory) | 100ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) |  |  |  |
| 200kbps (optional) | 200ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) |  |  |  |
| 400kbps <br> (optional) | 200ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 2GFSK) |  |  | 200ksymbols/s (no spreading, no FEC, 4GFSK) |

*; This mode to be further discussed
**; Spreading methods would be discussed

## Systematic convolutional coding for FEC

- Better coding gain with moderate gate count ( $10 \mathrm{k} @ R=1 / 2, K=5,3$ soft bit) is achieved.
- Same as other systematic coders, a systematic convolutional encoder outputs original input data (see the following figure), which means the receiver can demodulate the received encoded data without Viterbi decoding.
- The receiver can choose decoding method (Viterbi decoding or withtoutdecoding) according to link performance or power consumption he want to achieve.
- Manufacturer also can decide to implement the Viterbi decoder in the receiver according system they use.
- Smaller K is possible to use for lower burden with moderate coding gain.



## Computer simulation for evaluating

- The following PHY Set candidates are evaluated by computer simulation
- 40kbps transmission (40ksymbol/s, no FEC)
- 50kbps transmission (50ksymbol/s, no FEC)
- 100kbps transmission (100ksymbol/s, no FEC)
- 46.7kbps transmission (100ksymbol/s, BCH(15, 7, 2))
- 73.3kbps transmission (100ksymbol/s, RS(15, 11, 2))
- 50kbps transmission (100ksymbol/s, Systematic CC(R=1/2, K=5))


## Evaluation results

- When decoded by the receiver, it can achieve 50kbps rate transmission performance with 5.1 dB coding gain compared with 50kbps based on 50ksymbol/s



## FEC candidates evaluated

- FEC candidates listed in the following table were evaluated in NICT for the lowest data-rate mode using 2GFSK with $100 \mathrm{ksymbol} / \mathrm{s}$
- We concluded that plan $A$ is the best in the all

| Plan | PHR/PSDU FEC | Information bit rate | Coding gain (@BER =10-5 in AWGN) | Required CNR (@BER =10-5 in AWGN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Systematic convolutional code with Viterbi dec. $(\mathrm{R}=1 / 2, \mathrm{~K}=5)$ | 50 kbps | 5.1 dB | 7.2 dB |
| B | RS(15,11,2) | $\begin{aligned} & 73.3 \mathrm{kbps} \\ & \left(=11 / 15^{*} 100\right. \\ & \text { ksymbol/s) } \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 dB | 11.2 dB |
| C | BCH (15,7,2) | $\begin{aligned} & 46.7 \mathrm{kbps} \\ & \left(=7 / 15^{*} 100\right. \\ & \text { ksymbol/s) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.9 dB | 11.1 dB |

## Proposed SFD patterns

- Four types of SFD pattern proposed to identify other FSK camps and No-FEC mode:

| SHR (repetitions of [-1 1] | SFD |  | PHR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - SFD\#1 | SFD1(8btis): Golay seq a | SFD2(8btis): Golay sea-b |  |
| - SFD\#2 | SFD1(8btis): Golay sea $b$ | SFD2(8btis): Golay sed a |  |
| - SFD\#3 | SFD1(8btis): Golay sea b | SFD2(8btis): Golay seq-a |  |
| - SFD\#4 | SFDI(8btis): Golay sea a | SFD2(8btis): Golay sea $b$ |  |

- All SFD patterns composed of 8-bit complementary Golay sequences $a$ and $b$ :


## Appendix3: Features of Golay sequences

- Golay sequences consist of a pair of binary sequences $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ with length of $2^{N}$ chips, where $N$ is a positive integer.
- Sum of the autocorrelations results in unique main peak without sidelobe.
- Golay sequences can carry 2-bit (4-state) information by using $+\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{a},+\boldsymbol{b}$ and $-\boldsymbol{b}$.



## SFF PPDU

- Different SFD to distinguish between 802.15.4d and 802.15.4g in 950 MHz band in Japan
- One octet (802.15.4d) vs. two octets
- Support for short frame (with CRC-16) for efficiency
- Frame control field to define
- CRC option (16 or 32)
- Others (TBD)
- FEC option
- Data rate (modulation order, 2/4GFSK for high data rate)
- 2bit scrambler seed field is eliminated in the latest proposal
Proposed PPDU format

| Octet: variable | 2 | 2 |  |  | variable | 2/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bit: variable | 16 | 4 | 1 | 11 | variable | 16/32 |
| SHR |  | PHR |  |  | PSDU |  |
| Preamble | SFD | Frame control |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Frame length } \\ \text { (MSB first) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PHY payload excluding FCS | FCS |
|  |  | Reserved | CRC option |  |  |  |

