<b>IEEE P802.15</b>	
Wireless Personal Area Network	S

Project	IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)						
Title	AV PHY LB43 suggested resolut	AV PHY LB43 suggested resolutions					
Date Submitted	[4 August, 2008						
Source	[James P. K. Gilb] [SiBEAM] [555 N. Mathilda, Suite 100, Sunnyvale, CA 94085]	Voice: [408-245-3120] Fax: [408-245-3120] E-mail: [last name at ieee dot org]					
Re:	[]						
Abstract	[Suggested comment resolutions from I	LB43 for the AV PHY.]					
Purpose	[To assist in comment resolution.]						
Notice	This document has been prepared to ass offered as a basis for discussion and is r individual(s) or organization(s). The ma to change in form and content after furth reserve(s) the right to add, amend or with	ist the IEEE P802.15. It is not binding on the contributing terial in this document is subject her study. The contributor(s) thdraw material contained herein.					
Release	The contributor acknowledges and acce becomes the property of IEEE and may P802.15.	pts that this contribution be made publicly available by					

# 1. AV PHY issues related to MAC

## 1.1 Extended MAC header (CID 29)

#### Comment:

297.2.10.1	20	40	T	N	Extensified MAC header	Please add feet to clause 8 (MAC functional description) to describe the function of the extended MAC header.
------------	----	----	---	---	------------------------	---

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Add to 7.2.10.1 "The Extended MA C header to describe the contents of an AV aggregated frame, which typically is used to transport uncompressed audio and video."

#### 1.2 Normal, composite frame (CID 30)

Comment:

307.210.1.1 21 29 T N	Nermal and Composite Frames Classes	What is a Normal kame? What is a Composite frame? There is no explanation of these terms and the reader is left guesning
-----------------------	-------------------------------------	---

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: change "normal" to be "regular" and "composite" to be "AV aggregated" and add to the section "Regular frames have a single payload field without MAC level aggregation while AV aggregated frames have one or more subframes as a part of the frame."

#### 1.3 AV information in PHY and MAC header

#### Comment:



Suggested resolution – Reject: The video information is carried in the MAC header because its delivery is more reliable than that of the sub-frames. Because the video is uncompressed, even corrupted sub-frames can be used to recreate an adequate video image, if the position of the pixels and related information is correctly delivered. This particularly important for UEP implementations that provide correct msbs but have lsbs with errors. For compressed video or data streams, this information is not as useful and so it can be carred in the MSDU.

# 1.4 AV aggregated frame format (CID 142, CID 569, CID 570, CID 571, CID 572, CID 573, CID 574, CID 575, CID 576, CID 577)

#### Comment:

1427 2 16	20	31	т	Y	There is AV aggregated frame body format defined separately from the Law Latency and Standard aggregation. It is not clear if it applies to one of the defined aggregation schemes or not.	Provide explanation. Unity the aggregation schemes to be usable for any PHY
5697 2 10	20	28-3	ет	Y	Is the "AV aggregated trans format" intended to be used only with the AV OFOM PHY?	Please clarify. The spec would be far eimpler if the HSI OFDM and A/V OFDM modes were merged.
5707 2 10.1	20.21	39-4	HT	Y	Is the "Estended MAC header" intended to be used only with the AV/ CFDM PHY?	Please clarify. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and A/V OFDM modes were metged.
5717 2 10.1.1	21.22	15-5	AT	¥	Is the "Extended cartrol header" intended to be used only with the AVV OFDM PHY?	Please clarify. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and A/V OFDM modes were metged.
5727 2 10 1 2	22	6-41	T	¥.	Is the "MAC extension header" intended to be used only with the AVY OFDM PHV?	Please clarify. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFOM and AN OFOM modes wini marged.
5737.2.10.1.3	22.23	43-5	47	Y	is the "Security header" intended to be used only with the AV OFDM PHY?	Please clarity. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and AN CFDM modes were marged.
5747 2.10 1.4	23,24	21.5	AT	Y	is the "Video header" intended to be used only with the AVV CEDM PHY?	Please clarify. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and AVV CFDM modes were marged.
5757 2 10 2	24,25	13.5	AT	¥	Is the "subframe format" defined in this subclause intended to be used only with the A/V OFDM PHY?	Please clarity. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and A/V OFDM modes were merged.
5767 2 10 3	25,26	45-5	at T	¥.	Is the "composite" frame defined in this subclause intended to be used only with the AV OFDM PHV?	Please clarify. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFOM and AN OFOM modes wini marged.
5777.2 10.4	26	3-21	т	Y	Is the "normal" flatte defined in this subclause intended to be used only with the AVV OFDM PHY?	Please clarity. The spec would be far simpler if the HSI OFDM and A/V OFDM modes were marged.

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Add text to the beginning of 7.2.10, "The AV aggregated frame format is optimized to carry uncompressed audio and video in an efficient manner. The AV aggregated frame format is used instead of the standard aggregation or low latency aggregation formats."

#### 1.5 Long and short preamble (CID 322)

Comment:

					The first Ormi LRP packet in a CTA shall be serif using the short Ormi LRP preamble. Sobnequent firmes shall use the long Ormi LRP preamble The beacon frame shall use the	It is not clear what is the rule for preamble type for maliple barnin separated by SFS + ACK +SIFS need
32212.4.3	144	27	T	N.	Jong Omni LRP greamble	clatification

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change "Subsequent frames shall use …" to be "Subsequent LRP frames sent in a CTA shall use …"

#### 1.6 Different definitons of headers for AV PHY (CID 323 and CID 324)

Comment:

3237.2.10.1.2	22	6	т	N	do not understant the different definitions of headers for AV PHY in 2 sections	need clarification
324 12.4.3.8	148	47	т	N	do not understand the different definitions of headers for AV PHY in 2 sections	need clarification

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: The Directional LRP header is a PHY header used for LRP frames. The subframe format is a MAC header used for the subframes that are part of the payload of a PHY frame. LRP frames do not use the composite format, so the subframe header is not used for LRP frames.

#### 1.7 Use of Frame type field in Extended Control Header field (CID 325)

Comment:

L

22012101 21 21	-		Do not understand the different use of Frame type in Extended control header and type field in	and definition
- 3/30/-2.10.1 µ1 - p1	10 1	19.	WAY Excension Heaser	coop claimcación

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: The Frame Type field in the Extended Control Header field is used for "Normal" frame types which have only one sub-frame. The Type field in the MAC Extension Header field is used for "Composite " frame types which have one or more sub-frames that may be of different types. The MAC Extension Header field is not used for "Normal" frame types to save space in LRP frames. In 7.2.10.1 change "... in other frames. Valid values ..." to be "... in other frames. AV aggregated frames use the Type field in the MAC Extension Header field while the Omni-ACK and Beacon frames don't require the Frame Type field. Valid values ..."

# 2. AV OFDM specific comments

# 2.1 CCA time for HR PHY (CID 92)

Comment:

						The clause indicates that CCA detection is not supported for the HRP AV PHY but yet in clause 12, 41.5 it is indicated that the AV PHY shall support the use of the CAP. There are varius resums the
9212.4.1.2.6	130	222	T	Y.	CCA detect time	HRP AV PHY meets to do a CCA.

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: All contention for the AV PHY is done with the LRP, not the HRP. Add text to 12.1.4.2.6 at the end of the last sentence ", because only the LRP is used in CPs."

## 2.2 UEP multiplexer description (CID 93 and CID 94)

Comment:

93 12.4.2.10.2	137	12	T	N	Clarification Spure needed	The text on the UEP coding data multiplexer is confusing and a clarification drawing would be useful.
9412.4.2.10.3	139	13	т	N	Clarification figure needed	The test on the UEP mapping data multipleaser is confusing and a clarification drawing would be useful

Suggested resolution: Need to discuss with the commenter to see what kind of figure or modifications to existing figures would assist in understanding the multiplexer.

# 2.3 OQPSK in preamble (CID 97)

Comment:

9712433	146	18	T	N	Whamout of the blue we are told the AV Whamout of the blue we are told the AV PhY uses OGPSK for the preamble. What else OGPSK used for the LRP (and is OGPSK used for the LRP (and
---------	-----	----	---	---	---

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: The OQPSK modulation is only used for the preamble, not for data modulation, and so it isn't mentioned in Table 153. To clarify this, change the first sentence of the sec-

ond paragraph from "The OQPSK sequence is created ..." to be "The OQPSK sequence used in the LRP preamble is created ..."

## 2.4 Switch from OQPSK to OFDM in Figure 209 (CID 99 and CID 101)

Comment:

99 12 4 3 4	147	e	т	N	Figure 205: Modification of Figure 205	Please modify Figure 206 to indicate where we switch from OGPSK to OFDM.
				-		4

Suggested resolution – Reject: The switch between OQPSK and OFDM is adequately described in the text that follows the figure.

#### 2.5 TX antenna switching (CID 100 and CID 102)

Comment:

100 12 4 3 4	147	33	T	м	Clarification needed on the text	We are given a listing of numbers and tail they "nespectively" go with the fields in Figure 206. "Respective" is a matter of interpretation and we are asking for trouble. Please explicitly indicate which sample number gives with which field.
10212435	148	11	T	N	Clarification needed on the text	We are given a fating of numbers and tald they "respectively" go with the fields in Figure 207. "Respective" is a matter of interpretation and we are asking for trouble. Please explicitly indicate which sample number gives with which field.

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change the text into a table that lists the field name and the number of samples for the antenna pattern switching.

#### 2.6 Confusing sentence (CID 105)

Comment:

12.4.3.8	150	13	7	N	Confusing incoherent sentence	If make a suggestion on what to do but I don't understand it. The sentence begins "When performing consolutional coding
----------	-----	----	---	---	-------------------------------	---

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change "When performing convolutional ... the tail-biting code is used." to be "The number of encoded bits in the Directional LRP Payload field determines if the standard code with tail bits or the tail-biting code is used on the field."

## 2.7 Beam forming for AV PHY (CID 112, CID 138, CID 147, CID 235)

#### Comment:

L

11213.3	164	彩	T	¥	Beam Forming for the AV PHY	If appears the techniques of section 13 are not applicable to the AV PHY, so how dries the AV PHY do beam forming and attenna training? AV PHY beam forming and antenna training text needs to be added to the document.
130 13.2	155	27	т	¥	Beam Forming protocol to defined for the SC and HSEOFDM PHY only. What is the beamforming pretocol for the AV PHY?	Define beamforming protocal far the AV PHY
147 13.3	165	27	т	¥	Beam Forming is somewhat defined for the SC and HSLOFDM PHY only. What is the beamforming protocol for the AV PHY?	Define beamforming protocol for the AV PHY
235 13.3	164	45	т	¥	Beam Forming for the AV PHY	AV PHY beam forming and antenna training text needs to be added to the document.

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change "the SC or HSI-OFDM bit stream" to be "the bitstream" and change "to the burst size in SC (K = 256) or to the number of used carriers in HSI-OFDM (K = )." to be "to the burst size in the SC PHY or to the number of used subcarriers in the HSI or AV PHY."

#### 2.8 RS with convolutional coding vs. LDPC with RX coding (CID 283, CID 481)

Comment:

283 12 4 2 8	134	42	т	¥	Whats the mason to use consistenal coding concutenated with RS and not LDPC concutenated with RS	
48112.4.2.8	134	10	T	14	why CC with RS and not LDPC with RS7	

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: The RS code is needed to improve the performance of the convolutional code. However, the LDPC code does not require an outer encoder. No change required.

# 3. Minor technical comments

#### 3.1 Q-omni (CID 48)

Comment: Add Q-omni to acronyms

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Reformat the figures that use Q-omni to match the format used in the draft and change Q-omni to quasi-omni throughout the draft.

## 3.2 CP usage (CID 58 and CID 95)

Comment: Conflict between usages of CP in the draft.

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change CP (where it referes to the cyclic prefix) and cyclic prefix to be GI and guard interval, respectively, to avoid confusion with contention periods (CPs) that is already
defined in the 802.15.3b.

54 Overlap of ST and ST\_ primitives (CID 117)

Comment:

117/Table A.2 179 T N Notation techlero	was defined in section 4 as "sector training", yet here it in used to indicate "stream "???? Please clean up by using unique indication.
---	---

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: Change "ST\_" to "STREAM\_" in the primitives in this subclause.

#### 3.3 Antenna connector (CID 587)

Comment:

507 12.1.2 59 44-46T Y	If there is no antenna connector, how can the antenna gain be determined so that it can be compensated?	is it important? Needs thought.
------------------------	---	---------------------------------

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: In order to allow innovation in antenna design, different antenna gains are anticipated for this standard. In particular, some antenna designs may make it difficult, if not impossible, to perform an "antenna connector" measurement. However, with simulations and analysis, a manufacturer can estimate the antenna gain and to calculate the power at the antenna to radio interface.

# 4. Empty bits in Figure 42a (CID 32, CID 385, CID 493, CID 579)

Comment:

27.47	31	16	T	N.	Figure 42a Empty bits in the field format	files 22 to 17 are unspecified. Please indicate the use of these bits.
386 4 21	31	3	T	٣	In Figure 42a, bit fields 517-522 are undefined. What are they used for?	Add labels to explain how these fields are used or mack them as Reserved.
4937.4.22	31	1990	τī	Y.	bit 17 to bit 22 usage are not defined and there are two PET bits	define it
		_			In Louis 474 what are 177, 177. They are	Please define the late or indicate that

Suggested resolution – Accept in principle: This is a typo, the extra bits are not needed. Replace Figure 42a with below.

bits: 2	2	1	3	4	4
Number RX	Number TX	PET	Antenna	Number	Number
quasi-omni directions	quasi-omni directions		type	RX sectors	TX sectors

#### Figure 42a—Beam forming capabilities field format

## 5. Number of PHYs

CIDs 8, 18, 19, 20, 21, 126, 133, 149, 151, 163, 227, 278, 282, 301, 369, 370, 371, 376, 379, 380, 418, 435, 440, 477, 487, 554, 590, 634, 637

Summary of elected suggested resolutions:

Remove subclause 12.3 and any references, Delete either section 12.3 or 12.4, preference is 12.3, use same tone spacing, use same interleaver Merge OFDMs, retain LRP Merge OFDMs Reduce number of PHYs to less than 3 Use same preamble Remove any two of the sections 12.2, 12.3 and 12.4.

Suggested resolution – The HSI PHY design is optimized to provide bi-directional, low latency data connectivity while the AV PHY design is optimized to provide high quality, uncompressed, lossless, high-definition audio and video streaming content.

# 6. Supported channels in Clause 5 (CID 9)

Comment:

9551		21		¥	The fact of address is compared dence in the sequence to support more than one-channel," is ambeguous and may lead to non-interspenable implementations. Here is the way i interpreted the text when I mod it. Product A operates only on channel A, Product B operates only on channel B. Both products are compliant with the least, yet thy carront interoperate. Perhaps the ambiguity is resolved elsewhere in the document or I don't fully understand the context is which this clause in to be applied.	Resolve the ambiguity-as an example, I would change the text to read "In addition, a compliant device is not required to support more than one channel, specifically channel A," Where channel A is defined elsewhere in the document.
------	--	----	--	---	---	--

Suggested resolution – (pending)