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Motivation

To extract statistical parameters of UWB channels from empirical data in indoor and outdoor office environments.

These parameters will be used

- To simulate various UWB channels’ propagation behavior.
- To validate the range and throughput requirements of 15.4a UWB PHY proposals in various environments.
- To help to design appropriate modulations and coding schemes to combat the ill-effects of multipaths.
Equipment Setup

- Measurements were taken in frequency domain using VNA (Agilent 8753E)
  - Center Frequency: $f_c = 4.5\text{GHz}$
  - Bandwidth: $BW = 3\text{GHz}$
  - Frequency bins: $N = 1601$
  - Delay resolution: $\dfrac{1}{BW} = 0.33\text{ns}$
  - Frequency step: $f = \dfrac{BW}{N-1} = 1.875\text{MHz}$
  - Max. excess delay: $\frac{1}{f} = 533.3\text{ns} (160\text{m})$
  - Sweeping time: $t_{sw} = 600\text{ms}$
  - Max. Doppler shift: $f_{d,max} = \frac{1}{t_{sw}}$
  - IF bandwidth: $IF_{BW} = 3.7\text{kHz}$
  - Antenna type: Omni-directional Cone antennas (3-6GHz)
  - Antenna heights: 1.2m
Equipment Setup

- Fig. (1) shows the equipment setting. Frequency domain data are collected by a laptop with Agilent IntuLink VNA software via GPIB interface.

- This equipment setup (without antennas) is calibrated using the 8753E calibration kit.

- For the outdoor measurements, an amplifier with 10 dBm gain is used at the Tx.
Equipment Setup

Fig. (1): Equipment Setup

Calibration kit, cone antennas & amplifier
Environments (Indoor Office)

- Indoor office environments: OFF_LOS, OFF_SOFT_NLOS and OFF_HARD_NLOS.
  - Tx-Rx separations ranging from 5m to 18m.
  - At each location, measurements are taken over a square grid of K (= 9) spatial points (5cm inter-distance).
  - Figs. (2), (3) & (4) show the Tx/Rx locations for OFF_LOS, OFF_NLOS and RM_NLOS measurements respectively.
Environments (Outdoor Office)

- Outdoor office environments: OUT_LOS.
  - Tx-Rx separations ranging from 3m to 24m.
  - Number of locations: OUT_LOS-41 locations.
  - At each location, measurements were taken over a square grid of K (= 9 or 49) spatial points (5cm inter-distance).
  - Figs. (5) shows the Tx/Rx locations for OUT_LOS measurements.
Environments

- OFF_SOFT_NLOS environment: There are cubicles between the Tx & Rx, where **fabric panels** separate the cubicles.

- OFF_HARD_NLOS environment: Tx & Rx are separated by 1 or 2 walls which are made of **gypsum** material.

- OUT_LOS environment: In the middle of two connected buildings (metal plated concrete walls with small/large glass windows) and has some trees around.
OFF_LOS Tx/Rx Locations

Fig. (2): Tx/Rx locations for OFF_LOS
OFF_SOFT_NLOS_S Tx/Rx Locations

Fig. (3): Tx/Rx locations for OFF_SOFT_NLOS
OFF_HARD_NLOS Tx/Rx Locations

Fig. (4): Tx/Rx locations for OFF_HARD_NLOS
OUT_LOS Tx/Rx Locations

Fig.(5a): OUT_LOS environment
OUT_LOS Tx/Rx Locations

Fig.(5b): OUT_LOS environment
Path Loss Model

In this report, we use the following traditional path loss model:

\[
PL(d) = \left[ PL_0 + 10\nu \log_{10}\left(\frac{d}{d_0}\right) \right] + n_2\sigma, \quad d \geq d_0
\]  

- \(d_0\) is a reference distance, e.g., \(d_0 = 1\) m.
- \(PL_0\) is the intercept and \(\nu\) is the path loss exponent.
- \(n_2\sigma\) is the shadowing component and is assumed to be a zero-mean Gaussian variate with standard deviation \(\sigma\) dB.
Path Loss Model

- PL(d) (in dB) is given by

\[ PL(d) = 10 \log_{10}\left( \frac{1}{KN} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |H^k (f_i; d)|^2 \right) \]  (2)

where K = number of spatial points & N = 1601.

- IEEE 802.15.4a channel modeling sub-committee adopted a generalized model where ν and σ are modeled by Gaussian random variable as shown below:

\[ \nu = \mu_{\nu} + n_1 \sigma_{\nu}, \sigma = \mu_{\sigma} + n_3 \sigma_{\sigma} \]

- ν and σ change randomly between homes and locations respectively. n_1 and n_3 are Gaussian random variates with zero means and unit variances. However, in our campaign we used the traditional model.
Path Loss Model

- The frequency dependency of the path loss $PL(f)$ is generally modeled by one of the following two functions:

$$PL(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left| H_k(f; d) \right|^2$$

$$\propto \left[ \frac{f}{1 \text{GHz} H z} \right]^{-r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\propto \exp \left[ -\delta \frac{f}{1 \text{GHz} H z} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\delta$ and $r$ are constants.

- In the path loss calculations above, spatial averaging is used to normalize the fading effects.
Extraction of Parameters

- Large-Scale Parameters to be extracted:
  - $\nu$: distance dependent path loss exponent
  - $\sigma$: shadowing variance (dB)
  - $PL_0$: path loss at 1m
  - $r, \delta$: frequency dependent path loss constants.

- Figs. (6-7) shows the $PL(d)$ vs distance graph for all the environments studied in the channel measurements campaign.

- Figs. (8-9) shows the $PL(f)$ vs frequency (Hz) graph for the OFF_LOS and OUT_LOS environments.
ν: Dist. Dep. Path Loss Exponent (OFF)

Fig. (6): PL(d) vs distance graph
v: Dist. Dep. Path Loss Exponent (OUT)

Fig. (7): PL(d) vs distance graph
r: Freq. Dep. Path Loss Exponent (OFF_LOS)

Fig. (8): PL(f) vs frequency graph
r: Freq. Dep. Path Loss Exponent (OUT_LOS)

Fig. (9): PL(f) vs frequency graph
Conclusions(1)

Tab. (1) shows the large-scale parameters extracted from empirical data collected in various office environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OFF_LOS</th>
<th>OFF_SOFT_NLOS</th>
<th>OFF_HARD_NLOS</th>
<th>OUT_LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma ) (dB)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL_0 (dB)</td>
<td>36.62</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>46.35</td>
<td>43.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r (\mu_r, \sigma_r) )</td>
<td>(0.4752, 0.1243)</td>
<td>(1.8277, 0.1526)</td>
<td>(0.88349, 0.1345)</td>
<td>(0.6350, 0.1241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta (\mu_\delta, \sigma_\delta) )</td>
<td>(0.1156, 0.0063)</td>
<td>(0.4208, 0.0079)</td>
<td>(0.1904, 0.0070)</td>
<td>(0.1477, 0.0066)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab(1): Large-scale parameters
Conclusions(2)

- We performed propagation experiments to characterize the UWB path loss in indoor and outdoor office environments in the 3-6GHz frequency range.

- The results show that the distance dependent path loss exponent, $\nu$, varies from 1.76 in the LOS environment to 2.12 in the NLOS environment where the LOS signal is blocked by some walls. If the walls are made of concrete or thick materials, $\nu$ might take larger values.

- The shadowing component, $\sigma$ increases from 0.83 dB in the LOS (outdoor) case to 4.2 dB in the NLOS (indoor) case.

- The results also show that the frequency dependent path loss exponents $r$ and $\delta$ take larger values in the NLOS environments compared to the LOS environments.

- Random variations of $\nu$ and $\sigma$ can be characterized by taking measurements over several office buildings/locations.

- Measurements are underway for OUT_NLOS environments and the results will be made available to the group in the near future.