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Abstract

This document contains Proposed Draft Text (PDT) for the Peer-to-Peer (P2P) communication features of the proposed TGbn (UHR, Ultra High Reliability) amendment to the 802.11 standard.

This version of PDT includes the motions passed in IEEE up to December 19, 2024.

This document also proposes resolutions for following 12 CIDs as part of CC50 comments:

229, 230, 849, 875 , , 2078, 2167, 2521, 2573, 3113, 3129, 3130, 3621,

# Revision information

The following is a summary of the important changes that occurred within each revision of this document:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Revision** | **Major changes** |
| 0 | Initial version: New text in addition to D0.1 |
| 1 | Added CIDs |
| 2 | **TXSPG--*** **Removed the Provisioning part and all provisioning-related procedures/frames/elements**
* Added the MIB variable for TXSPG
* Added an entry for the definition of TXSPG in clause 3.2
* Removed Mode-3 based TXOP allocation procedure. Added text to reuse mode TXS Mode-2
* Added that only AP can assign the Group ID.
* Removed the new Direction field value (3—P2P group) in the QoS characteristics element; re-using value 2 (Direct Link)
* Added that only the TXSPG requesting STA can send the SCS request for the P2P group;
* Added that only the TXSPG requesting STA can return the TXOP
* Added that TXSPG shall follow the TXS fairness rules introduced in 11bn for CTDMA
* Changed the AID12 addressee to be the generic P2P group (AID12 = 2047); this was a specific request received to help with the hardware implementation so that the STA does not immediately discard the received trigger frame due to AID12 field not matching its own AID12 value.

**Co-CR:*** Harmonized the text with the MAPC framework PDT (11-25/599r16--Giovanni).
* Moved to the subclause 37.13.2 (Procedures for specific multi-AP coordination schemes) the content of the detailed Co-CR operation between Co-CR requesting AP and Co-CR responding AP described in the subclause (Coordinated channel recommendation (Co-CR)) proposed by this document
* As a part of Co-CR profile, specified the format of MAPC Per-Scheme Info field and the format of the Co-CR Parameter Set field that is contained in the MAPC Request Parameter Set field
 |
| 3 | Minor editorial changes. |
| 4 | **TXSPG:*** Clarified in clause 37.16.1.3 that it is the TXSPG “non-AP” STA that can transmit QoS data frames within the allocated time.
* Clarified that only the TXSPG requesting STA can the send the SCS request for TXSPG
* In 37.16.1.3 (Non-AP STA behavior) for TXSPG, removed the EDCA channel access procedure within the group.
* In the TXS Mode subfield encoding in Table 9-46n, deleted “If MU-RTS that allocated time as part of a TXSPG procedure (as per 37.16.1), the TXSPG enable subfield of the Common Info field (as per 9.3.1.22.2) shall be set to 1.”
* Added that “The P2P STA AID List field is not present when the QoS Characteristics element is carried in an SCS Response frame.”
* Added that the P2P STA AID List field’s remaining bits are padded

**Co-CR:*** Some editorial corrections in clause 9.4.2.aa3.2.6 (deleted the phrase “in a Co-CR”)
* Specified the operation performed by the Co-CR Requesting AP and Co-CR Responding AP in each BSS after the Co-CR agreement (i.e., advertising the channel identified by the Operating Class and Channel field carried in the Co-CR parameter)
* Clarified that values 0-5 in the Usage mode field in Table 9-yy-E have the same usage as the Usage Mode field in the Channel Usage element in the baseline.
* Added that the Co-CR Agreement ID field is reserved when the corresponding MAPC element is carried in a MAPC Negotiation Request frame.
 |
| 5 | **TXSPG:*** Removed all changes in the trigger frame
	+ Removed the TXSPG Enable subfield in the Common Info field
	+ Removed the P2P Group ID field in the User Info field
* Removed all text on procedures related to the P2P Group ID assignment
* Added text to reuse the existing AID12 in the User Info field to identify a P2P group.
* Clarified that only the TXSPG requesting STA sends the CTS frame upon receiving the trigger frame, and other members of the P2P group does not send CTS. Removed all text related to the other member STAs sending CTS.
* Removed the AID list of the other member STAs, optionally shared by the TXSPG requesting STA in the QoS Characteristics element.
 |
| 6 | **TXSPG:*** Added the restriction that transmission with the allocated time needs to be SIFS separated.
* Removed the NAV exception rules for the members of the group.
* Removed the ‘non-TB’ PPDU restriction.
 |

# Introduction

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbn Draft. The abstract, revision information, introduction, explanation of the proposed changes and references sections are not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbn Draft (i.e., they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

## Explanation of the proposed changes:

The proposed changes to the 802.11 TGbn draft within this document are based on the following motions adopted by the TGbn task group:

### Relevant passed motions:

[Motion #184, [1]]

* 11bn enhances existing mechanism(s) to improve latency for a non-AP STA communication with another non-AP STA on the base channel and off-channel, respectively, by
	+ enhancing mechanism(s) to allow an AP to share a TXOP with multiple peer-to-peer (P2P) non-AP STAs(s)
	+ enhancing the baseline Channel Usage procedure to provide better recommendation on channel selection for P2P by enabling coordination between APs that do not belong to the same ESS so that the channels recommended for P2P operation sent by those APs are the same.

**Note 1:** the coordinated channel recommendation is an optional feature. Also, the responding AP has an option to reject the request for such coordination.

**Note 2:**

* Base channel is the channel where the AP associated with the non-AP STA is operating.
* A channel outside its associated AP’s operating BW is an off-channel for the non-AP STA.

# CC50 Comments:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 229 | 37.15.1 | 85.41 | An AP can share a TXOP to multiple P2P non-AP STAs by using one MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with multiple User Info field, each User Info field corresponding to one non-AP STA. | Allow MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame carry multiple User Info fields, each User Info field correspongding to a (P2P) non-AP STA. The time allocated to each (P2P) non-AP STA indicated in User Info field should not be overlapped. | **Revised**Agree that we need to define the mechanism to share the TXOP with multiple, i.e., a group of non-AP STAs. The suggested change to add multiple non-AP STAs’ allocation in the trigger frame in a cascading manner will be extremely demanding for the hardware implementation, as it requires very precise timing synchronization. There are also MAC-related challenges, such as handling the scenario where one or multiple non-AP STAs in the chain become non-responsive; aligning the scheduling of these allocations with the P2P traffic arrival, and so on. A more practical approach would be to allocate the TXOP to a group of P2P STAs and let the non-AP STAs in the group decide when they want to access the TXOP for their traffic (i.e., not micro-managing different portions of the same TXOP for the P2P STAs). This procedure is detailed in this document **TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3129 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 230 | 37.15.1 | 85.41 | In P2P scenario, if the link quality between one of the P2P pair and AP is poor, or if the P2P non-AP STA is out of the coverage of AP, it may report its buffer status report to peer STA (with high link quality), and then the peer STA reports it to AP for more accurate TXOP sharing. | Define a procedure that allow a non-AP STA reports its BSR to its peer STA, and then the peer STA reports it to AP. | **Rejected**Agree with the comment that a non-AP STA can share its BSR with its peer in the situation described in the comment. However, this STA-STA communication is implementation dependent and hence, outside the scope of this document. |
| 373 | 37.15 | 85.36 | If one STA fail uplink transmission to AP but still could receive the downlink transmission, this STA may recover the uplink tranmission (assigned to DRU channel with higher transmission power for instance) if this STA could transmit this information to AP in alternal way. | STA1 transmits uplink recover request though P2P to STA2 and STA2 transmits the request though uplink link to AP. After recieved the request, AP could assign STA1 to DRU channel and STA1 can recover the uplink transmision with higher transmission power. The commentor will bring a contribution to address this comment and provide more detialed solutions. |  |
| 849 | 3.2 | 21.24 | The definition of Co-CR should also cover the case when APs belong to the same ESS. Make it consistent with other MAPC schemes. Even though there is already a way to recommend channels within the same ESS using channel usage frames, this Co-CR concept should also cover such case and should be extended to enable giving recommendation of channels over the air. | Delete "that does not belong to the same ESS". | **Accepted****TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 849 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 875 | 37.15 | 0.00 | I would like to see MLO in P2P communications. | As in comment. | **Rejected**We define the procedures in a way that is applicable on a per-link basis and can be applicable to multiple links within an MLD. Any MLO-specific rules (e.g. cross-link signaling) is outside the scope of the current document. |
| 876 | 37.15.2 | 0.00 | Co-CR should also cover the case when APs belong to the same ESS. Make it consistent with other MAPC schemes. Even though there is already a way to recommend channels within the same ESS using channel usage frames, this Co-CR concept should also cover such case and should be extended to enable giving recommendation of channels over the air. | As in comment. | **As part of the harmonization effort with the MAPC framework for Co-CR, this CID is already resolved in document 11-25/599r16. No further action is needed.** |
| 1671 | 37.15 | 0.00 | P2P communications should cover unavailability coordination between the BSS operation and the P2P operation of the non-AP STA. E.g. a mechanism to announce unavailability within the same TXOP, not just to the AP but also to P2P peers. This can be coordinated by the AP as the TXOP holder. | Enhance as per comment. |  |
| 1743 | 37.15 | 85.44 | It feels strange that only Co-CR is in a separate chapter. | Please move it to a part of the chapter on Multi-AP coordination. | **Revised**Agree in principle. Co-CR has been integrated with the general MAPC framework (see doc 11-25/599r16) and has been moved under the MAPC clause.**TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3130 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 1769 | 3.2 | 21.22 | The name "Co-CR" easily cause confusion with "Co-SR", suggest to change to "Co-ChR". | Change to: Co-ChR |  |
| 1997 | 37.15 Peer-to-peer (P2P) communications | 85.36 | Suggest to define a mechanism for trigger-based or scheduled spatial reuse to increase throughput and improve efficient use of the medium in P2P communications | As in comment. | **Rejected**Although it seems like a good idea, this is outside the scope of the current document. |
| 2078 | 37.15.2 | 85.45 | need to define a trigger frame for P2P Co-SR | same as comment | **Rejected**Although it seems like a good idea, this is outside the scope of the current document. |
| 2167 | 37.15 | 85.35 | The procedure for P2P(TDLS) set across different BSS is not defined | Define a mechanism to set up P2P(TDLS) across different BSS | **Rejected**Although it seems like a good idea, this is outside the scope of the current document. |
| 2521 | 37.15.1 | 85.41 | Even when a TXOP is shared among multiple non-AP P2P STAs, they must still undergo channel access contention to communicate.Furthermore, existing TXOP sharing methods do not support nested TXOP sharing, which could help alleviate this issue.These challenges lead to increased delays and inefficient use of channel time during P2P communication. | Allow a leader non-AP STA to manage communication with both the AP and other STAs in the P2P group.This STA may engage in bidirectional communication with the AP and multiple P2P STAs within a TXOP.Additionally, the support for nested TXOP sharing enables the leader to permit other non-AP STAs to perform bidirectional communication. | **Revised**Agree in principle. A procedure to share a TXOP with a group of P2P STAs in a simplified manner is described. **TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3129 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 2573 | 37.15.1 | 85.41 | When a TXOP is shared among multiple non-AP STAs for P2P communication, an efficient channel access method is needed to enable STAs to transmit their data frames or notify the leader of their need to send data. | Designate specific intervals during which STAs can compete for channel access to transmit data frames or report their buffer status. The channel access contention structure should be organized to consider traffic priority.These intervals may be periodic or announced by a leader STA. | **Revised**Agree in principle. A procedure to share a TXOP with a group of P2P STAs in a simplified manner is described. **TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3129 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 3113 | 37.15 | 85.35 | Some TBDs or something are missing from both subsubclauses | As it says in the comment | **Revised**Agree in principle. A procedure to share a TXOP with a group of P2P STAs in a simplified manner is described. **TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3129 and CID 3130 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 3129 | 37.15.1 | 85.38 | Clause 37.15.1 provides high-level objective of TXOP sharing for a set of P2P STAs, but missing the details. | Please provide details for procedures of TXOP sharing with multiple STAs. | **Revised**Agree in principle. A procedure to share a TXOP with a group of P2P STAs is described in detail.**TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3129 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 3130 | 37.15.2 | 85.45 | Clause 37.15.2 provides high-level objective of coordinated channel recommedation (C-CR), but missing the details. | Please provide details for coordinated channel recommendation procedure. | **Revised**Agree in principle. The missing details are added.**TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3130 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |
| 3621 | 37.15.2 | 85.47 | The description of Co-CR is unclear. The goal seems to be providing "better recommendations" on channel selection, but better than what? Also why is converging on the same channel recommendation among the APs 'better' than other methods? | The use cases and goals need to be better described for this mechanism to justify further development of this feature. | **Revised**“Better” than the baseline case where each BSS announces the P2P channels independently, without any harmonization, leading to a scenario where different APs provide conflicting “safe-heaven” channels for P2P operation. In this document, more clarification and the missing details are added. **TGbn editor, please make changes as marked by CID 3130 proposed in this document 11-25/764r6.** |

# TXSPG PDT text flow illustration

#

# Text to be adopted begins here:

### 3.2 Definitions specific to IEEE Std 802.11

**coordinated channel recommendation:** [Co-CR] A procedure that enables an access point (AP) to coordinate with another AP that does not belong to the same ESS to advertise the same channel for peer-to-peer (P2P) communication.

***TGbn editor: Please add the following definitions in subclause 3.2 (Definitions specific to IEEE Std 802.11) as follows(#3129):***

Peer-to-peer (P2P) group: A collection of non-AP STAs within which a non-AP STA can communicate with another non-AP STA over a direct link.

TXOP sharing with peer-to-peer group (TXSPG): A procedure that enables an AP to share a portion of its obtained TXOP with a peer-to-peer group.

37.16 **Peer-to-peer (P2P) communications**

**37.16.1 TXOP sharing for multiple P2P non-AP STAs**

**37.16.1.1 General**

This subclause describes a set of operations that enable an AP to share a TXOP with multiple P2P non-AP STAs.

***TGbn editor: Please add the following paragraphs at the end of subcluase 37.16.1.1 (General) (#3129):***

A non-AP STA that has dot11TXSPGOptionImplemented equal to 1 supports TXOP sharing with a group of P2P non-AP STAs (TXSPG), is called a TXSPG non-AP STA, and shall set the TXSPG Support field of the UHR MAC Capabilities Information field of the UHR Capabilities element to 1. A UHR AP that has dot11TXSPGOptionImplemented equal to 1 supports TXOP sharing with a group of P2P non-AP STAs, is called a TXSPG AP, and shall set the TXSPG Support field of the UHR MAC Capabilities Information field of the UHR Capabilities element to 1.

A TXSPG requesting STA is a TXSPG non-AP STA that requests that the associated AP share its TXOP(s) with the P2P group of which the non-AP STA is a member.

***TGbn editor: Please add the following subclauses under subclause 37.16.1 (TXOP sharing for multiple P2P non-AP STAs) as follows (#3129):***

**37.16.1.2 AP behavior*(#3129)***

A UHR AP may allocate time within an obtained TXOP to a P2P group by transmitting an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with the following field settings :

* The TXS Mode subfield of the Common Info field shall be set to 2.
* The MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame shall have only one User Info field that is not a Special User Info field.
* The User Info field shall be addressed to the P2P group (i.e., the AID12 subfield shall be set to the value assigned for the P2P group by the AP).
* The time allocated to the P2P group is specified in the Allocation Duration subfield in the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame.
* The Duration field of the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame shall be set to one SIFS plus the time required to transmit the solicited CTS response frame.

A UHR AP shall not send an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with the TXS Mode subfield equal to 2 and the AID12 field in the User Info field set to the AID12 value assigned by the AP for a P2P group for which the AP did not receive a TXOP sharing request from an associated TXSPG non-AP STA.

If the UHR AP determines that its transmission of an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with the TXS Mode subfield equal to 2 and the AID12 field set to a value assigned for a P2P group is successful, then the AP shall not transmit any PPDU within the allocated time specified in the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame unless one of the following conditions are true:

The PPDU carries an immediate response that is solicited by the TXSPG requesting STA.

The AP sets the TXOP Return Support In TXSPG subfield equal to 1 and receives a frame from the TXSPG requesting STA of the P2P group containing a CAS Control field with the RDG/More PPDU subfield equal to 0, in which case the AP may transmit a PPDU SIFS after the frame with a CAS Control field.

The TXSPG requesting STA sends a PPDU SIFS after the CTS that is sent in response to the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with the TXS Mode subfield equal to 2.

A TXSPG AP that is a TXOP owner, for sharing a portion of its obtained TXOP with a P2P group, shall follow the fairness rules described in 37.25 (Fairness considerations for TXOP sharing).

**37.16.1.3 Non-AP STA behavior*(#3129)***

If a TXSPG non-AP STA receives an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame from an AP that contains all of the following:

* a Common Info field with the TXS Mode field equal to 2,
* a User Info field addressed to its P2P group (i.e., the AID12 subfield value is set to a value assigned for the P2P group by the AP),

then the non-AP STA shall transmit a CTS frame a SIFS after the end of the received PPDU carrying the Trigger frame if the non-AP STA is the TXSPG requesting STA for the P2P group; otherwise, the non-AP STA shall not transmit the CTS frame.

After the TXSPG requesting STA transmits the CTS frame, TXSPG non-AP STAs (including the TXSPG requesting STA) that is a member of the P2P group may transmit one or more PPDUs within the time allocation indicated in the MU-RTS TXS trigger frame with the following rules:

* each TXSPG non-AP STA shall consider the status of the CCA and the NAV during the SIFS prior to the PPDU that the TXSPG non-AP STA intends to transmit
* all PPDUs shall be separated by SIFS, except for TxOP recovery
* how the transmissions are scheduled among the TXSPG non-AP STAs is out of the scope of the standard.

The PPDUs may be addressed to the AP or to another non-AP STA.

A TXSPG requesting STA that received an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame with the TXSMode subfield equal to 2 and the AID12 field in the User Info field set a value assigned by the AP for the TXSPG requesting STA’s P2P group may transmit, within an allocated time, a QoS Data or QoS Null frame that includes an HE variant HT Control field with a CAS Control subfield with the RDG/More PPDU subfield set to 0 to the associated AP from which it has received a UHR Capabilities element with the TXOP Return Support in TXSPG subfield equal to 1 in order to indicate the return of the TXOP back to the AP. Otherwise, the TXSPG requesting STA shall not transmit such a frame to its associated AP within the time allocated for the P2P group. A TXSPG non-AP STA that is not the TXSPG requesting STA for the P2P group shall not transmit, within an allocated time, a QoS Data or QoS Null frame that includes an HE variant HT Control field with a CAS Control subfield with the RDG/More PPDU subfield set to 0 to the AP.

A TXSPG non-AP STA in a P2P group identified by the AID12 field in the User Info field contained in an MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame shall ensure that its PPDU transmission(s) and any expected responses fit entirely within the allocated time for the P2P group.

After the TXSPG requesting STA sends the CTS solicited by the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame for TXSPG, a TXSPG non-AP STA that is a member of the P2P group addressed by the Trigger frame shall set the Duration/ID field of its following frames(s) to indicate a NAV end time that is no later than the ending time of the PPDU[+SigExt] carrying the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame plus the allocated time duration in the Allocation Duration field of the soliciting MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame for TXSPG. Within the time allocated by the MU-RTS TXS Trigger frame, the TXSPG non-AP STA in that P2P group may transmit QoS Data frames, Management frames, and frames that assist the transmission of QoS Data and Management frames, e.g., RTS/CTS frames, sounding frames.

**37.16.1.4 SCS Procedure for TXSPG:*(#3129)***

A non-AP STA with dot11TXSPGOptionImplemented equal to true may send an SCS Request frame that contains a QoS Characteristics element whose Direction field is set to 2 (Direct Link) and a P2P Group Information subfield included in the QoS Characteristics element only if both the non-AP STA and the associated UHR AP set the TXSPG Support subfield in the UHR Capabilities element that they transmit to 1. For a particular P2P group, at most one non-AP STA that is a member of the P2P group can send the SCS request frame to the AP requesting TXOP allocation for that P2P group. The AP, if it accepts the SCS request, shall include a P2P Group Information field in the QoS Characteristics element in the SCS Response frame with the AID12 field in the P2P Group Information field indicating the AID12 value assigned for the P2P group by the AP. The AP shall not assign the same AID12 value assigned for the P2P group to any other non-AP STA or any other P2P group. The AP, if it accepts the SCS request, should facilitate the transmission of P2P frames within the P2P group on the link specified in the LinkID subfield of the Control Info field of the QoS Characteristics element with an interval that falls between the requested minimum and maximum service intervals.

**9.4.2.aa2.2 UHR MAC Capabilities Information field**

***TGbn editor: Please update Figure 9-aa5 (UHR MAC Capabilities Information field format) as follows (#3129):***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | B0 | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | B8 Bx |
|  | DPS Support | DPS Assisting Support | Multi-Link Power Management | NPCA Supported | BSR Enhancement Support | Additional Mapped TID Support | TXSPG Support | TXOP Return Support In TXSPG | Reserved |
| Bits: | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | x |

**Figure 9-aa5 --- UHR MAC Capabilities Information field format**

***TGbn editor: Please insert two new rows in Table 9-130a (Subfields of the UHR MAC Capabilities Information field (continued)) as follows (#3129):***

|  |
| --- |
| * Subfields of the UHR MAC Capabilities Information field (continued)
 |
| Subfield | Definition | Encoding |
| **…** | **…** | **…** |
| TXSPG Support | Indicates whether TXSPG operation is supported | Set to 1 to indicate that TXSPG operation is supported.Set to 0 to indicate that TXSPG operation is not supported. |
| TXOP Return Support In TXSPG | Indicates whether the TXOP return procedure for TXSPG operation is supported | Set to 1 to indicate that TXOP return in TXSPG operation is supported.Set to 0 to indicate that TXOP return in TXSPG operation is not supported. |

**9.3.1.22.9 MU-RTS Trigger frame format**

***TGbn editor: Please update Table 9-46n (TXS Mode subfield encoding) as follows (#3129):***

**Table 9-46n—TXS Mode subfield encoding**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TXS Mode subfield value** | **Description** |
| 0 | MU-RTS that does not initiate TXS procedure. |
| 1 | MU-RTS that initiates TXS procedure wherein a scheduled STA can only transmit MPDU(s) addressed to its associated AP. |
| 2 | MU-RTS that initiates TXS procedure wherein a scheduled STA can transmit MPDU(s) addressed to its associated AP or addressed to another STA, or MU-RTS that allocated time as part of a TXSPG procedure (as per 37.16.1) to a P2P Group wherein a non-AP STAs in P2P Group can exchange one or more MPDU(s). |
| 3 | Reserved. |

**9.4.2.326 QoS Characteristics element**

***TGbn editor: Please update Figure 9-1074bc (QoS Characteristics element format) as follows (#3129):***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Element ID | Length | Element ID Extension | Control Info | Minimum Service Interval | Maximum Service Interval | Minimum Data Rate |
| Octets: | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Delay Bound | Maximum MSDU Size | Service Start Time | Service Start Time LinkID | Mean Data Rate | Delay Bounded Burst Size | MSDU Lifetime |
| Octets: | 3 | 0 or 2 | 0 or 4 | 0 or 1 | 0 or 3 | 0 or 4 | 0 or 2 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | MSDU Delivery Info | Medium Time | P2P Group Information |
| Octets: | 0 or 1 | 0 or 2 | 0 or 2 |

**Figure 9-1074bc—QoS Characteristics element format**

***TGbn editor: Please add the following paragraphs, including the figure, at the end of clause 9.4.2.326 (QoS Characteristics element) (#3129):***

The P2P Group Info field format is defined in Figure 9-xx1 (P2P Group Info field format).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | AID12 | Reserved |
| Bits: | 12 | 4 |

Figure 9-xx1—P2P Group Information field format

* The AID12 subfield identifies the AID12 value assigned by the AP for the P2P group for which the traffic characteristics are described by this element. The AID12 subfield shall be reserved when the QoS Characteristics element that carries the P2P Group Information field is contained in an SCS Descriptor element in an SCS Request frame with the Request Type field set to 0 (Add).

**\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Coordinated Channel Recommendation (Co-CR)\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\***

***TGbn editor: please move the contents of the subclause 37.16.2 (Coordinated channel recommendation (Co-CR)) in 11bn D0.3 under the subclause 37.13.2 (Procedures for specific multi-AP coordination schemes) with the new subclause number 37.13.2.5 (Coordinated channel recommendation (Co-CR)). Please make changes to this subclause as follows:***

**37.13.2.5 Coordinated channel recommendation (Co-CR)**

**37.13.2.5.1 General**

This subclause describes a mechanism for better recommendations on channel selection for P2P communication by enabling coordination among APs (**#849**) so that the channels recommended for P2P operation sent by those APs are the same. The procedure is optional for an AP to support, and an AP that supports the procedure may reject a request from another AP to perform Co-CR.

***TGbn editor: Please add the following paragraphs under subclause 37.13.2.5.1 (General) as follows (#3130):***

A Co-CR requesting AP is an AP with dot11CoCROptionImplemented equal to true that requests another AP for coordination on channel recommendation to facilitate P2P communication.

A Co-CR responding AP is an AP with dot11CoCROptionImplemented equal to true that responds to the request for coordination from the Co-CR requesting AP.

The Co-CR negotiation(s) between two APs to establish Co-CR agreement(s) are performed by following the rules described in clause 37.13 (Multi-AP coordination (MAPC) framework) and clause 37.13.2.5.2 (Co-CR negotiations).

***TGbn editor: Please add the following subclause 37.16.2.2 (Co-CR negotiations) under clause 37.16.2 (Coordinated Channel Recommendation (Co-CR)) (#3130):***

**37.13.2.5.2 Co-CR negotiations *(#3130)***

A Co-CR requesting or responding AP that intends to participate in Co-CR negotiation with another AP shall follow the rules described in 37.13.1.3 (MAPC agreement negotiation) with additional rules described in this subclause.

A Co-CR requesting AP shall include a Co-CR profile in a MAPC element carried in a transmitted individually addressed MAPC Negotiation Request frame. The Co-CR profile shall include one or more MAPC Scheme Request fields, where each Co-CR parameter set in the MAPC Scheme Request field describes a particular set of channel recommendation parameters. A successful Co-CR negotiation between a Co-CR requesting AP and a Co-CR responding AP corresponding to a Co-CR parameter set is uniquely identified by the tuple <Co-CR Agreement ID, MAC Address1, MAC Address2>, where the Co-CR Agreement ID is assigned by the Co-CR responding AP in the MAPC Negotiation Response frame that carries the Co-CR parameter set and the MAPC Operation Type field set to 3; MAC Address 1 is the MAC address of the Co-CR requesting AP, and MAC Address 2 is the MAC address of the Co-CR responding AP.

The Recommendation Periods Information field (if present) indicates a set of parameters that describe a series of time windows during which the channel recommendation indicated by the Operating Class and Channel field is valid. The absence of the Recommendation Periods Information field in the Co-CR Parameter Set field indicates that the corresponding Co-CR channel recommendation is valid at all time instances until the agreement is torn down.

The Recommendation Timeout field indicates the time until when the channel recommendation corresponding to Co-CR Parameter Set field is valid. The absence of this field in the Co-CR Parameter Set field indicates that the recommendation is valid until the agreement is torn down.

Upon a successful Co-CR agreement between a Co-CR requesting AP and a Co-CR responding AP, both APs, in their respective BSS, advertise the channel identified by the Operating Class and Channel field carried in the Co-CR parameter set corresponding to that Co-CR agreement, following the gratuitous channel usage procedure (see 11.21.15 (Channel Usage procedures)).

An AP can include an Extended Channel Usage Element in its Beacon, Probe Response, and (Re) Association Response frame in order to advertise different sets of channels that can be deemed as conducive for peer-to-peer communication. Each recommended channel information can be included in a Channel Usage Parameter Set field of the Extended Channel Usage element. In the corresponding Channel Usage Parameter Set field, the AP can also include a Recommendation Periods Information field indicating a series of time windows during which the channel recommendation identified by this channel usage parameter set is applicable. In the corresponding Channel Usage Parameter Set field, the AP may also contain a Recommendation Timeout Information field indicating the time when the channel recommendation identified by this parameter set field expires. If the Recommendation Periods Information field is not present in the channel usage parameter set, then it means that the channel recommendation identified by this parameter set is applicable at all time (until the recommendation is ended). If the Recommendation Timeout Information field is not present in the channel usage parameter set, then it means that the channel recommendation identified by the parameter set is valid until the recommendation is ended (e.g., until the time when that channel usage parameter set fields are no longer carried in the extended channel usage element)

***TGbn editor: Please add the following subclause 9.4.2.aa3.2.6 (Co-CR profile)under the clause 9.4.2.aa3.2 (MAPC Schemes Info field) under clause 9.4.2.aa3 (MAPC element) (#3130)***

9.4.2.aa3.2.6 (Co-CR profile)***(#3130)***

The MAPC Scheme Type field is set to the value for the Co-CR as indicated in Table 9-349f.

The MAPC Scheme Request Set field carried in a Co-CR profile contains one or more MAPC Scheme Request fields.

The format of the MAPC Per-Scheme Info field of the Co-CR profile is defined in Figure 9-xx-F

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | B0 B4 | B5 | B6 B7 |
|  | Co-CR Agreement ID | Last Co-CR Request | Reserved |
| Bits: | 5 | 1 | 2 |

**Figure 9-xx-F—MAPC Per Scheme Info field of the Co-CR profile format**

The Co-CR Agreement ID field contains an integer identifying a specific Co-CR agreement. The values 0 and 31 of this field are reserved. The Co-CR Agreement ID field is reserved when the corresponding MAPC element is carried in a MAPC Negotiation Request frame.

The Last Co-CR Request field is set to 0 to indicate that the Co-CR profile carries a subsequent MAPC Scheme Request field that follows this MAPC Scheme Request field. The Last Co-CR Request field is set to 1 to indicate that this is the last MAPC Scheme Request field in the Co-CR profile.

The format of the MAPC Request Parameter Set field contains a Co-CR Parameter Set field with format defined in Figure 9-xx-G (Co-CR Parameter Set field format).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Control | Operating Class and Channel  | Recommendation Periods Information | Recommendation Timeout |
| Octets: | 1 | 2 | 0 or 6 | 0 or 4 |

**Figure 9-xx-G—Co-CR Parameter Set field format**

The Control field format of the Co-CR Parameter Set field is shown in Figure 9-xx-H.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Recommendation Periods Info Present | Recommendation Timeout Info Present  | Reserved |
| Bits: | 1 | 1 | 6 |

**Figure 9-xx-H—Control field format of the Co-CR Parameter Set field**

The Recommendation Periods Info Present subfield in the Control field indicates whether or not the Recommendation Periods Information field is present in the Co-CR Parameter Set field. If the subfield is set to 1, then the Recommendation Periods Information field is present in the Co-CR Parameter Set field; otherwise, it is not present.

The Recommendation Timeout Info Present subfield in the Control field indicates whether or not the Recommendation Timeout field is present in the Co-CR Parameter Set field. If the subfield is set to 1, then the Recommendation Periods Information field is present in the Co-CR Parameter Set field; otherwise, it is not present.

The Operating Class and Channel field is defined in 9.4.1.22 (Operating Class and Channel field).

The Recommendation Periods Information field describes a series of time windows during which the channel recommendation identified by this parameter set applies. The format of the Recommendation Periods Information field is shown in Figure 9-xx-I.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Recommendation Start Time | Recommendation SP Duration | Recommendation Interval Mantissa | Recommendation Interval Exponent |
| Octets: | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

**Figure 9-xx-I—Recommendation Periods Info field format**

# The Recommendation Start Time field contains a positive unsigned integer corresponding to the TSF value of the Co-CR requesting AP indicating the start time of the first Co-CR recommendation service period (SP) in the series of SPs described by this Co-CR Parameter Set field. The lowest bit of the Recommendation Start Time field is set to bit 10 of the corresponding TSF value Co-CR requesting AP (i.e., the field represents bits 10 through 25 of the TSF).

The Recommendation SP Duration field indicates the duration of time, in units of TU, during which the Co-CR channel recommendation applies.

The Recommendation Interval Mantissa subfield is set to the value of the mantissa of the Recommendation SP interval value in microsecond, base 2.

The Recommendation Interval Exponent subfield is set to the value of the exponent of the Recommendation SP interval value in microsecond, base 2.

The Recommendation Timeout field contains an unsigned 32-bit integer, indicating the lifetime of the channel recommendation, in units of TUs.

***TGbn editor: Please add the following subclause 9.4.2.xx-B (Extended Channel Usage element) under clause under clause 9.4.2 (Elements) (#3130):***

**9.4.2.xx-B Extended Channel Usage element: *(#3130)***

The format of the Extended Channel Usage element is shown in Figure 9-xx-J.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Element ID | Length | Element ID Extension | Channel Usage Parameter Sets |
| Octets: | 1 | 1 | 1 | variable |

**Figure 9-xx-J—Extended Channel Usage element format**

The Element ID, Length, and Element ID Extension fields are defined in 9.4.2.1 (General).

The Channel Usage Parameter Sets field in the Extended Channel Usage element may contain one or more Channel Usage Parameter Set field(s). The format of the Channel Usage Parameter Set field is shown in Figure 9-xx-K.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Usage Mode | Operating Class and Channel  | Presence Indicator | Recommendation Periods Information | Recommendation Timeout |
| Octets: | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 or 6 | 0 or 4 |

**Figure 9-xx-K—Channel Usage Parameter Set field format**

The encoding of the Usage Mode field in the Channel Usage Parameter Set field is shown in Table 9-yy-E.

|  |
| --- |
| Table 9-yy-E—Usage Mode field encoding |
| Value  | Usage Mode |
| 0 | Channel-usage-aidable BSS |
| 1 | Off-channel TDLS direct link |
| 2 | Channel-usage-aidable BSS in which none of the channel-usage-aiding BSSs that belong to the same ESS operate on the channels identified by the Channel Entry field |
| 3 | Unavailability indication |
| 4 | Channel-usage-aidable BSS channel switch request |
| 5 | Capability notification |
| 6 | Channel-usage-aidable BSS that has coordinated with one or more neighboring BSS in providing the channel recommendation identified by the Operating Class and Channel field of the corresponding Channel Usage Parameter Set field. |
| 7–254 | Reserved |
| 255 | Unknown request |

The usages of the values 0 to 5 of the Usage Mode field are the same as the corresponding values in the Usage Mode field in the Channel Usage element (see 9.4.2.84 (Channel Usage element)).

The Operating Class and Channel field is defined in 9.4.1.22 (Operating Class and Channel field).

The Presence Indicator field format of the Channel Usage Parameter Set field is shown in Figure 9-xx-L.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Recommendation Periods Info Present | Recommendation Timeout Info Present  | Reserved |
| Bits: | 1 | 1 | 6 |

**Figure 9-xx-L—Presence Indicator field**

The Recommendation Periods Info Present subfield in the Presence Indicator field indicates whether or not the Recommendation Periods Information field is present in the corresponding Channel Usage Parameter Set field. If the subfield is set to 1, then the Recommendation Periods Information field is present in the Channel Usage Parameter Set; otherwise, it is not present.

The Recommendation Timeout Info Present subfield indicates whether or not the Recommendation Timeout field is present in the Channel Usage Parameter Set field. If the subfield is set to 1, then the Recommendation Timeout field is present in the corresponding Channel Usage Parameter Set; otherwise, it is not present.

The Recommendation Periods Information field describes a series of time windows during which the channel recommendation identified by this parameter set applies. The format of the Recommendation Periods Information field is shown in Figure 9-xx-M.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Recommendation Start Time | Recommendation SP Duration | Recommendation Interval Mantissa | Recommendation Interval Exponent |
| Octets: | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

**Figure 9-xx-M—Recommendation Periods Information field format**

# The Recommendation Start Time field contains a positive unsigned integer corresponding to the TSF value of the AP advertising this element indicating the start time of the first service period (SP) in the series of SPs described by this Extended Channel Usage element. The lowest bit of the Recommendation Start Time field is set to bit 10 of the corresponding TSF value of the advertising AP (i.e., the field represents bits 10 through 25 of the TSF).

The Recommendation SP Duration field indicates the duration of time, in units of TU, during which the channel recommendation advertised by this channel usage parameter set applies.

The Recommendation Interval Mantissa subfield is set to the value of the mantissa of the Recommendation SP interval value in microsecond, base 2.

The Recommendation Interval Exponent subfield is set to the value of the exponent of the Recommendation SP interval value in microsecond, base 2.

The Recommendation Timeout field in the Channel Usage Parameter Set field contains an unsigned 32-bit integer, indicating the lifetime of the channel recommendation, in units of TUs.

**Annex C**

**C.3 MIB Detail**

***TGbn editor: Please add the following new MIB variable for TXSPG(#3130)***

Dot11UHRStationConfigEntry ::=

 SEQUENCE {

dot11CoRTWTOptionImplemented TruthValue,

dot11NPCAOptionImplemented TruthValue,

dot11DUOOptionImplemented TruthValue,

dot11UHRBSROptionImplemented TruthValue,

ddot11TXSPGOptionImplemented TruthValue,

}

dot11TXSPGOptionImplemented OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX TruthValue

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This is a capability control variable.

﻿It is written by an external management entity or the SME. Changes take

effect as soon as practical in the implementation.

Its value is determined by device capabilities.

This attribute, when true, indicates that the STA implementation is capable of supporting TXSPG operation. If this attribute is false, it indicates that the STA does not support TXSPG operation.”

::= { dot11UHRStationConfigEntry <ana> }

# Text to be adopted ends here.
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