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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions to the following 49 comments submitted in CC50 on Clause 38.3.2.1 (Tone plan for DRUs) in 11bn D0.1. The changes are proposed to be made to 11bn D0.2.

CIDs: 3230, 674, 2063, 3231, 1895, 2796, 1896, 2554, 2795, 3232, 1974, 2064, 2810, 3233, 2555, 2556, 1897, 206, 1618, 2811, 124, 2797, 2750, 2705, 3234, 2798, 1958, 1959, 1119, 1120, 945, 3128, 1473, 1474, 2752, 2721, 2805, 2806, 2807, 2566, 1, 1584, 2642, 3235, 3236, 1583, 2563, 2751, 3744

Revision history:

R0: Original version

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed change** | **Proposed resolution** |
| 3230 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.39 | The DRU subcarrier allocation should be aligned with each regulatory rules. As described in 11-24/1556r1, it may not be permitted to spase subcarrier allocation (less than one subcarrier per 1 MHz bandwidth) in some country, a mechanism to limit DRU is needed. | Add "The usage of DRU depends on the regoratory rules." Add the corresponding text for DRU usage in Annex E. (e.g., In Japan, 26-tone DRU is not used for 40 MHz, 60 MHz, and 80 MHz. 52-tone DRU is not used for 80 MHz.) | **REJECTED**  TGbn members had different opinions when commentor presented contribution 11-24/1556r1. Suggest commentor to propose a PDT for Annex E., and run SP and motion. |
| 674 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.43 | There is a typo for the title of Clause 36. | Change "Clause 36 (Extreme High Efficiency (EHT) PHY specification)" to Clause 36 (Extremely high throughput (EHT) PHY specification) | **ACCEPTED** |
| 2063 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.43 | "Extreme High Efficiency (EHT)" should be "Extremely High Throughput (EHT)". | As in comment | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 674 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 3231 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.43 | "defined in Clause 36 (Extreme High Efficiency (EHT) PHY specification)." -> Exact subclause should be refered. | As in comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 674 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 1895 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.46 | Typo. Please replace UL TB PPDU with TB PPDU | As in comment | **ACCEPTED** |
| 2796 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.50 | Incomplete sentence | Change to "Distribution bandwidth defined for DRUs in UHR UL TB PPDU transmission are 20 MHz, 40 MHz, 60 MHz and 80  MHz." In addition, the spec should have a clear definition of "distribution bandwidth". | **REVISED**  Basically, agreed with commentor only on the proposed change.  ***To TGbn editor: Please change the paragraph from P107.L50 to P107.L51 to the following:***  Distribution bandwidths defined for DRUs in UHR TB PPDU transmission are 20 MHz, 40 MHz, 60 MHz and 80 MHz. |
| 1896 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.50 | Typo. Please replace UL TB PPDU with TB PPDU | As in comment | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 2796 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2795 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.39 | The use of dRUs can result in low efficiency if a few users with small dRUs are allocated to a large bandwidth. There should be a mechanism to allocated several dRUs to STAs not requiring a power boost in order to increase efficiency. | A mechanism to define few dRU combinations to be allocated to a STA not requiring a power boost should be introduced to mitigate the impact of low efficiency in dRU transmissions. The commenter can provide a contribution with a detail proposal. | **REJECTED**  As the commentor suggested, he should bring a contribution with definitions of DRU combinations to TGbn for discussions and run motion. |
| 3232 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.58 | 60 MHz should be included. Ditto table 38-3. | As in comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please replace the sentence “***The maximum number of DRUs for 20 MHz, 40 MHz, and 80 MHz distribution bandwidths is defined in  38-3 (Maximum number of DRUs for each distribution bandwidth).” ***from P107.L58 to P107.L60 with the following:***  The maximum numbers of DRUs for 20 MHz, 40 MHz, 60 MHz, and 80 MHz distribution bandwidths are defined in Table 38-3 (Maximum number of DRUs for each distribution bandwidth).  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert a column between column “***DBW 40***” and “***DBW 80***” in*** *Table 38-3 (*Maximum number of DRUs for each distribution bandwidth) ***from P108.L8 to P108.L18. The content of each cell of the inserted column is listed as the following****:*  DBW 60  N/A  12  6  3  N/A |
| 1974 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.59 | "in 38-3" | in Table 38-3 | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 3232 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2064 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.60 | "38-3" should be "Table 38-3". | As in comment | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 3232 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2810 |  | 99.60 | Potential grammatical error | Add 'Table' before 38-3 | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 3232 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 3233 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.58 | "The maximum number of DRUs for 20 MHz, 40 MHz and 80 MHz distribution banndwith are defined ..." -> "The maximum numbers of DRUs for 20 MHz, 40 MHz and 80 MHz distribution banndwith are defined ..." | As in comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 3232 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2555 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.59 | Suggest to change "maximum" to "total" in the paragraph | as in the comment | **REJECTED**  It’s not required to use up all the DRUs defined. Thus “maximum” is better here than “total”. |
| 2556 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.01 | This sentence is confusing. Each STA will only transmit one DRU, only AP can schedule STAs, each with a different DRU sizes | Suggest to change to "An AP STA is allowed to schedule a mixture of DRU sizes, which are defined for each distribution bandwidth". | **REVISED**  The sentence commented is “A UHR UL TB PPDU using OFDMA transmission may carry a mixture of 26-, 52-, 106-, 242-, and 484-tone DRUs.” Basically, agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please replace the paragraph from P108.L1 to P108.L2 to the following:***  For a UHR TB PPDU using OFDMA transmission, an AP STA is allowed to schedule a mixture of DRU sizes, which are defined for each distribution bandwidth. |
| 1897 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.01 | Typo. Please replace UL TB PPDU with TB PPDU | As in comment | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 2556 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 206 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.23 | resolves TBD for 26-tone DRUs in 80MHz | remove the "(80MHz TBD)" | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor. DBW 80 MHz and DBW 60 MHz (Motion #296) are also designed in UHR by using 26-tone DRUs as basic building blocks.  ***To TGbn editor: Please change text*** “20 MHz and 40 MHz are designed by using 26-tone DRUs as basic building blocks, (80MHz TBD),” ***on P108.L22 – P108.L23 to the following:***  20 MHz, 40 MHz, 60 MHz, and 80 MHz are designed by using 26-tone DRUs as basic building blocks, |
| 1618 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.23 | Remove TBD | as in comment | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 206 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2811 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.23 | DRU tone plans for 60 MHz are TBD. That may be reflected in the current draft as well. | Modify the red text inside parenthesis to reflect (60 MHz and 80 MHz TBD) | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 206 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 124 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.23 | Change "the hierarchical tone structure as regular RUs (RRUs) is preserved for DRU" to "and also use the hierarchical tone structure similar to that in the regular RU (RRU) tone plans". | Refer to the comment. | **REVISED**  Basically, agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please change text “***the hierarchical tone structure as regular RUs (RRUs) is preserved for DRU” ***from P108.L23 to P108.L24 to the following:***  and using the hierarchical tone structure similar to that in the regular RU (RRU) tone plans |
| 2797 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.21 | confusing sentence | Both "building block" and "hierarchical structure" are not clearly defined. Suggest remove this sentence. | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 124 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2750 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.43 | Remove "and" | see comments | **REJECTED**  The proposed change is already made onP108.43 in 11bnD0.2. |
| 2705 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.54 | The text repeats the definition provided in Table 38-3 | Replace the text in rows 54-56 by "The DRUs allowed to be used in distribution BWs are as defined in Table 38-3 (Maximum number of DRUs for each distribution bandwidth) | **REJECTED**  The following text was commented:  “A 106-tone DRU consists of tones of two corresponding 52-tone DRUs and two extra tones. For example,  106-tone DRU1 consists of tones of 52-tone DRU1, 52-tone DRU2, and two extra tones in the same  distribution bandwidth.”  The suggested change doesn’t capture the meaning of the original text. |
| 2798 | 38.3.2.1 | 101.22 | In Table 38-4 and 38-5, "i=1:9", "i=1:4" etc. are meaningless since there is no "i" variable in anywhere in those tables. | Remove "i=1:9", etc.. in those two tables. Or, add "i" after "DRU" in the first collum of those tables. | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please remove*** “i=1:9”, “i=1:4”, and “i=1:2” ***from “***DRU Type***” column in*** Table 38-4 (Data and pilot subcarrier indices for Distributed-tone RUs (DRU) in a 20 MHz UHR TB PPDU)***.***  ***To TGbn editor: Please remove*** “i=1:18”, “i=1:8”, “i=1:4” and “i=1:2” ***from “***DRU Type***” column in*** Table 38-5 (Data and pilot subcarrier indices for Distributed-tone RUs (DRU) in a 40 MHz UHR TB PPDU).  ***To TGbn editor: Please remove*** “i=1:16”, “i=1:8”, “i=1:4” and “i=1:2” ***from “***DRU Type***” column in*** Table 38-6 (Data and pilot subcarrier indices for Distributed-tone RUs (DRU) in a 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU). |
| 3234 | 38.3.2.1 | ~~100.16~~  101.16 | The index of i in Tables 38-4, 38-5, and 38-6 should be italic. | As in comment. | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 2798 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 1958 | 38.3.2.1 | 101.23 | For the expression [-120:9:-12, 6:9:114], the meaning of the colon ':' hasn't been illuatrated. | Add a note for illustration. | **REJECTED**  The notation was used in many places in 11be D7.0, for example,  Table 9-129b (Subcarrier indices when not all bits in Partial BW Info subfield correspond-ing to the 80 MHz frequency subblock are set to 1). |
| 1959 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.42 | There is a redundant period at the end of the sentence. | Remove it. | **ACCEPTED** |
| 1119 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.43 | Change the font size to smaller in the description | As the comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please reduce the font size of the sentence on P111.L43 to be consistent with the paragraph above.*** |
| 1120 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.46 | Change the font size to smaller in the description | As the comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please reduce the font size of the paragraph from P111.46 to P111.51 to be consistent with the paragraph below.*** |
| 945 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.52 | Delete ":" in "i:", "j:" and "l:" | As in comment | **ACCEPTED** |
| 3128 |  | 103.52 | Spurious colon after i. Similarly at line 59 | As it says in the comment | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 945 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 1473 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.55 | "an UHR" -> "a UHR" | "a UHR" should be correct.  (Or please clarify which expression is correct, "a UHR" and "an UHR") | **REJECTED**  The proposed change was already made in 11bnD0.2 on P111.L55. |
| 1474 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.04 | "an UHR" -> "a UHR" | "a UHR" should be correct.  (Or please clarify which expression is correct, "a UHR" and "an UHR") | **REJECTED**  The proposed change was already made in 11bnD0.2 on P112.L04. |
| 2752 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.46 | Remove duplicated "the" in line 46, 48, 50 | see comments | **ACCEPTED** |
| 2721 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.43 | The expression of k\_DRU\_j should include index "l" | see comments | **REJECTED**  PHY DRU index and DRU index are different terms. DRUj refers to PHY DRU with index j. PHY DRU with index j doesn’t change with index *l*. The proposed change will cause more confusion. |
| 2805 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.27 | Change "the DRU subcarrier  indices of a DRU with PHY DRU index j" to "the subcarrier  indices of a DRU with index j" | As in Comment. "DRU subcarrier" in line 35 and 43 should be just "subcarrier". "PHY DRU" in this subclause should be just "DRU" . | **REVISED**  Partially agree with commentor. PHY DRU index j and DRU index i are different terms. PHY DRU index refers to the index in PPDU bandwidth, and DRU index refers to the index in DBW.  ***To TGbn editor: Please change text “***the DRU subcarrier***” on P111.L27 to “***the subcarrier***”.***  ***To TGbn editor: Please change text “***is the DRU subcarrier indices***” to “***is a subcarrier index” ***on P111.L36 and to P111.L43.*** |
| 2806 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.44 | No need to have a separate explanation for k\_DRU\_j. It is the same as k\_DRU\_i. The meaning of "j" as a function of "i" and "l" should be clearly defined. | Remove this line. Express "j" as a function of i and l | **REJECTED**  PHY DRU index and DRU index are different terms. PHY DRU index refers to the index in PPDU bandwidth, and DRU index refers to the index in DBW. The relationship between DRU index i and PHY DRU index j can be found indefinitions for *i* and *j*. |
| 2807 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.60 | No need to redefine the DUR index | Replace this paragraph with an expression of j a function of (or based on ) "i" and "l" | **REJECTED**  The relationship between  DRU index i and PHY DRU index j can be found in the tables the commented texts refer to. |
| 2566 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.11 | Usage of "Frequency Subblock Size" to be 20 MHz and 40 MHz in Table 38-7 may cause misunderstanding of 80 MHz subblock defined in EHT, | Modfiy "Frequency Subblock Size" to another one, e.g., "Frequency Segment Size". | **REJECTED**  When “frequency subblock” was used in 11be D7.0, it’s always in the term “80 MHz frequency subblock”. It’s clear “80 MHz” is the frequency subblock size. It’s clear that “20 MHz”, “40MHz”, and “80 MHz” are the frequency subblock size when “20 MHz frequency subblock”, “40 MHz frequency subblock”, and “80 MHz frequency subblock” are used in 11bn. |
| 1 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.17 | There appears to be a missing negative sign before 1916 in the table 38-7. | Add a '-' before 1916 in the 20 MHz row for the 320 MHz BW column. | **ACCEPTED** |
| 1584 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.37 | The decription of DC subcarriers and guard subcarriers needs to be modified by including DBW 60 case. | See the comment. | **REVISED**  Agreed with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following sentence before “***The 80 MHz***” on P112.L40:***  The 80 MHz TB PPDU with one or more DRUs on DBW60 has 7 DC subcarriers located at [-3: 3].  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following sentence before “***The 80 MHz***” on P112.L49:***  The 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU with DRUs on DBW 60 MHz has 23 guard subcarriers: the 12 lowest frequency subcarriers [-512: -501] and the 11 highest frequency subcarriers [501: 511].  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert a column between column “***DRU for 40 MHz UHR TB PPDU***” and “***DRU for 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU***” in Table 38-8 (DC and Guard Subcarrier allocation related constants for UHR TB PPDU with DRUs) from P113.L9 to P113.L23. The inserted colum has the following contents:***  DRU for 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU using 60 MHz DBW  7  12  11 |
| 2642 | 38.3.2.1 | 105.14 | DRU for 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU column is correct only when DBW is 80 MHz | Change "DRU for X MHz UHR TB PPDU" to "X MHz UHR TB PPDU using X MHz DBW" | **REVISED**  Agree with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the following changes to column headers of Table 38-8 (DC and Guard Subcarrier allocation related constants for UHR TB PPDU with DRUs) from P113.L9 to P113.L12:***  ***Change*** “DRU for 20 MHz UHR TB PPDU” ***to*** “DRU for 20 MHz UHR TB PPDU using 20 MHz DBW”.  ***Change*** “DRU for 40 MHz UHR TB PPDU” ***to*** “DRU for 40 MHz UHR TB PPDU using 40 MHz DBW”.  ***Change*** “DRU for 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU” ***to*** “DRU for 80 MHz UHR TB PPDU using 80 MHz DBW”. |
| 3235 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.28 | The tone plan of DRUs for 60 MHz should be added. | As in comment. | **REVISED**  Agree with commentor.  Discussed with Eunsung Park to merge resolutions to CR for CID 1123 in 11-25/0612r1.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 1123 in*** [***11/25-0612r1***](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/25/11-25-0612-01-00bn-cc50-cr-for-60-mhz-dru-tone-plan.docx)***.*** |
| 3236 | 38.3.2.1 | 110.60 | "the 60 MHz DRU tone plan (see 38.3.2.1 (Tone plan for DRUs)) is used by applying constant tone shifts (see 38.3.2.1 (Tone plan for DRUs)) to align tone indices.", but the exact tone plan for 60 MHz DRU does not exist. | Add the contents for the 60 MHz DRU tone plan. | **REVISED**  Agree with commentor. The proposed resolution is merged with CR for CID 1123.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 1123 in*** [***11/25-0612r1***](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/25/11-25-0612-01-00bn-cc50-cr-for-60-mhz-dru-tone-plan.docx)***.*** |
| 2554 | 38.3.2.1 | 99.53 | Suggest to change "used" to "defined" in the paragraph | as in the comment | **REVISED**  Agree with commentor. The proposed resolution is merged with CR for CID 1123.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 1123 in*** [***11/25-0612r1***](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/25/11-25-0612-01-00bn-cc50-cr-for-60-mhz-dru-tone-plan.docx)***.*** |
| 1583 | 38.3.2.1 | 100.33 | The text for DRU composition part (for 52, 106, 242-tone DRU) needs to be modified by including DBW 60 case. | See the comment. | **REVISED**  Agree with commentor. Part of the proposed resolution is merged with CR for CID 1123.  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 1123 in*** [***11/25-0612r1***](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/25/11-25-0612-01-00bn-cc50-cr-for-60-mhz-dru-tone-plan.docx)***.***  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following sentence before “and Table 38-6” on P111.L40:***  Table 38-(TBD) (Data and pilot subcarrier indices for Distributed-tone RUs (DRU) in a 60 MHz DBW),  ***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following text before “and 80” on P111.L41:***  60 MHz, |
| 2563 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.11 | In Table 38-7, Constrant shift values for DRU for 60 MHz distribution BW on a frequency subblock of wide bandwidth are missing. | Add the parameters related to 60 MHz distribution BW to Table 38-7. | **REVISED**  ***To TGbn editor: Please make the same changes as in the resolution of CID 2563 in 11/25-0732r0.*** |
| 2751 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.42 | Add comma after [-11:11] | see comments | **REVISED**  Basically, agree with commentor.  ***To TGbn editor: Please add a period after text*** *“*[-11: 11]*”* ***on P112.L42.*** |
| 3744 | 38.3.2.1 | 105.06 | Suggest to move Table 38-8 and the paragraph above to 38.3.13 as similar table(s) are in that subclause. | Refer to the comment. | **REJECTED**  Table 38-8 (DC and Guard Subcarrier allocation related constants for UHR TB PPDU with DRUs) contains constant values defined for specific DRUs. It’s more detailed than the tables containing general constants in caluse 38.3.13 (Timing-related parameters). It’s easier to understand the table and text descriptions by keeping them in Clause 38.3.2.1. |

**CID 2563**

**Discussion:**

Basically, agree with the commentor. Frequency Subblock Size for DBW 60 MHz is also 80 MHz, and the constant shift value defined in the 80 MHz frequency subblock is used for DBW60 (Motion #321). Add text to clarify that 80 MHz is used for DBW80 and DBW60 in Table 38-7.

Propose to also add Table 9-(TBD) (Encoding of the PS160 and RU Allocation subfields in a UHR variant User Info field for DBW 60 MHz) and related references of the table to complete the *K*shift*l* descriptions for DBW 60 MHz. The table is copied from SFD (Motion #320) and table header is modified to be consistent with Table 46-m1, Table 46-m2, and Table 46-m3.

***To TGbn editor: Please add the following after text “80 MHz” in* Table 38-7** (Constant shift value Kshift for DRU on a frequency subblock of wide bandwidth) ***on P112.L33:***

(for DBW 80 MHz and DBW 60 MHz)

***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following table on P58.L01 and assign a proper Table number.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 9-(TBD) Encoding of the PS160 and RU Allocation subfields in a UHR variant User Info field for DBW 60 MHz** | | | | | | | |
| **PS160 subfield** | **B0 of the RU Allocation subfield** | **B7–B1 of the RU Allocation subfield** | **Bandwidth (MHz)** | **DRU size** | **DRU index (corresponding to DBW60 tone plan table)** | **80 MHz frequency subblock index (l)** | **PHY DRU index** |
| 0–3:  80 MHz frequency subblock where the DRU is located | | 0–36 | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved |
| 37–48 | 80, 160, or 320 | 52 | DRU1 to DRU12 | *N* | 16´*N* + DRU index |
| 49-52 | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved |
| 53-58 | 80, 160, or 320 | 106 | DRU1 to DRU6 | *N* | 8´*N* +  DRU index |
| 59-60 | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved |
| 61-63 | 80, 160, or 320 | 242 | DRU1 to DRU3 | *N* | 4´*N* +  DRU index |
| 64-127 | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved | Reserved |

***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following before text “***and Table 9-46m3***” and replace* (**TBD) ***with the assigned Table number on P111.L57 and P112.L06:***

, Table 9-(TBD) (Encoding of the PS160 and RU Allocation subfields in a UHR variant User Info field for DBW 60 MHz),

***To TGbn editor: Please insert the following before text “***and Table 9-46m3***” and replace* (**TBD) ***with the assigned Table number on P111.L63:***

Table 9-(TBD) (Encoding of the PS160 and RU Allocation subfields in a UHR variant User Info field for DBW 60 MHz),

***To TGbn editor: Please insert text “***, 60 MHz,***” before text “*** or an 80 MHz***” on P111.L52.***

SP:

Do you agree to the resolutions provided for the following CIDs in 802.11-25/0732r0 to be included in 11bn Draft 1.0?

CIDs: 3230, 674, 2063, 3231, 1895, 2796, 1896, 2554, 2795, 3232, 1974, 2064, 2810, 3233, 2555, 2556, 1897, 206, 1618, 2811, 124, 2797, 2750, 2705, 3234, 2798, 1958, 1959, 1119, 1120, 945, 3128, 1473, 1474, 2752, 2721, 2805, 2806, 2807, 2566, 1, 1584, 2642, 3235, 3236, 1583, 2563, 2751, 3744

Y/N/A