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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following CIDs:

1104, 1059, 1297, 1160, 1298, 1300, 1299, 1301, 1302, 1304, 1305, 1501, 1007, 1315, 1317, 1022, 1323, 1023, 1106, 1110, 1026, 1169, 1091, 1092, 1340, 1064, 1174, 1351, 1352, 1357, 1303, 1329.

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbi D0.4 Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbi D0.4 Draft. (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents). TGbi Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbi Editor” are instructions to the TGbi editor to modify existing material in the TGbi draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbi editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbi Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1104 | stephane baron | 9.4.2.337 | 41.13 | use upper cases for field name "time range" | as in comment | ACCEPTEDAlready implemented in draft 0.6 |
| 1059 | Antonio DeLaOlivaDelgado | 9.4.2.341 | 44.41 | There is EDP Epoch Setting element and EDP Epoch Setting field, having both is prone to error and missunderstandings, maybe change the name of the EDP Epoch Setting element to EDP Epoch Configuration? | As in comment | REVISEDImplemented in 11-1623 |
| 1297 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 44.44 | "The EDP epoch setting element is present in the non-AP MLD Specific Epoch Setting action frame" blah blah duplication | As it says in the comment | REVISEDImplemented in 11-1623 |
| 1160 | Patrice Nezou | 9.4.2.341 | 44.48 | The name of "EDP Epoch settings" field (Figure 9-1001dm) is confusing with the "EDP Epoch Settings" field of the EDP element of Figure 9-1001df. The EDP Epoch setting field should be teh same field as described in the Figure 9-1001dg | Please clarify and define different wording for fields | REVISEDImplemented in 11-1623 |
| 1298 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 44.60 | "action responses with action requests" -- what are those? | Delete "action" (2x) | REVISEDImplemented in 11-1623 |
| 1300 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 44.62 | This lump of text would be better as a list or as a table | As it says in the comment | REVISEDDialog value is removed as part of 11-1623 (-> no table needed) |
| 1299 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 44.65 | "the Group ID" -- no such field. Ditto next page multiple instances | As it says in the comment | REVISEDFixed in 11-1623, Group ID is now a field (Fig 9-189j in d0.6) |
| 1301 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 45.16 | "in clause 9.4.2.337" -> delete clause or say Subclause | As it says in the comment | REVISEDThe reference dispeared with 11-1623 asd elements were merged. |
| 1302 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 45.19 | "When the Dialog field is 1" -- no such field. Multiple instances, including some with bogus lowercase | As it says in the comment | REVISEDSentence was deleted when elements were merged in 11-1623 |
| 1304 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 45.19 | This either duplicates or significantly overlaps the para at 44.62 | As it says in the comment | REVISEDSentence was deleted when elements were merged in 11-1623 |
| 1305 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 45.49 | Oh, look, a table that duplicates or even triplicates what's in the text | As it says in the comment | REVISEDSentence and table were deleted when elements were merged in 11-1623 |
| 1501 | Mark RISON | 10.71.1 | 53.51 | "set link" -- what's one of those? | "setup link"? | REVISEDTerm is not present in d0.6 (setup link is used everywhere MLO context is considered) |
| 1007 | Chaoming Luo | 10.71.1 | 53.52 | Typo for "setup"? | Change to: for each setup link. | REVISEDTerm is not present in d0.6 (setup link is used everywhere MLO context is considered) |
| 1315 | Mark RISON | 10.71.1 | 53.59 | ", see ..." would be better as "(see ...)" | As it says in the comment | ACCEPTEDAlready implemented in d0.6 (p63.59) |
| 1317 | Mark RISON | 10.71.1 | 54.05 | "receiver: e.g.," should be "receiver, e.g.," | As it says in the comment | REVISEDText not present in d0.6 |
| 1022 | Chaoming Luo | 10.71.2.1 | 54.42 | What is "EDP group"? Should it be "group EDP epoch"? | Change "default EDP group" to "group EDP epoch", and change "other EDP groups" to "other group EDP epochs" | REVISEDClarified in d0.6 while implementing 11-1623 |
| 1323 | Mark RISON | 10.71.2.1 | 54.44 | "All CPE STAs joining the BSS may be placed in the default group EDP epoch by default upon association" -- is that really a may or is it a can? Or even an "are"? | As it says in the comment | REVISEDClarified in d0.6 while implementing 11-1623 |
| 1023 | Chaoming Luo | 10.71.2.1 | 54.48 | No definition of "group". | Change to: A CPE STA can request to leave any group EDP epoch and/or join a different group EDP epoch at any time. | REVISEDClarified in 24-1426 and already clarified in d0.6 |
| 1106 | stephane baron | 9.4.2.339 | 54.43 | a group is not defined. Please add definition of a group if needed (is there is a difference between EDP Epoch, and a group EDP Epoch) | Please indicate indicate a definition of the group EDP Epoch, or just replace terms "group EDP Epoch" by the defined "EDP Epoch sequence" term | REVISEDClarified in d0.6 while implementing 11-1623 |
| 1110 | stephane baron | 10.71.2.1 | 54.59 | rephrase by referencing membership of and EDP group. And clarify associated rules. | replace the sentences :"At any given time, an AP MLD has at most one EDP epoch assigned to a given associated non-AP MLD.A non-AP MLD has at most one EDP epoch." by "At any given time, a non-AP MLD is at most member of one EDP group." | REVISEDClarified in d0.6 while implementing 11-1623 |
| 1026 | Chaoming Luo | 10.71.2.2 | 55.45 | Many duplicate text in 10.71.2.2, 10.71.2.3 and 10.71.2.4 | Suggest to merge them into one subclause. | REVISEDThis is addressed in 24/1426, in feact entirely dedicated to this comment and motioned already |
| 1169 | Patrice Nezou | 10.71.2.2 | 55.50 | Support of "group EDP Epoch" and "EDP Epoch" seems similar. Is it ? | Please clarify | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and 24/1623, already implemented in d0.6 |
| 1091 | Julien Sevin | 10.71.2.3 | 56.21 | "A CPE non-AP MLD may request to join a group EDP epoch by sending an EDP epoch setting protected action request frame, containing the group ID that the non-AP MLD wishes to join. The EDP epoch setting protected action request frame is not specified | Please clarify the "EDP epoch setting protected action request frame" | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and 24/1623 |
| 1092 | Julien Sevin | 10.71.2.3 | 56.22 | "The AP MLD responds with an EDP epoch setting protected action response frame, accepting or rejecting the request". The EDP epoch setting protected action response frame is not specified | Please clarify the " EDP epoch setting protected action response frame" | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and 24/1623 |
| 1340 | Mark RISON | 10.71.2.3 | 56.38 | "An overview of the group EDP epoch is shown in Figure 10-168 (Over-view of group EDP epoch)." -- it is not clear what the value of this is without explanatory text. Also case horrors in the figure. It's not clear what "OTA\_AID 0 OTA\_IndividualMAC Header\_<n>" refer to, nor how this relates to "Receive with AID and MAC Header 0 and 1", nor why Boundary + dot11EpochTransitionTime does not have an arrow, unlike the - on the other side | As it says in the comment | REVISEDThis figure was moved ti 10.71.2.3 where the supporting text is, already fixed in d0.6 |
| 1064 | Antonio DeLaOlivaDelgado | 10.71.2.3 | 56.43 | In figure 10-168 there is OTA\_AID 0 that never changes, which does not make sense. | Modify to show OTA\_AID 0, 1, 2 | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and already present in d0.6 |
| 1174 | Patrice Nezou | 10.71.2.5 | 57.29 | " The Epoch Interval Duration field of the same fields and frames defines the interval of the following Group EDP epochs sequence." Which fields and frames are about ? | Please clarify | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and already present in d0.6 |
| 1351 | Mark RISON | 10.71.2.5 | 57.29 | "The Epoch Interval Duration field of the same fields" -- I have no idea what this could possibly mean | As it says in the comment | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and already present in d0.6 |
| 1352 | Mark RISON | 10.71.2.5 | 57.30 | " the interval of the following Group EDP epochs sequence" -- not sure what this means | Maybe " the interval of the following group EDP epoch sequences"? Is there such a thing as a group EDP epoch? How does it differ from other kinds of EDP epochs? | REVISEDAddressed in 24/1426 and already present in d0.6 |
| 1357 | Mark RISON | 10.71.2.5 | 57.47 | "clause 10.71.2.1" should be "Subclause ..." | As it says in the comment | REVISEDThe Word ‘clause’ was removed in 0.6 for consistency |
| 1303 | Mark RISON | 9.4.2.341 | 0.00 | "group ID"/"Group ID" inconsistency. Should be lowercase if not field name | As it says in the comment | REVISEDAlready implemented in d0.6 (found 0 instances) |
| 1329 | Mark RISON |   | 0.00 | "Epoch ID" should be "epoch ID" except when start of sentence etc. | As it says in the comment | REVISEDAlready implemented in d0.6 (found 0 instances) |