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Abstract

This submission discusses a resolution to the following CID from initial SA Ballot of TGbf D4.0: 6038

Proposed changes in this document are with reference to TGbf D4.0.

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Intitial version of the document

Proposed comment resolution

Presented and discussed, no open discussion points

Under discussion

G/T must be satisfied comment

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Cat** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 6083 | Mark Hamilton | T | 230,34 | Why are the new MIB attributes added to both a new "dot11SENS" station config and also to the existing Dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsEntry? What is used to ensure these are consistent? Which is meant by references to the attribute(s) in body text? | Delete the changes on P230.33, et seq. | Revised  Agree with comment. Also sensing is not part of the wireless management features. Hence, dot11SensingImplemented, dot11SBPImplemented, dot11DMGSensingMsmtImplemented, and dot11DMGSBPImplemented should be removed from Dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsEntry  **TGbf Editor:**  Please delete P230L33-42. |

# Background

P228L60-P229L2

Dot11SENSStationConfigEntry::= SEQUENCE { dot11SensingImplemented, TruthValue

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| dot11SBPImplemented, | TruthValue |
| dot11DMGSensingMsmtImplemented, | TruthValue |
| dot11DMGSBPImplemented, | TruthValue |

dot11APRequiresPMFActivated, Integer

}

P230L33-42

***Insert the following entry at the end the following object as shown below:***

Dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsEntry ::= SEQUENCE { …,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| dot11SensingImplemented  dot11SBPImplemented  dot11DMGSensingMsmtImplemented  dot11DMGSBPImplemented | TruthValue,  TruthValue,  TruthValue,  TruthValue |

}

Revised resolution, i.e. in principle agree with comment. Further, Sensing in 11.55 is not part of a wireless management feature see REVme D5.0 P5352L25

dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsEntry OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsEntry MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS current DESCRIPTION

"An entry in the dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsTable. For all Wireless Management features, an Activated MIB attribute is used to activate/enable or deactivate/disable the corresponding feature. An Implemented MIB attribute is used for an optional feature to indicate whether the feature is implemented. A mandatory feature does not have a corresponding Implemented MIB attribute. It is possible for there to be multiple IEEE 802.11 interfaces on one agent, each with its unique MAC address. The relationship between an IEEE 802.11 interface and an interface in the context of the Internet-standard MIB is one-to-one. As such, the value of an ifIndex object instance can be directly used to identify corresponding instances of the objects defined herein. ifIndex - Each IEEE 802.11 interface is represented by an ifEntry. Interface tables in this MIB module are indexed by ifIndex."

INDEX { ifIndex } ::= { dot11WirelessMgmtOptionsTable 1 }

# Straw Poll

Do you support the resolution of the following CID and instruct TGbf editor to incorporate the changes into the latest TGbf draft: 6083?

Y/N/A