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 Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following CID:

23038

**Revisions:**

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1: Modified resolution for CID 23038.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Section** | **Pg.Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 23038 | Joseph Levy | 94.2.321..4 | 258.44 | This is a resubmission of a previous Comment CID 22032. The rejection reason that the comment fails to identify a technical issue is not correct. Two technical issues were identified: 1) behavior should not be described in clause 9 2) the behavior is currently defined in clause 35, therefore the redundant requirement should be removed from clause 9. In addition the description is unnecessarily complex. | Replace: "The Beacon Interval Present subfield indicates the presence of the Beacon Interval subfield in the STA Info field and is set to 1 if the Beacon Interval subfield is present in the STA Info field; and otherwise, it is set to 0. A non-AP STA sets the Beacon Interval Present subfield to 0 in the transmitted Basic Multi-Link element. An AP affiliated with an AP MLD that is not an NSTR mobile AP MLD sets this subfield to 1 when the element carries a complete profile. The AP affiliated with an NSTR mobile AP MLD operating on the primary link sets this subfield to 0 in the Per-STA Profile subelement corresponding to the AP affiliated with the same NSTR mobile AP MLD that is operating on the nonprimary link." With: "The Beacon Interval Present subfield indicates the presence of the Beacon Interval subfield in the STA Info field." | **Rejected**The commenter fails to identify why the text should not be described in Clause 9 since language in Clause 9 is normative. Additionally, several other fields have been described in a similar manner. Moreover, the text specifies how different STAs set the Presence Indicators, specifically for cases where (i) the STA is a non-AP STA, (ii) the STA is affiliated with an AP MLD that is an NSTR mobile AP MLD, and (iii) the STA is affiliated with an AP MLD that is NOT an NSTR mobile AP MLD. These are the minimum cases to be specified (as is the case for several other subfields), and hence there is no complex behavior described.Additionally, no requirements for the inclusion of the Beacon Interval subfield in the STA Info field are specified in Clause 35.3.19 or its subclauses. Therefore, no duplication/redundancy was found in the draft text.  |