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##### 

##### This submission present proposed resolutions for the following editorial CIDs:

Clause 11: 22221

Clause 13: 22324, 22366

Clause 17: 22365

Clause 9: 22323, 22392, 22402, 22357, 22389, 22268

Clause 35: 22314

##### The proposed changes are based on P802.11be D5.0.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – Initial version

##### R1 – Deferred CID 22366

##### R2 – Updated the proposed resolution for CID 22366

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22221 | 11.2.3.7 | 369 | 17 | The "For MLO.." sentence is lost at the end of the "For non-MLO…" paragraph. | Insert a carriage return before "For MLO". |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below, the commenter is correct that a carriage return is missing in the existing text.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22221:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22324 | 13.6.2 | 461 | 34 | [Takuhiro Sato] "Basic Multi-Link element", not "Basic MultiLink element" ? | Basic Multi-Link element? |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below, the commenter is correct that “MultiLink” should be “Multi-Link”.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22324:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22366 | 13.11.2 | 474 | 53 | [Al Petrick] Grammar | Change "one Resource Descriptors" to "one of the Resource Descriptors" |

***Discussion:***

The commenter refers to the baseline text, not the text being modified by P802.11be.

(Thanks Mike M for the discussion). For the sentence “The RDE is followed by zero or one Resource Descriptors”, it is not necessary to change “zero or one Resource Descriptors” with “zero or one of the Resource Descriptors. It is because the text therein is describng the response and the intent of the sentence is to refer to either no Resource Descriptor or a Resource Descriptor.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22366:***

Rejected.

The text therein is describng the response and the intent of the sentence is to refer to either no Resource Descriptor or a Resource Descriptor.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22365 | 17.3.5.5 | 479 | 48 | [Al Petrick] Remove period | Remove period after "STA))" in Table 17-7 |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below, the commenter is correct that the period is not required.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22365:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22323 | 9.2.4.6.1 | 120 | 19 | [Takuhiro Sato] It would be more consistent to unify the spelling (either 'signalled' or 'signaled') for a minor point like this |  |

***Discussion:***

For the sake of consistency, use “signalled”.

***Proposed resolution for CID 22323:***

Revised

Throughout the draft, replace “signaled” with “signalled”.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22392 | 9.4.2.198 | 236 | 40 | Change "a positive an unsigned integer" to "a positive unsigned integer". | As in comment. |

***Discussion:***

The commenter refers to the baseline text, not the text being modified by P802.11be.

For the sentence identified by the commenter, it should be “a positive and unsigned integer”.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22392:***

Revised

At 236.40, replace “a positive an unsigned integer” with “a positive and unsigned integer”.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22402 | 9.4.2.312.2.3 | 252 | 45 | "Frequency Separation for STR subfield" in the "Encoding" column should be modified to "Frequency Separation For STR subfield". | As in comment. |

***Discussion:***

None.

***Proposed resolution for CID 22402:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22357 | 9.4.2.312.4 | 261 | 17 | [Al Petrick] In Table 9-404I misspelling | In Table 9-404I change "NST R" to "NSTR" |
| 22389 | 9.4.2.312.4 | 261 | 17 | Remove the extra space. | As in comment. |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below, the commenter is correct about the typo.



***Proposed resolution for CIDs 22357, 22389:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22268 | 9.4.2.314 | 292 | 34 | "Mapping" is missing in the title of Figure 9-1001ap | Replace "TID-To-Link Control field format" with "TID-To-Link Mapping Control field format" in the title of Figure 9-1001ap. |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below (correct location is 290.34), the commenter is correct about the typo.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22268:***

Accepted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 22314 | 35.3.3.3 | 500 | 60 | [Liuming Lu] "and" is missing in the sentence. | Suggest to change the sentence to "…, and exceptions specified in 35.3.3.4 (Fields and elements not carried in a per-STA profile). " |

***Discussion:***

As referred to the text below (correct location is 498.60), the commenter is correct that “and” should be used. However, the comma is not needed if “and” is used.



***Proposed resolution for CID 22314:***

Revised.

Change “, exceptions” with “and exceptions” at 498.60.