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Abstract

This document contains the minutes of the IEEE 802.11bh teleconference of October 24, 2023.

Note: Highlighted text are action items.

**Meeting October 24th, 2023, 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. ET**

**Chair: Not present**

**Vice Chair: Peter Yee (NSA-CSD/AKAYLA)**

**Vice Chair: Stephen Orr (Cisco)**

**Secretary: Stephen McCann (Huawei)**

**Editor: Carol Ansley (Cox Communications)**

**The teleconference was called to order by the Vice-Chair (Peter Yee) at 9:33 a.m. ET.**

Agenda slide deck [11-23/1824r00](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1824-00-00bh-agenda-tgbh-2023-oct-24.pptx)

1. **Policies and procedures were presented by Vice-Chair Peter Yee. (Slides 4 to 15)**

There were no Patent declarations.

Copyright policy slides were presented (Slides 10 and 11)

1. **Agenda:**

* **Attendance, noises/recording, meeting protocol**
* **Policies, duty to inform, participation rules**
* **Organization topics:**
  + Timeline reminder (slide 16)
  + Motions record: [11-22/0651r25](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0651-25-00bh-tgbh-motions-list.pptx)
  + REMINDER: Motion next week (Oct 31) on resolutions through (and including) today’s call. Please review the comment resolution document, for “Ready for motion” CIDs.
* **Comment Resolution**
  + Comment resolution document: [11-23/1152r23](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-1152-23-00bh-ieee-802-11bh-lb274-comments.xlsx)
  + Comment topics list (slide 17)
  + Comment resolution queue (slide 18)
* **Discussion on response to WBA liaisons (was due Sept):** [**11-21/0703r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0703-00-0000-2021-april-liaison-from-wba.docx)**,** [**11-21/1141r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1141-00-00bh-excerpts-of-wba-document-wi-fi-id-scope.pptx)**,** [**11-22/0668r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0668-00-0000-liaison-statement-from-wba-re-wi-fi-devices-identification-group.pdf)**,** [**11-22/0653r0**](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0653-00-0000-2022-march-wba-whitepaper-re-device-identification.pdf)
  + [11-23/0888r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/23/11-23-0888-00-00bh-wba-liaison-discussion.pptx) Stephen Orr

The agenda, with comment resolution submission updates acknowledged, was approved with unanimous consent.

1. **Timeline Review**

Hopefully, we will be able to wrap up comment resolution in time for a recirculation ballot by November 2023, if not earlier.

1. **Comment Resolution queue** (slide 18)

The vice-chair presented the list of items on the slide.

No questions

1. **11-23-1353r3** (Jay Yang [ZTE])

CIDs 19, 88 and 40 – There is no concept of MLO within the TGbh amendment as TGbh is ordered before TGbe.

Q: The resolution is saying that 11bh is not MLO compatible.

A: Yes. 11bh is silent about MLO.

No objection to marking these CIDs as approved.

1. **11-23-1316r14** (Jay Yang [ZTE])

CID 103, 72, 104, 170, 244

The current comment resolutions were presented.

A small update was made to CID 170.

No objection to marking these CIDs as approved.

1. **11-23-1245r24** (Graham Smith [SR Technologies])

CID 65

The current comment resolution was presented.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 214

The current comment resolution was presented.

Q: What has changed in the last 2 weeks?

A: Nothing

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

1. **11-23-1373r2** (Graham Smith [SR Technologies])

CID 107

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: If you look in document 11-23-1316r14, there is another change in the same area.

C: There’s an issue about activating IRM in some circumstances.

Q: What is the coupling between the AP and STA?

A: If the AP doesn’t advertise the IRM support, then the STA should not either.

C: I now think this is a different comment.

More work required.

CID 129

The current comment resolution was presented.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 192

The current comment resolution was presented.

Change to Revised “during association”.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 195

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: We have discussed the definition of an ESS within ARC many times. A single AP can be considered to be an ESS.

C: I would also like to note that this is a future identifier.

C: I think this should be kept as an ESS specific identifier.

Changes were made to the text.

More work required

CID 200

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: The change appears to be ok.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 201

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: IRM probing should also be used by a STA when it knows that it is within range of an AP.

Q: However, it’s not just the AP. It should be “within range of any AP in the ESS”.

C: REVme D4.1 uses different terminology for Extended Service Area (ESA) at the bottom of P189.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 203

The current comment resolution was presented.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 260

The current comment resolution was presented.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 266

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: I think there are problems saying “providing privacy”. It should be “enhancing privacy” or similar wording.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

The document was revised and uploaded to mentor

There are still 2 remaining CIDs to be finished in this document.

1. **11-23-1353r3** (Jay Yang [ZTE])

CID 12

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: I’m not sure why this text was removed from D1.0. The technical editor is not on the call at the moment.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

CID 97

The current comment resolution was presented.

C: I’m not sure why this text was removed from D1.0

C: Why does this text appear to include anything?

C: Annex AD is only an example. It is not normative.

No objection to marking this CID as approved.

To be continued.

1. **AoB**

None

**Meeting adjourned at 11:30 a.m. ET**
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