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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following CIDs:

19607

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1: Changes based on feedback from members.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe D3.0 Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe D3.0 Draft. (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 19607 | Xiaofei Wang | 35.2.1.1 | 483.44 | The language used in the second paragraph in 35.2.1.1 and the notes are different, and they should be the same unless there is specific reason; otherwise it causes confusion. In the second paragraph it says "An EHT STA that is a STA 6G and is transmitting a CTS frame in non-HT duplicate format in response to an RTS frame in non-HT duplicate format with a bandwidth signaling TA addressed to the EHT STA" and in the notes "an EHT STA responding a Control frame in non-HT duplicate format", assuming that these two sentences discussing the same cases, then the same language should be used. | As in comment | Revised. Agree with the commenter in principle. TGbe editor to make the changes shown in 11-23/1786r1 under CID #19607. |

*TGbe editor: Change Clause 35.2.1.1 as follows (track change on):*

**35.2.1.1 Bandwidth signaling**

An EHT STA that is a STA 6G and is transmitting a Control frame in non-HT duplicate format with a bandwidth signaling TA addressed to an EHT STA shall set the TXVECTOR parameter CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NON\_HT signaled via the scrambling sequence and SERVICE field according to Table 36-1 (TXVECTOR and RXVECTOR parameters).

An EHT STA that is a STA 6G and is transmitting a CTS frame in non-HT duplicate format in response to an RTS frame in non-HT duplicate format with a bandwidth signaling TA addressed to the EHT STA shall set the TXVECTOR parameter CH\_BANDWIDTH\_IN\_NON\_HT signaled via the scrambling sequence and SERVICE field according to Table 36-1 (TXVECTOR and RXVECTOR parameters).

NOTE—In an EHT BSS set up by an EHT AP that has included the Disabled Subchannel Bitmap subfield in the EHT Operation element, both an EHT STA transmitting a Control frame in non-HT duplicate format with a bandwidth signaling TA and an EHT STA (#19607)transmitting a CTS frame in non-HT duplicate format in response to an RTS frame with a bandwidth signaling TA sets the TXVECTOR parameter INACTIVE\_SUBCHANNELS for a non-HT duplicate PPDU based on the value indicated in the most recently exchanged Disabled Subchannel Bitmap subfield in the EHT Operation element for that BSS.