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##### This submission present proposed resolutions for the following 11 ED2 CIDs:

6274, 6321, 6589, 6324, 6309, 6307, 6302, 6297, 6294, 6319, 6162.

##### The proposed changes are based on REVme/D4.0.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – Initial version

##### R1 – Remove the proposed resolution for CID 6287. Proposed resolution of selected CIDs were updated based on the discussion on 12 October 2023.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6274 | 1.4 | 174 |  | “x shall be ignored upon reception” has other forms | Append "(and similar expressions)" |

***Discussion:***

At 174.54:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6274:***

Accepted.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6321 | 23.4 |  |  | "PLME\_SAP sublayer" should be space not underscore (also in 27.4.1 and 28.12.1), and "PMLE" should be "PLME" | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

None.

***Proposed resolution for CID 6321:***

Accepted.

Note to Editors:

Replace “PLME\_SAP” with “PMLE SAP” at 3708.3, 4207.3, 4559.56.

Replace “PMLE” with “PLME” at 3708.1.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6589 | 25.5.2 | 3780 | 46 | Typo "1080HMz" | Change to "1080MHz". Same thing at P3793.10. |

***Discussion:***

Agree with the commenter that it is a typo in both figures. As per the editorial style guideline, it is recommended to say “1080 MHz” rather than “1080MHz”.

***Proposed resolution for CID 6589:***

Revised.

Replace “1080HMz” with “1080 MHz” at 3780.56 and 3793.10 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6324 | 27.3.10 |  |  | "the subcarriers on which the signal is transmitted in each 80 MHz bandwidth is identical to an 80 MHz HE PPDU transmission" (2x) -- subject/verb number disagreement; also subcarriers can't equal transmissions | Change to "the subcarriers on which the signal is transmitted in each 80 MHz bandwidth are identical to those in an 80 MHz HE PPDU transmission" (2x) |

***Discussion:***

At 4070.45 and 4070.51:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6324:***

Accepted.

Note to Editors: The locations are 4070.45 and 4070.51 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6309 | 11.10.9.1.1 |  |  | "Measurement Mode in the measurement request" is missing "field" after "Mode" | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

At 2514.46:



At 2514.60:



At 2515.1:



At 2515.24:



At 2515.30:



At 2516.24:



At 2516.40:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6309:***

Revised.

Replace “Measurement Mode” with “measurement mode” at 2514.46, 2514.60, 2515.1, 2515.30, 2516.24, 2516.40 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6307 | 11.45.5 |  |  | "the FILS User Priority B0, B1, and B2" missing "field" before "B0". Ditto "the FILS User Priority B0" later on | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

At 2770.34:



At 2770.62, 2770.63, and 2770.64:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6307:***

Revised.

Replace “the FILS User Priority B0, B1, and B2” with “B0, B1, and B2 of the FILS User Priority field” at 2770.34 in D4.0.

Replace “the FILS User Priority B0” with “B0 of the FILS User Priority field” at 2770.62 in D4.0.

Replace “the FILS User Priority B1” with “B1 of the FILS User Priority field” at 2770.63 in D4.0.

Replace “the FILS User Priority B2” with “B2 of the FILS User Priority field” at 2770.64 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6302 | 12.7.8.4.1 |  |  | "in the TDLS Setup Request, TDLS Setup Response, and TDLS Setup Confirm" missing +frames | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

At 2937.27:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6302:***

Revised.

Replace “in the TDLS Setup Request, TDLS Setup Response, and TDLS Setup Confirm” with “in the TDLS Setup Request, TDLS Setup Response, and TDLS Setup Confirm frames” at 2397.27 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6297 | 17.3.3 |  |  | Space needed after comma in "0,0}" in Equation (17-6) | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

At 3187.37:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6297:***

Accepted.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6294 | 12.6.18 | 2888 | 12 | "Protected" should not have scare quotes for the SAP parameter | Remove the scare quotes |

***Discussion:***

At 2888.12:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6294:***

Accepted.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6319 | 11.7.6 |  |  | " A non-AP STA shall use a transmit power less than or equal to the minimum or the local maximum" should be "... of the local maximum" | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

At 2489.40:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6319:***

Revised.

Replace “A non-AP STA shall use a transmit power less than or equal to the minimum or the local maximum and regulatory client maximum transmit power for the channel” with “A non-AP STA shall use a transmit power less than or equal to the minimum of the local maximum and regulatory client maximum transmit power for the channel” at 2489.40 in D4.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 6162 | 12.7.10.3 | 2950 | 55 | Font size inconsistent in "NOTE—When an (#1836)EAPOL-Key PDU in which the Ack bit is 0 is received, then it is expected as a reply to a message that the Authenticator sent, and the replay counter is checked against the replay counter used in the sent (#1836)EAPOL-Key PDU. When (#1571)an EAPOL-Key request frame is received, (#3426)a replay counter for these messages is used that is a different replay counter than the replay counter used for sending messages to the Supplicant." | Use the normal NOTE font size throughout |

***Discussion:***

At 2950.55:



***Proposed resolution for CID 6162:***

Accepted.