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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following LB275 comments on P802.11be D4.0: Comments in 36.3.12.7.

NOTE – Set the Track Changes Viewing Option in the MS Word to “All Markup” to clearly see the proposed text edits.

**Revision History:**

R0: Initial version. Resolve CIDs 19010, 19092, 19534, 19535.

# CID 19534, 19535

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 19534 | 36.3.12.7.2 | 758.03 | Poor notation: "160/320 MHz". | Replace with "160 or 320 MHz" | Accepted. |
| 19535 | 36.3.12.7.2 | 758.06 | Poor notation: "40/80/160/320 MHz". | Replace with "40, 80, 160 or 320 MHz" | Accepted. |

# CID 19010, 19092

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page.Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 19092 | 36.3.12.7.2 | 761.16 | why only Number Of EHT-SIG Symbols' highlights 'This value shall be the same in every 80 MHz frequency subblock.' | remove 'This value shall be the same in every 80 MHz frequency subblock.' | Accepted. |
| 19010 | 36.3.12.7 | 762.30 | add a note: "EHT NDP can also be derived from the LENGTH field in the L-SIG and the duration of the EHT preamble" | add a note: "EHT NDP can also be derived from the LENGTH field in the L-SIG and the duration of the EHT preamble" | Rejected.Agree to commenter that this could be done. However, it is an implementation choice. The standard can be agnostic to the use of this method. Hence, we don’t see a need to add a note as in the proposed change. |