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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions to the following CIDs.

138, 100

Revisions:

* Rev 0 – Initial version of the document.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in IEEE P802.11bh D1.0. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the IEEE P802.11bh D1.0. (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbh Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbh Editor” are instructions to the TGbh editor to modify existing material in the TGbh draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbh editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbh Draft.***

| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 138 | Fumihide Goto | In KDE format, variable should be "Length-xx" | Reject | This field is variable, and its length computed by the supplicant or authenticator based on the overall length of the handshake message. |
| 100 | Daniel Harkins | The device ID status is pointless. The non-AP STA can't make any use of that knowledge. And to what would it apply anyway? The device ID the non-AP STA sent? But it's getting another back from the AP so what purpose is there in saying whether or not the previous one was "recognized"? | Reject | Based on previous discussions and presentations with accepted straw polls. |
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