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Abstract

Proposed resolutions on D1.0 related to IRM scheme.
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Rev 1, small edits

Rev 2, added CIDs 56, 89,100,101,102,108,109,147,156,194

Rev 3, edited CIDs 7, 21, 114 to alternative of adding a note.

Rev 4, added edited text for appropriate CIDs at end of document.

Rev 5, moved similar comments next to each other. Added text at end to clarify edits and ease discussions.

REV 6, completed moving like CIDs together. Updated TG comments and proposals.

REV 7, resolved CID 23. More work on CIDs 135, 224.

REV 8, added error code for different IRM/Device ID, (CID 135, 224), resolved 38, 49, 102, 56. Deleted CIDs on status code removal (resolved by Jay).

REV 9, resolved CIDs at 8/8/2023 telecon

REV 10, Added back 64, 155 Proposals for “No Agreement” and “Duplicate IRM”

REV 11, Edited No Agreement CIDs

REV 12, Edited Duplicate CIDs.

REV 13, CIDs 7, 21, 114 removed (created new submission). Edited CIDs 135, 224.

REV 14, Edited “Mismatch” CIDs.

REV 15 updated after meeting

REV 16 Added CIDs 34, 241, 160, 257

REV 17 edits

REV 18 ready for next meeting (expanded 67 for clarity)

REV 19

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed | Resolution |
| 2 | 33 | 12 | "If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to 1 and the IRM field is reserved". Status field 0 is recognized, so change the "1" to "0" or change to "recognized" | Change" IRM element is set to 1" to "IRM element is set to "recognized"" | Looking at 11me D 3.0 , the theme appears to be "set to 1 to indicate that…".  REVISED Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document.  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 3 | 33 | 12 | "...and the IRM field is reserved." In device ID we used a "zero-length". Propose the same here. | Change "...and the IRM field is reserved" to "and has a zero-length IRM field? | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 4 | 33 | 12 | "If the AP does not recognize the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to 0 and the IRM field is reserved." Unrecogniozed is setting 1, but better to jyst set to "unrecognized". Also use "zero-length" IRM field. | Change cited text to "If the AP does not recognize the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to "not recognized" and has a zero-length IRM field" | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 149 | 33 | 12 | According to description on clause 9, the IRM Status field is set to 0 to indicate "recognized". | Replace "1" with "0" at P33L12, "0" with "1" at P33L13. | Yes, comment is correct. See CIDs 2, 3,4  REVISED Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document |
| 197 | 33 | 12 | "the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to 1" not clear | Change to "... is set to indicate <whatever>". Ditto below | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document |
| 5 | 33 | 14 | "The non-AP STA, on receipt of an IRM Status field of value 1 may either continue to associate to the AP or disassociate." Change "value 1" to "set to "not recognized"" | Change "IRM Status field of value 1" to "IRM Status field set to "not recognized"" | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 2,3,4,5,149,197 in this document  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 294 | 28 | 33 | The description is unlear. | Suggest to modify this sentence as follows: "...Indicates the IRM has been recognized by the AP.." "...Indicates the IRM has not been recognized by the AP.." | REJECT  Comment is correct, but  Table is correct, 0 = recognized, 1 = not recognized. Text has it wrong, See CIDs 2,3,4,5 where the text is corrected. |
| 6 | 34 | 26 | The IRM Status field and IRM field in the IRM KDE format have been defined in the IRM element (9.4.2.307b). be | Simplify the definition of IRM Status field and IRM field by referring to the IRM element (9.4.2.307b) | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 6,37,207 in this document  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 37 | 34 | 26 | In order to avoid duplicate sepc text make a reference to 9.5.2.307b (IRM element) similar to the previous Device ID Status field | Please replace "The IRM Status field indicates the current status of the IRM." with "The IRM Status field is defined in 9.4.2.307b (IRM element)" and delete lines 28-52. | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 6,37,207 in this document  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 207 | 34 | 25 | This is the exact same IRM Status field as in C9, no? | Just refer to that, as for the Device ID Status field above | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 6,37,207 in this document (see edits at end of this document) |
| 22 | 30 | 21 | IRM is the abbreviation of "identifiable random MAC address",suppose we need to remove the deplicated words"MAC address" after IRM | change "IRM MAC adress" to "IRM" | Yes IRM = Identifiable Random MAC address  REVISED  At following locations replace “IRM MAC address” with "IRM": 30.21, 32.47, 32.48, 32.49, 32.54, 32.57, 32.62, 32.63, 33.1, 33.11, 33.13, 33.21, 33.26, 33.31, 33.36, 33.37  At 33.4 replace “IRM MAC” with “IRM”  See also CIDS 25, 51, 168, 169, 193 |
| 25 | 32 | 47 | replace "IRM MAC address" with "IRM" | as the comments, in line 47,line 48, line 49 and other place | REVISED  At following locations replace “IRM MAC address” with "IRM": 30.21, 32.47, 32.48, 32.49, 32.54, 32.57, 32.62, 32.63, 33.1, 33.11, 33.13, 33.21, 33.26, 33.31, 33.36, 33.37  At 33.4 replace “IRM MAC” with “IRM” |
| 51 |  |  | The term IRM is being used to refer to the mechanism and the identifier. Also, the acronym is sometimes used as a prefix for MAC address, which is already present in the acronym (IRM MAC address == identifiable random MAC address MAC address). See 33.37, 33.25, 33.21, 33.11. | Choose a term for the mechanism (e.g., IRM mechanism or IRM operation) and a separate term for the identifier (e.g., IRM -- no "MAC address") | Do not think there is any need to add “IRM operation” or such. No reference is provided where there may be a problem  REVISED  At following locations replace “IRM MAC address” with "IRM": 30.21, 32.47, 32.48, 32.49, 32.54, 32.57, 32.62, 32.63, 33.1, 33.11, 33.13, 33.21, 33.26, 33.31, 33.36, 33.37  At 33.4 replace “IRM MAC” with “IRM” |
| 168 |  |  | "IRM" already stands for identifiable random MAC address so "IRM MAC address" is pleonastic | Delete " MAC address" after "IRM" (~19x) | REVISED  At following locations replace “IRM MAC address” with "IRM": 30.21, 32.47, 32.48, 32.49, 32.54, 32.57, 32.62, 32.63, 33.1, 33.11, 33.13, 33.21, 33.26, 33.31, 33.36, 33.37  At 33.4 replace “IRM MAC” with “IRM” |
| 169 | 19 | 19 | "IRM" is a noun abbreviation | Change to "When using an IRM..." Similarly at line 24 ... and in many other places | Wrong reference. Think it is 30.19  “When using IRM, a non-AP STA may…”  Commenter wants to say  At 30.19 Replace “When “When using an IRM…”  Similarly at 30.24 “Device ID and an IRM may be used together.”  It reads fine. We didn’t say “A Device ID and an IRM may be used together.”  REJECT |
| 23 | 30 | 24 | it's not clear how Device ID and IRM used together. | give some clarification on how the two schemes used together, e.g. How the AP identify the STA when the frame sent by STA carries two identifiers. | (see also CID 135) The two schemes are independent. Add Note at 30.25  REVISED  Add at 30.25 NOTE: Device ID and IRM are independent schemes that allow an AP to recognize a non-AP STA prior to association and identify it during association respectively. The device ID is allocated by an AP, and the IRM is selected by a non-AP STA. If an AP and a non-AP STA both support both IRM and device ID, the non-AP STA might provide both an IRM and a device ID. |
| 135 | 30 | 24 | It is not clear how IRM and Device ID can be used together. Is it possible that STA sometimes uses Device ID and other times uses IRM?  What if the IRM and Device ID match to different devices? | Remove possibility to use IRM and Device ID at the same time, or specify all the details related to simultaneous use of these protocols. | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 135,224, 257, in this document (see edits at end of this document) |
| 224 | 19 | 29 | Both IRM and Device ID can be used simultaneously, what happens if each of them identifies a different STA? | This applies to all the document. Need to clarify what happens when there is a collision | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 135,224 257, in this document (see edits at end of this document) |
| 257 | 30 | 27 | It seems some AP behaviors when a STA uses both Device ID and IRM may have been defined. For instance, what happens when there is an ambiguity between a recognized Device ID sent by a STA carried in a frame with a TA that has not been declared by the STA. | Identify such ambiguous event, if any, and specify the AP behavior. | REVISED  Incorporate the changes marked as CIDs 135,224, 257, in this document (see edits at end of this document) |
| 34 | 21 | 26 | The heading numbers starting with 6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request seem to be wrong compared to 802.11-2020. | Please update the heading numbers starting on P21L26 to P23L17 as follows: "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" to "6.3.8.2 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.3.8.2.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" to "6.3.8.3 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.3.8.3.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" to "6.3.8.4 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.3.8.4.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response" to "6.3.8.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.3.8.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" | REVISE  To agree with 802.11me D3.0  Please update the heading numbers starting on P21L26 to P23L17 as follows: "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" to "6.5.8.2 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.2.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" to "6.5.8.3 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.3.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" to "6.5.8.4 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.4.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response" to "6.5.8.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response |
| 241 | 19 | 5 | Clause 6 additions referenced to the "old" Clause 6, these edits/additions should be referenced to the updated Clause numbering in 802.11me. | For example: 6.3.7.2 MLME-ASSOCIATE.request - should be 6.5.7.2 MLME-ASSOCIATE.request. | REVISE  To agree with 802.11me D3.0  Please update the heading numbers starting on P21L26 to P23L17 as follows: "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" to "6.5.8.2 MLME-REASSOCIATE.request" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.2.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" to "6.5.8.3 MLME-REASSOCIATE.confirm" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.3.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" to "6.5.8.4 MLME-REASSOCIATE.indication" "6.3.7.5.2 Semantics of the service primitive" to "6.5.8.4.2 Semantics of the service primitive" "6.3.7.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response" to "6.5.8.5 MLME-REASSOCIATE.response |
| 49 | 30 | 8 | This subclause needs to 1) describe the problem the mechanisms are addressing. 2) introduce the mechanisms. Improvements to the current text to achieve these goals are provided. Some specific problems with the text are as follows: The "user" in the second sentence is ambiguous. Is this the person using the device that contains the non-AP STA or is this the network operator? The description in the second paragraph applies to both mechanisms, however, since it is using "device ID" it appears intended for only one of the mechanisms. There seems to be a distinction that "device ID" is purely used with association while "IRM" is used with association and PASN authentication (which is contradicted later in the detailed description). | For all but the first sentence, replace with the following:  This presents a problem for the network in that it is unable to identify a non-AP STA that previously associated and is not able to apply cached information from that previous association to the current association. The two mechanisms defined in 12.2.11 alleviate this problem."  The first mechanism, referred to as the device ID mechanism, has the AP provide an identifier to the non-AP STA during association or PASN authentication that the non-AP STA can then report back to the AP during a future association or PASN authentication. The second mechanism, referred to as the IRM mechanism, has the non-AP STA provide a random MAC address (different from the address it is using) to the AP during association or PASN authentication and then use that MAC address for the next association or PASN authentication.  The two mechanisms are not mutual exclusive and may be used simultaneously. | REVISED  **At Page 30.8 replace entire text in 12.2.11 with following**  “To mitigate tracking and traffic analysis, a non-AP STA may randomly change its MAC address (see 4.5.4.10 (MAC privacy enhancements)).  This presents a problem for the network in that it is unable to identify a non-AP STA that previously associated and is not able to apply cached information from that previous association to the current association. The two mechanisms defined in 12.2.11 alleviate this problem.  The first mechanism, referred to as device ID, has the AP provide an identifier to the non-AP STA during association or PASN authentication that the non-AP STA can then report back to the AP during a future association or PASN authentication. The second mechanism, referred to as IRM, has the non-AP STA provide a random MAC address (different from the address it is using) to the AP during association or PASN authentication and then use that MAC address for the next association or PASN authentication.  The two mechanisms device ID and IRM, may be used concurrently.” |
| 102 | 30 | 24 | What does "may be used together" | Change "Device ID and IRM may be used together" to "Device ID and IRM may be used concurrently" | **Not to editor: same resolution as CID 49**  **Note to Commenter: the change is accepted but the clause was revised in its entirety. The term “concurrently” is used.**  REVISED  **At Page 30.8 replace entire text in 12.2.11 with following**  “To mitigate tracking and traffic analysis, a non-AP STA may randomly change its MAC address (see 4.5.4.10 (MAC privacy enhancements)).  This presents a problem for the network in that it is unable to identify a non-AP STA that previously associated and is not able to apply cached information from that previous association to the current association. The two mechanisms defined in 12.2.11 alleviate this problem.  The first mechanism, referred to as device ID, has the AP provide an identifier to the non-AP STA during association or PASN authentication that the non-AP STA can then report back to the AP during a future association or PASN authentication. The second mechanism, referred to as IRM, has the non-AP STA provide a random MAC address (different from the address it is using) to the AP during association or PASN authentication and then use that MAC address for the next association or PASN authentication.  The two mechanisms device ID and IRM, may be used concurrently.” |
| 56 | 30 | 19 | The non-AP STA does not 'provide' a random MAC to the AP, this seems to say that the AP gets its MAC from the STA. | Replace with "a non-AP STA, when it associates with an AP or when it performs PASN authentication, may communicate to the AP the value of a new IRM MAC address. | REVISED  **At Page 30.8 replace entire text in 12.2.11 with following**  “To mitigate tracking and traffic analysis, a non-AP STA may randomly change its MAC address (see 4.5.4.10 (MAC privacy enhancements)).  This presents a problem for the network in that it is unable to identify a non-AP STA that previously associated and is not able to apply cached information from that previous association to the current association. The two mechanisms defined in 12.2.11 alleviate this problem.  The first mechanism, referred to as device ID, has the AP provide an identifier to the non-AP STA during association or PASN authentication that the non-AP STA can then report back to the AP during a future association or PASN authentication. The second mechanism, referred to as IRM, has the non-AP STA provide a random MAC address (different from the address it is using) to the AP during association or PASN authentication and then use that MAC address for the next association or PASN authentication.  The two mechanisms device ID and IRM, may be used concurrently.” |
| 57 | 32 | 47 | The term 'provide' is misleading, it seems to indicate that the STA gives to the AP the MAC that the AP is expected to use. | Replace with "Each time the non-AP STA associates with an AP/ESS, it shares the value of t new IRM MAC address with the AP/ESS, during the RSN association". | Note: Consider CIDs 58-62, 193 and then return to this one.  **See Discussion at Page 23**  REJECT  Text makes it clear that it is the STA that uses the IRM.  “provide” is a reasonable verb to use. See also CIDS, 58-62, 193. |
| 58 | 32 | 54 | The term 'allocate' is confusing, it seems to indicates that the intention is for the AP to use that MAC as its own, or that the STA will see the AP with that MAC address. | Replace with "the non-AP STA may indicate to the AP the value of a new IRM MAC address" | **See Discussion at Page 23**  REVISED  At 32.54 “When associating to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the non-AP STA may provide a new IRM to the AP” |
| 59 | 32 | 57 | The term 'allocate' is confusing, it seems to indicates that the intention is for the AP to use that MAC as its own, or that the STA will see the AP with that MAC address. | Replace with "the non-AP STA may indicate to the AP the value of a new IRM MAC address" | **See Discussion at Page 23**  REVISED  At 32.57 “When using PASN, the non-AP STA may provide a new IRM to the AP by including the IRM element” |
| 60 | 33 | 26 | The term 'allocated' is confusing, it seems to indicates that the intention is for the AP to use that MAC as its own, or that the STA will see the AP with that MAC address. | Replace with "... as the TA to the AP with which that IRM MAC was previously shared" | **See Discussion at Page 23**  REVISED  At 33.26 “When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP that was provided that address.” |
| 61 | 33 | 29 | The term 'allocated' is confusing, it seems to indicates that the intention is for the AP to use that MAC as its own, or that the STA will see the AP with that MAC address. | Replace with "...for an AP or ESS with which that IRM MAC address was previously shared" | See Discussion at Page 23  REVISED  At 33.29 “an AP or ESS that was provided that address, such that the AP may identify the non-AP STA” |
| 62 | 33 | 31 | The term 'allocated' is confusing, it seems to indicates that the intention is for the AP to use that MAC as its own, or that the STA will see the AP with that MAC address. | Replace with "a non-AP STA that has previously shared an IRM MAC address with an AP/ESS may use that address..." | See Discussion at Page 23  REVISED  At 33.31 “non-AP STA that has provided an IRM to an AP/ESS, may use that address” |
| 193 | 32 | 53 | "may allocate a new IRM MAC address to the AP" -- I don't think the address is allocated to the AP | Change to "may allocate a new IRM for use with the AP". Ditto next sentence. Ditto at 33.25 | See Discussion at Page 23  REVISED  At 32.53 “When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP that was provided that address”  At 33.26  “When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP that was provided that address” |
| 155 | 18 | 17 | "exchange a device ID" -- is the ID really "exchanged"? | Change to "provide" | ACCEPT |
| 64 | 32 | 49 | To make the mechanism useful, it is better to recommended that the MAC address be used in the next association, rather than making it optional. If there is a compelling reason not to do so, then the non-AP STA can still use a different address. But the expectation needs to be that you send the future address and then use it. | "may" -> "should" | Probably agree. The only point was that a STA may, for some reason, choose to associate not using the previous supplied IRM. ACCEPT |
| 65 | 33 | 4 | This should not be a note (informative); it should be normative and a recommendation. Also, it might not be necessary to generate a new MAC address with each association, especially if they occur within a short time from each other. | Replace the note with a normative statement:  Because the MAC address is exposed to third parties during and post association, the non-AP STA should generate a new MAC address either periodically or with each association. | See end of document.  This note was deleted by CID 28 and Note 3 edited.  REVISED  Incorporate the changes in 11 23/1280r1 |
| 66 | 32 | 60 | This statement is a repeat of ones at 28.20 and 34.28 and unnecessary | Delete the statement. | “The IRM Status field in the IRM KDE or IRM element is reserved when transmitted by the non-AP STA.”  True, maybe 3 times is too much, Inclined to  ACCEPT |
| 194 | 32 | 59 | "The IRM Status field in the IRM KDE or IRM element is reserved when transmitted by the non-AP STA." duplicates C9 | Delete | See also CID 66  ACCEPT |
| 67 | 33 | 8 | This needs to be a conditional statement (if this and this then this). | Change to "If a non-AP STA indicates support for the IRM mechanism in a (Re-)Association Request frame and the AP indicates support for the IRM mechanism in the corresponding (Re-)Association Response frame, then the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake, or, if using FILS authentication, in the Association Response frame. | REVISE Replace cited text at 33.8 with  "If a non-AP STA indicates support for the IRM mechanism in a (Re-)Association Request frame and the AP indicates support for the IRM mechanism in the corresponding (Re-)Association Response frame, then the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake, or, if using FILS authentication, shall include an IRM element in the Association Response frame.” |
| 68 | 32 | 62 | It is not necessary to state this; it is implied by the statements for OTA operation. This is essentially a statement about implementation. | Delete the statement that begins: "The non-AP STA should store..." | Text is “The non-AP STA should store the newly allocated IRM MAC address as an identifier for use with that AP/ESS  and the AP/ESS should store that IRM MAC address as an identifier for that non-AP STA”  Inclined to REJECT The idea is to make sure that the AP must remember the IRM list. Is it obvious - maybe  Alternative is to make it a NOTE? But prefer  REJECT  The idea is to make sure that the AP and STA remember the IRM list, (same with Device IDs) otherwise the schemes do not work. Is it obvious - maybe, but no harm done. |
| 69 | 33 | 21 | Inappropriate reference: 12.2.10 does not describe how a MAC address is constructed from the locally administered address space. Since this paragraph is essentially repeating information in 12.2.10 make a statement about conformance to 12.2.10 instead. | A non-AP STA that uses the IRM mechanism also supports the requirements for MAC privacy enhancement defined in 12.2.10. It might also be better to move this statement earlier in this section. | Text is “An IRM MAC address is a 48-bit address that is constructed from the locally administered address space (see  12.2.10).”  Needs discussion on whether we need to reference (12.2.10) or is “locally administered address space” enough?  REVISED  At 33.20 Delete “(see 12.2.10)” |
| 81 | 32 | 56 | The sentence says "..., including the IRM element in the Association Response frame." Should it be Association Request? | Change Association Response to Association Request. | ACCEPT |
| 147 | 32 | 56 | I think "the Association Response frame" should be replaced with "the Association Request frame" because the frame seems to be transmitted by the non-AP STA. | As in comment | ACCEPT (see also CID 81) |
| 108 | 32 | 43 | The amendment cannot enforce a normative requirement on a configuration of an ESS. | Remove "APs in a given ESS shall set this field to the same value."  At 30.36, add "NOTE -- The IRM Active field should be confiigured consistently throughout the ESS." | Can’t have should in note.  Check similar on Device ID.  CID 104 in 23/1316  “All APs or all the affiliated APs within AP MLDs shall be configured consistently throughout the ESS.A STA or a STA affiliated with an MLD shall not send a frame with device ID to any STA or any STA affiliated with an MLD unless the receiving STA sets the Device ID Active field to 1 in the Extended RSN Capabilities field”  REVISE  Replace  "All APs in a given ESS shall set this field to the same value."  With  “The IRM Active field should be configured consistently throughout the ESS." |
| 109 | 33 | 8 | "When a non-AP STA advertises support..." non-APs do not advertise support, they negotiate support. | Change "When a non-AP STA that advertises support for IRM associates ..." to "When a non-AP STA that negotiates support for IRM, by setting the IRM Active field to 1 in the Extended RSN Capabilities field, associates ..." | REJECT  There is no negotiation taking place. The non-AP STA decides to make active or not. |
| 128 | 32 | 37 | IRM as defined in this clause, appears to repeat exisiting non-AP STA behaviour that was introduced by 802.11aq. A non-AP STA can already allocate a new MAC address every time it wishes to (re)associate, so I don't see what is new here. Furthermore, the exisiting behaviour is reinforced by NOTE 3 (P33L35). | Remove clause 12.2.11.2. | REJECT  No it does not repeat 11aq. The non-AP STA provides a new MAC Address (IRM) **in advance.** It is new. |
| 137 | 28 | 43 | IRM currently defines only one MAC address for a STA. The use of authentication MAC addresses could help and relax STA associations with the network. | Please allow IRM to configure multiple addresses for the STA. | **Needs discussion**. IRM can easily allocate more than one MAC address. Address 1 used ONLY for probes, and address 2 used ONLY for associaition.  Does add another level of privacy but increases length of the KDE. |
| 140 | 30 | 21 | Please clarify the random generation procedure of the IRM MAC addresses such that a returning non-AP STA cannot be identified by a third party from the TA it is using. | Indicate how the IRM MAC addresses are generated | Do we need more than at 30.19? And 33.22? Maybe at 32.33 "A non-AP STA should generate the IRM MAC addresses on a random basis", become a "shall"? Could add "random" in a few more places to be very clear.  Either REVISED At 32.47 change "new IRM", to "new random IRM" ?  REJECT  30.19 and 33.22 both refer to random generation. No need to say it again. |
| 148 | 33 | 11 | AP's behavior is unclear a little. "If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address, ..." what is the IRM to be recognized should be described. Is it the IRM used as the TA? | Propose to change "If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address, " to "If the AP recognizes the IRM used as the TA in the received frame(s) from the non-AP STA, ..." | REVISED  The previous sentence makes it clear where the IRM is, i.e., in the IRM KDE in msg 3 of 4-way HS.  At 33.11 Change “If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address” to “If the AP recognizes the IRM in the IRM KDE,”  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 155 | 18 | 17 | "exchange a device ID" -- is the ID really "exchanged"? | Change to "provide" | ACCEPT |
| 160 | C.3 |  | Can dot11IRMActivated be true if dot11DeviceIDActivated or dot11FILSwhatever isn't? | Clarify | Yes. IRM , Device ID and FILS are separate. I don’t think at any point thee 2 or three are linked? |
| 164 | 28 | 41 | "The IRM field is a 48 bit MAC address" -- figure already shows it's 48 bits | Delete "48 bit". Also at 34.49. Also delete "48-bit" at 33.20. Change a to an where necessary | ACCEPT |
| 156 | 18 | 18 | "but protects the information from third parties" -- not clear which information this is, or how it is protected. Also not clear what the subject is (device ID? MAC address?) | Clarify | REVISED  Incorporate same text as agreed for CID 240.  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 240 | 18 | 18 | The general description is awkwardly phrased and hard to parse. | Change: "Such a STA, when reconnecting to a network, can exchange a device ID that allows the network to recognize the device and/or use a MAC address that it previously provided to the network, but protects the information from third parties." To: "Such a STA, may provide a device ID when (re)connecting to a network and/or use a MAC address known to the network (an IRM) that to allows the network to recognize the device, while continuing to mitigate the abilities of third parties to do traffic analysis." | See also CID 156 Inclined to  ACCEPT  But probably needs word-smithing  (see edits at end of this document) |
| 196 | 33 | 8 | "When a non-AP STA that advertises support for IRM associates to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake or, when using FILS authentication, including an IRM element in the Association Response frame. " -- already stated a few lines above | Delete | ACCEPT |
| 198 | 33 | 12 | "and the IRM field is reserved." already stated in C9 | Delete. Also at line 14 | REJECT  True it is a repeat, but it does fit here in that it describes the process. |
| 208 | 34 | 64 | "IRM KDE is a KDE containing IRM MAC addresses." -- it can only contain one | Change to "IRM KDE is a KDE containing an IRM." | ACCEPT |
| 214 | 35 | 29 | The IRM KDE can't be mandatory | Change "{IRM KDE}" to "{} or {IRM KDE}" | ACCEPT |

**CIDs 135, 224, 257 -** What if the IRM and Device ID match to different devices?

*Discussion*

*The STA must be using both IRM and Device ID for this to occur. The IRM comes first and STA ends Device ID in msg 2. In msg 3 the AP will send the IRM and the Device ID KDE or element.*

*Questions:*

1. *How real is this? Do we need to accommodate this as a separate event over “not recognized”?*
2. *If AP recognizes the IRM and Device ID but as shared identities for different STAs, then what are the options?*

**Task Group Discussions**

*Presentation 23/1533 discussed “not recognized” and rule that AP provides new device ID and STA effectively sarts again. Full flexibility given to the AP.*

Problem is describing what the mismatch actually is, i.e., the shared identity state that exists with the AP or ESS.

Consensus originally seemed to be to reject the CIDs and not to have a special status code as this needed a definition of a mismatch.

Way ahead proposed. The AP can use ‘not recognized” any time it is in doubt, i.e., is a catch all. We do need to tell (or recommend) the AP and STA, however, what to do. The proposal is simply to “Start Again”.

**PROPOSAL CIDs 135, 224, 257**

**REVISED**

Edit at 31.29

When a non-AP STA receives an AP Identity frame with the Identifier Status equal to “Not Recognized”, it must assume that no shared identity state exists with the AP or ESS (as per the concepts of 12.2.10) and the non-AP STA must (re)establish any desired, shared identity state per the procedures previously described. If an AP sets Device ID element or Device ID KDE with the Device ID status field set to 1 indicating “Not Recognized”, then the AP may also provide, in that same Device ID element or Device ID KDE, a new device ID, thus establishing a new shared identity. An AP may set a Device ID status field to 1 indicating “Not Recognized” for any reason if the AP cannot unequivocally identify the non-AP STA shared identity state.

.

Edit at 33.8

Note to Editor: The changes are based on the revised text as approved for CIDS 2,3,4,5, 149, 197

When a non-AP STA that advertises support for IRM associates to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake or, when using FILS authentication, including an IRM element in the Association Request frame. If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to 0 to indicate that the AP recognizes the IRM and the IRM field is not present. If the AP does not recognize the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to 1 to indicate that AP does not recognize the IRM and the IRM field is not present. The non-AP STA, on receipt of an IRM Status field of value 1, indicating the AP has not recognized the IRM, may either continue to associate to the AP and provide a new IRM in an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake or, when using FILS authentication, including an IRM element in the Association Request frame, thus establishing a new shared identity with the AP, ~~in~~ or disassociate. An AP may set an IRM status field to 1 indicating “Not Recognized” for any reason if the AP cannot unequivocally identify the non-AP STA shared identity state.

**CID 49 (reprinted here to aid word smithing:**

30.8

**ORIGINAL:**

To mitigate tracking and traffic analysis, a non-AP STA may randomly change its MAC address (see 4.5.4.10 (MAC privacy enhancements)). For some services, however, it may be desired by the user that the non-AP STA is identified by an AP and network services.

An AP may provide a device ID to a non-AP STA to allow any AP in the AP’s ESS to recognize the non-AP STA when it returns to that ESS even if the non-AP STA changes its MAC address. The non-AP STA may provide that device ID to any AP in the same ESS upon a new association. Exchanges of the device ID are protected from third parties.

When using IRM, a non-AP STA may provide a random MAC address to an AP either when it associates or when it performs PASN authentication. The non-AP STA may then use that IRM MAC address as its TA when it returns to the ESS or AP such that the non-AP STA may be identified pre-association.

Device ID and IRM may be used together.

**PROPOSED**To mitigate tracking and traffic analysis, a non-AP STA may randomly change its MAC address (see 4.5.4.10 (MAC privacy enhancements)).

This presents a problem for the network in that it is unable to identify a non-AP STA that previously associated and is not able to apply cached information from that previous association to the current association. The two mechanisms defined in 12.2.11 alleviate this problem.  
  
The first mechanism, referred to as ~~the~~ device ID ~~mechanism~~, has the AP provide an identifier to the non-AP STA during association or PASN authentication that the non-AP STA can then report back to the AP during a future association or PASN authentication. The second mechanism, referred to as ~~the~~ IRM ~~mechanism~~, has the non-AP STA provide a random MAC address (different from the address it is using) to the AP during association or PASN authentication and then use that MAC address for the next association or PASN authentication.  
  
The two mechanisms ~~are not mutual exclusive and~~, device ID and IRM, may be used ~~simultaneously~~ concurrently.

As per CID 102

The two mechanisms ~~are not mutual exclusive and~~, device ID and IRM, may be used ~~simultaneously~~ concurrently.

NOTE: Why I prefer ‘concurrently”.

“Concurrent” is about two activities overlapping in duration.

“Simultaneously” refers to things happening at the same instant, that share a connection.

**CID 240, 156**

**18.18**

Change: "Such a STA, when reconnecting to a network, can exchange a device ID that allows the network to recognize the device and/or use a MAC address that it previously provided to the network, but protects the information from third parties."

To: "Such a STA, may provide a device ID when (re)connecting to a network and/or use a MAC address known to the network (an IRM) that to allows the network to recognize the device, while continuing to mitigate the abilities of third parties to do traffic analysis."

Proposal

Such a STA, when reconnecting to a network, can exchange a device ID that allows the network to recognize the device and/or use a MAC address (IRM) that it previously provided to the network, ~~but protects the information from third parties~~ while continuing to mitigate the abilities of third parties to do traffic analysis.

CIDS 2,3,4,5, 149, 197

33.8

When a non-AP STA that advertises support for IRM associates to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake or, when using FILS authentication, including an IRM element in the Association Response frame. If the AP recognizes the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to ~~1~~ 0 to indicate that the AP recognizes the IRM and ~~the IRM field is reserved~~ the IRM field is not present. If the AP does not recognize the IRM MAC address, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to ~~0~~ 1 to indicate that AP does not recognize the IRM and ~~the IRM field is reserved~~ the IRM field is not present. The non-AP STA, on receipt of an IRM Status field of value 1, indicating the AP has not recognized the IRM, may either continue to associate to the AP or disassociate.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 6, 37, 207

Make edits as shown.

34.26

The IRM Status ~~field~~ and IRM fields ~~indicates the current status of the IRM.~~are as defined in 9.4.2.307b (IRM element).

~~When sent from a non-AP STA to an AP, the IRM Status field is reserved.~~

~~When sent from an AP to a non-AP STA, the IRM Status field contains one of the following values as~~

~~defined in Table 12-11a (IRM Status field values).~~

***Editor - Also delete table 12-11a and text to line 52***

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 148

33.11

If the AP recognizes the IRM ~~MAC address~~ in the IRM KDE, the IRM Status field of the IRM KDE or IRM element is set to …

DISCUSSION ON ALLOCATE< SHARE< INDICATE etc.

(Note: “IRM MAC address” has been changed to “IRM”

**My recommendation** – Stick to “provides”, seems pretty clear. “Allocates” is probably wrong as the identity is for the STA, and “shares” is not much better.

CID 57 32.47 REJECT (keep original)

Each time the non-AP STA associates with an AP/ESS, it provides a new IRM to the AP/ESS during the RSN association.

“Each time the non-AP STA associates with an AP/ESS, it shares the value of a new IRM to the AP/ESS during the RSN association”

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 58 32.54 REVISE

“When associating to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the non-AP STA may allocate a new IRM to the AP”

“When associating to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the non-AP STA may indicate to the AP the value of a new IRM.”

(CID 193) When associating to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the non-AP STA may allocate a new IRM for use with the AP

**Proposed**

“When associating to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the non-AP STA may provide a new IRM to the AP”

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_CID 59 32.57

When using PASN, the non-AP STA may allocate a new IRM to the AP by including the IRM element

When using PASN, the non-AP STA may indicate to the AP the value of a new IRM by including the IRM element

**Proposed**

When using PASN, the non-AP STA may provide a new IRM to the AP by including the IRM element

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 60 33.26

When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP that was allocated that address,

When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP with which that IRM was previously shared,

(CID 193) When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA for use with the AP,

**Proposed**

When a non-AP STA sends an Authentication Request using an IRM as the TA to the AP that was provided that address,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 61 33.29

an AP or ESS that was allocated that address, such that the AP may identify the non-AP STA

an AP or ESS with which that IRM was previously shared, such that the AP may identify the non-AP STA

**Proposed**

an AP or ESS that was provided that address, such that the AP may identify the non-AP STA

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CID 62 33.31

non-AP STA that has allocated an IRM to an AP/ESS, may use that address

non-AP STA that has previously shared an IRM with an AP/ESS, may use that address

**Proposed**

non-AP STA that has provided an IRM to an AP/ESS, may use that address

CID 67 - Should be “ifs” statements

**Presently**

When a non-AP STA that advertises support for IRM associates to an AP that advertises support for IRM, the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake or, when using FILS authentication,

including an IRM element in the Association Response frame.

**Proposed**

If a non-AP STA indicates support for the IRM mechanism in a (Re-)Association Request frame and the AP indicates support for the IRM mechanism in the corresponding (Re-)Association Response frame, then the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake, or, if using FILS authentication, in the Association Response frame

**REVISED**

If a non-AP STA indicates support for the IRM mechanism in a (Re-)Association Request frame and the AP indicates support for the IRM mechanism in the corresponding (Re-)Association Response frame, then the AP shall include an IRM KDE in message 3 of the 4-way handshake, or, if using FILS authentication, shall include an IRM element in the Association Response frame.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

CID 65

NOTE 1—Allocating a new IRM MAC during each association or PASN preassociation ensures that the non-AP STA hence that non-AP STA is unidentifiable to a third party

will use a different TA for the next association or PASN preassociation, and

Replace the note with a normative statement:

“Because the MAC address is exposed to third parties during and post association, the non-AP STA should generate a new MAC address either periodically or with each association”

CID 28 deleted this Note and edited NOTE 3

**REVISED**~~NOTE 1—Allocating a new IRM MAC during each association or PASN preassociation ensures that the non-AP STA will use a different TA for the next association or PASN preassociation, and hence that non-AP STA is unidentifiable to a third party.~~

…/…

NOTE ~~2~~1 -

…/…

NOTE ~~3~~2—In State 1 and State 2, the IRM MAC address is recommended to be used only in authentication and (re)association frames, respectively. To ensure good STA privacy, a non-AP STA is recommended to change its IRM MAC Address in ~~every 4-way handshake~~ each association or PASN preassociation.

Hence, it is proposed that CID 65 is

REVISED

Incorporate the changes in 11 23/1280r1