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##### This submission present proposed resolutions for the following 9 ED2 CIDs:

4177, 4317, 4145, 4149, 4079, 4148, 4033, 4338, 4160

##### The proposed changes are based on REVme/D3.0.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – Initial version

##### R1 – Update the proposed resolution of CID 4160

R2 -

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4177 |  |  |  | "<blah>" column' -- hadn't we agreed a while ago not to have quotes for column names? | Delete the spurious " column"s |

***Discussion:***

None.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4177:***

**REVIS**ED. Delete the quotes around the column names.

Note to the editors – There are 38 appearances in D3.0 as shown below for your convenience:

231.24, 391.5, 867.57, 867.61, 868.1, 868.52, 1057.29, 1057.32, 1892.2, 1892.26,

2152.32, 2374.12, 2376.1, 2376.3, 2543.18, 2543.44, 2736.48, 2789.6, 3326.4, 3430.6,

3498.46, 3702.21, 3819.14, 3946.58, 4012.42, 4012.48, 4012.49, 4069.45, 4095.11, 4101.2,

4135.62, 4136.24, 4196.14, 4261.28, 4548.23, 4589.48, 4589.55, 5553.61.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4317 |  |  |  | Is it "numeric" or "numerical"? | Pick one and change the others to it |

***Discussion:***

From “Quora”:

* *The word numeric generally refers to or has to do with numbers.*
* *The word numerical generally indicates a usage of numbers.*
* *But this particular pair can, it seems, be used interchangeably, as discovered by consulting several dictionaries. It also seems that numeric is more commonly used among American speakers while numerical is more common among British speakers.*

***Proposed resolution for CID 4317:***

Revised.

Change “numerical” to “numeric” at the following 12 locations in D3.0:

189.19, 1086.13, 1091.17, 2376.56, 3186.10, 3831.31, 3831.37, 3831.42, 3831.47, 3831.51,

5154.23, 5401.7.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4145 |  |  |  | "the L-SIG" when not followed by "field", "parity", "length" or a list of fields followed by "field" should be "the L-SIG field" (~x30) | As it says in the comment [I can provide locations if needed; confirm direction] |

***Discussion:***

None.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4145:***

Accepted.

Note to the editors – There are 18 appearances in D3.0 as shown below for your convenience:

3233.44, 3233.58, 3258.45, 3261.3 (twice), 3261.28, 3261.32, 3261.41, 3264.55, 3265.43,

3391.36, 3521.40, 4587.32, 4587.38, 4591.61, 4597.28, 4599.49, 4621.51.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4149 |  | 127 | 48 | For some reason Figure 5-1 is not a hyperlink | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

For unknown reasons, the text “Figure 5-1” is a hyperlink and the remaining texts are not.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4149:***

Revised.

Editors to update the figure caption of Figure 5.1 in the clause 5 framemaker source and make sure the figure is shown as a hyperlink in the list of figures.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4079 |  | 116 | 59 | The font size of the page number "3610" is small. | Please use the normal font size. |

***Discussion:***

As referred to 116.59:



The commenter is correct that the font size of the page number “3610” was impacted.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4079:***

Accepted.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4148 |  |  |  | "megabits per second" should be just "Mb/s". Ditto kilobits and bits | As it says in the comment [I can provide locations if needed; confirm direction] |

***Discussion:***

None.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4148:***

Accepted.

Note to the editors – There are 8 appearances of “megabits per second” in D3.0 as shown below for your convenience:

533.20, 1837.35, 1837.47, 1861.56, 1862.27, 1873.33, 3233.49, 3996.52.

Note to the editors – There is an appearance of “kilobits per second” in D3.0 as shown below for your convenience:

1257.31.

Note to the editors – There are two appearances of “bits per second” in D3.0 as shown below for your convenience:

518.37, 518.45.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4033 | 28.3.4 | 4295 | 52 | An EDMG STA can use more than one channel if multiband support is enabled. | Delete 'one'. |

***Discussion:***

As referred to 4295.52, it said:



The sentence “An EDMG STA can use any one of the channels shown in Figure 28-7 (Channelization used by EDMG STAs(11ay))” can be confusing because both Figure 28-7, Table 28-21, Table 28-22, and Table 28-23 suggest that an EDMA STA can use more than one channel if permits.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4033:***

Revised.

Modify the sentence as “An EDMG STA can use any of the channels shown in Figure 28-7 (Channelization used by EDMG STAs(11ay))” at 4295.52.

Replace “an EDMG STAs” with “an EDMG STA” at 4295.49.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4338 |  |  |  | Changes made under CID 3233 to clarify the OWE bullet need to be made for the other bullets too | As it says in the comment |

***Discussion:***

The approved resolution of CID 3233 was prepared based on REVme D2.0. Without providing sufficient details on the changes required in REVme D3.0, it is unclear which “other bullets” the commenter referred to.

***Proposed resolution for CID 4338:***

Rejected

The comment fails to identify changes in sufficient detail so that the specific wording of the changes that will satisfy the commenter can be determined.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 4160 |  |  |  | We need to reach consensus on whether hyphenated terms in uppercase context have all initial letters capitalised or only the very first one | As it says in the comment [big item] |

***Discussion:***

From the WG Editor’s personal comments:

*We have a general rule that we don’t capitalize terms. So I presume you are talking about names (which we do capitalize). Do you mean things like No-Fragmentation field vs No-fragmentation field*

*My personal opinion is that we should capitalize all words in a name, even the word after the hyphen (e.g., Flim-Flam field). And further should capitalize conjunctions and prepositions in the name as well (e.g., Flim After Flam field, Flim With Flam field, Flim And Flam field, etc.)*

***Proposed resolution for CID 4160:***

Rejected

The comment fails to identify changes in sufficient detail so that the specific wording of the changes that will satisfy the commenter can be determined.