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Abstract

This document resolves comments in LB272 with CIDs 1966, 1068, 1969, 1970

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1966 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 167.56 | If the timeouts are recommended values (which seems more appropriate than completely optional) then use the verb "should" | Change to "A sensing STA should used the timeouts defined in Table 11-29a." (In another comment I suggested we adopt the term "sensing STA" for the generic sensing participant. If we don't do this substitute the appropriate generic term) |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1068 | Claudio da Silva | 11.55.1.1 | 167.56 | To allow for interoperability, the values defined in Table 11-29a \*shall\* (as opposed to \*may\*) be used. | Replace sentence with "In a WLAN sensing procedure, the timeout values defined in Table 11-29a shall be used." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion**: The timeout values are recommended values, so we should use “should” instead of “may” as suggested by CID 1966.

**Modification**: Modification applied to CID 1966 would take care of resolving this CID.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1969 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 168.16 | The normative requirement for each of these timeout values has already been established with the statement at 167.56 so the descriptions in the table should be exactly that; just a description of what the timeout value represents. | Change to "For an unassociated non-AP STA, the minimum time between the reception of a Sensing Measurement Setup Request frame with Comeback subfield equal to 1and the transmission of the corresponding Sensing Measurement Setup Query frame." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1970 | Robert Stacey | 11.55.1.1 | 168.25 | The normative requirement for each of these timeout values has already been established with the statement at 167.56 so the descriptions in the table should be exactly that; just a description of what the timeout value represents. | Change to "For an unassocaited non-AP STA, the maximum time between the receiption of a Sensing Measurement Request frame with the Sensing Comback Info field equal to 1 and the sending of the correspondiong Sensing Measurement Setup Query frame." |

**Proposed Resolution:** Accept

**References:**

1. Draft P802.11bf\_D1.0

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank the *OST* TTT members for their feedback in resolving these CIDs.

**SP:**

Do you support the resolution to CIDs 1966, 1068, 1969, 1970 proposed in 11-23/0719r1 and incorporate the changes into TGbf draft D1.0

Y/N/A