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Abstract

This document resolves comments in LB272 with CIDs 1165, 1343, 1497, 1499, 1500, 1679, 1968.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1165 | Claudio da Silva | 11.55.1.1 | 168.01 | "Sensing" is too broad. Suggest using "WLAN sensing procedure timeout values". (Defined values are onlya pplicable to the WLAN sensing procedure, and not, for example, the SBP procedure or the DMG sensing procedure.) | As suggested. |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion:** Agree with the commentor. We change the caption of Table 11-29a as shown below.

**Modifications:** Tgbf Editor please modify the caption of Table 11-29a as shown below.

* **WLAN ~~S~~sensing procedure timeout values**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1343 | Osama Aboulmagd | 11.55.1.1 | 167.15 | I am not sure how sensing session setup is done. As far as I know there is no sensing session setup frame, unless I have missed it. | Please specify clearly the mechanism by which sensing session setup is achieved |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion:** Sensing session has been removed from the draft as per document 11-23/0477r3. So, this comment is not relevant anymore.

**Modifications:** No modification required.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1497 | Albert Petrick | 11.55.1.1 | 168.12 | Clarify unassociated STA | Change "STA" to "non-AP STA" |

**Proposed Resolution:** Reject

**Discussion:** There is nothing like an unassociated AP. So “unassociated STA” is unambiguous and hence, no need to say “unassociated non-AP STA”.

**Modifications:** No modification required.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1499 | Albert Petrick | 11.55.1.1 | 168.11 | Spell out units in the Value column the units are blank. It's unclear if it is 100 usec or 100 seconds. | As commented |
| 1500 | Albert Petrick | 11.55.1.1 | 168.11 | Table 11-29a What is the timeout value duration of 100 based on? Add Note clarifying why the timeout value is 100. | As commented |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion:** This timeout value is no more needed and has been removed from Table 11-29a after sensing session is removed from the draft (see document 11-23/0477r3). Hence, these comments are not relevant anymore.

**Modifications:** No modification needed

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commentor** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** |
| 1679 | James Yee | 11.55.1.1 | 168.13 | In Table 11-29a, the reference to "the corresponding sensing session expiry timer" is unclear, since the timer being defined here is the "Unassociated STA SensingSession Timeout value". | Please do clarify what this session expiry timer is. |
| 1968 | Robert Stacey | 11.5.1.1 | 168.10 | The normative requirement for each of these timeout values has already been established with the statement at 167.56 so the descriptions in the table should be exactly that; just a description of what the timeout value represents. In this case, the desciption appears to be recursive -- describes the session timeout as the expiry timeout has expired. Define in terms of of somethat that has or has not happened | Change the description to "The time after which the sensing session between an unasociated STA and an AP is terminate if <something has not happened>" |

**Proposed Resolution:** Revise

**Discussion:** The row in Table 11-29a corresponding to these two comments has been removed after sensing session is removed from the draft (see document 11-23/0477r3). Hence, these comments are not relevant anymore.

**Modifications:** No modification needed.
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**SP:**

Do you support the resolution to CIDs 1165, 1343, 1497, 1499, 1500, 1679, 1968 proposed in 11-23/0674r0 and incorporate the changes into the latest TGbf

Y/N/A