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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following 10 CIDs received for TGbe LB271:

18189 16081 16755 16236 16237 16756 18269 15009 16758 18270

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

***TGbe editor: Baseline for this document is 11be D3.1***

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e., they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause** | **Pg.Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| s | Gaurav Patwardhan | 35.3.3.1 | 481.21 | "multi-link probe response or not" is not very definitive. Reword to say "probe response or multi-link probe response". | As in comment | **Revised**  Most instances in the draft refer to the non-ML probe response. Therefore, the NOTE is updated to remove ‘or not’. In other words, the NOTE clarifies that the behavior described in the previous paragraphs apply to non-ML and ML probe response.  **TGbe editor, please update NOTE 1 as:** “NOTE 1—The Probe Response frame referred in the above paragraphs can be a multi-link probe response.” |
| 16081 | Insun Jang | 35.3.3.1 | 482.01 | To understand clearly in NOTE 5, "a requested link" wouldn't include the link where the (Re)Association Request frame is transmiteed (The corresponding link is also one of requested links) | As in the comment, the corresponding link should be excepted as "a requested link" in terms of Link Info | **Revised**  Agree with the comment. NOTE 5 is updated to clarify that the requested non-AP STA is other than the one where the Request frame is sent.  **TGbe editor, please update NOTE 5 as: “**NOTE 5—A non-AP STA affiliated with a non-AP MLD … and includes Link Info field containing complete profile(s) of the non-AP STA(s), other than the non-AP STA that is operating on the link where the frame is transmitted, that are operating on the requested link(s) ....” |
| 16755 | Mark RISON | 35.3.3.2 | 482.23 | "A link ID is a numeric value that corresponds to a tuple consisting of Operating Class, Operating Channel, and BSSID of the AP affiliated with the AP MLD. Each AP affiliated with an AP MLD has a link ID that is smaller than 15." -- I'm guessing it has to be an integer and can't be negative | Change to "A link ID is a integer value between 0 and 14 that corresponds to a tuple consisting of Operating Class, Operating Channel, and BSSID of the AP affiliated with the AP MLD. Each AP affiliated with an AP MLD has a link ID." | **Accepted** |
| 16236 | Stephen McCann | 35.3.3.2 | 482.24 | The Link ID should not be restricted to 15. There is no justification within the standard for this. It is possible for enterprise deployments to have MLDs with more than 15 links. | Change the initial sentence of the clause to: "A link ID is a numeric value that corresponds to a tuple consisting of Operating Class, Operating Channel, and BSSID of the AP affiliated with the AP MLD. Each AP affiliated with an AP MLD has a link ID." | **Rejected**  The Link ID subfield is 4 bits long and can represent 16 values 0 thru 15. The value 15 (all 1s) has special meaning (see 9.4.2.170.2). Therefore, an AP MLD can only assign values less than 15 to its affiliated APs. |
| 16237 | Stephen McCann | 35.3.3.2 | 482.31 | The Link ID should not be restricted to 15. There is no justification within the standard for this. The note needs to be updated. | Change NOTE 1 as follows: "NOTE 1--The Link ID subfield in Reduced Neighbour Report element is set to 15 to indicate an AP that is not affiliated with an AP MLD or if the reporting AP does not have information of the reported AP (see 9.4.2.170.2 (Neighbour AP Information field)). Therefore, an AP MLD does not assign link ID value 15 to any of its affiliated AP." | **Revised**  The proposed change doesn’t seem to be making any change to the existing NOTE. Regarding the comment, please see resolution for CID 16236. The NOTE is simplified as a resolution to this comment.  TGbe editor, please update NOTE 1 as:  “NOTE 1—An AP MLD does not assign link ID value 15 to any of its affiliated APs since the value 15 is used in the Link ID subfield of Reduced Neighbor Report element to identify a reported AP that is not affiliated with an AP MLD or whose information is not known .” |
| 16756 | Mark RISON | 35.3.3.2 | 482.33 | "Therefore, an AP MLD does not assign link ID value 15 to any of its affiliated AP." I'm not sure we need to justify this (and it should be "affiliated APs") | Delete | **Revised**  Agree with the comment. The NOTE was updated as a resolution for CID 16237 and the issue pointed by the comment has been addressed in the revised text. |
| 18269 | Xiaofei Wang | 35.3.3.2 | 482.31 | The sentence "A link ID is a numeric value that corresponds to a tuple consisting of Operating Class, Operating Channel, and BSSID of the AP affiliated with the AP MLD." conflicts with Note 2 on Page 482, since Link ID will no longer correspond with the Operating Channel after channel switch. Please reconcile the two. | as in comment | **Rejected**  The NOTE is included in the draft to clarify that the Link ID does not change even if the AP changes it’s BSS’s Op Class and/or Channel. As explained by the NOTE, the Link ID is associated with the AP’s BSS which continues to be operational when the channel/op class change happens. Therefore, there is conflict with the note and normative text in the paragraph. |
| 15009 | Jay Yang | 35.3.3.2 | 482.36 | need add some clarification whether the link ID can be changed via ML recofiguration? e.g. one link is removed, and add back, the link ID may be changed. | as the comments | **Revised**  A NOTE is added to clarify that an AP MLD must assign lowest available link ID when an affiliated AP is added. The NOTE also recommends that an AP MLD assigns lowest available link ID values to reducing signaling overhead.  **TGbe editor, please add the following NOTE at the end of this subclause:** “To have low overhead in Management frames that carry link ID (or a corresponding bitmap), it is recommended that an AP MLD assigns, to an affiliated AP, the lowest available link ID value. This includes the case when an affiliated AP is removed and added back at a later time by following the procedures described in 35.3.6 (Multi-Link reconfiguration)). In such case, the link ID value assigned after addition could be different from the value that was previously assigned before removal.” |
| 16758 | Mark RISON | 35.3.3.2 | 482.38 | "In addition, the link ID for an AP affiliated with an AP MLD is the same across all non-AP MLDs." is unclear. The link ID is a property of the AP, and non-AP MLDs just have to use it | Delete | **Revised**  Agree with the comment. The suggested sentence is deleted. However, NOTE 3 is updated to clarify that a non-AP MLD identifies each affiliated AP and the associated link via its assigned Link ID value.  **TGbe editor, please delete the sentence starting** “In addition, the link ID …” **from NOTE 2 and update NOTE 3 as follows:** “NOTE 3—A non-AP MLD obtains the Link ID assigned to an affiliated AP (and the link that the AP is operating on) during discovery and uses this value for all multi-link operations that involves this affiliated AP.” |
| 18270 | Xiaofei Wang | 35.3.3.2 | 482.39 | It is not clear what the sentence "the link ID for an AP affiliated with an AP MLD is the same across all non-AP MLDs." means, please clarify | as in comment | Revised  Same resolution as CID 16758 |