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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following 3 comments received for TGbe LB266:

* 1 CIDs:~~13665, 14090,~~ 11024

SP: Do you agree to the resolutions provided in doc 11-22/2181r1 for the following CIDs for inclusion in the latest 11be draft?

~~13665, 14090,~~ 11024

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1: Removed CIDs 13665 mand 14090

***TGbe editor: Please note Baseline is 11be D2.3***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13665 | Rubayet Shafin | 468.25 | It is not clear from the spec how broadcast TWT or restricted TWT schedule can operate on the non-primary link of NSTR mobile AP MLD. | Please provide text illustrating the procedure for r-TWT/bTWT operation on the non-primary link. | **The comment is withdrawn.** |
| 14090 | Rubayet Shafin | 468.25 | NDP Paging procedure is for a STA affiliated with a non-AP MLD and associated with an NSTR Mobile AP MLD needs to be clarified in the spec | As in comment | **The comment is withdrawn.** |
| 11024 | Hanqing Lou | 512.03 | The AP behavior is missing if a non-AP STA request to establish memebership when the rTWT Schedule Full subfield set to 1. Note, in current text, we had "A STA should not request to establish membership in an rTWT schedule ... with the Restricted TWT Schedule Full subfield set to 1" which is a recommendation but not a requirement. Thus a STA may still request even if the Restricted TWT Schedule Full sets to 1. | Please clarify | **Revised.**  If the R-TWT scheduling AP receives a request from a non-AP STA to join an R-TWT schedule that has been advertised to be a full schedule, the R-TWT scheduling AP can reject the request. This is possible using existing specification on broadcast TWT operation. To clarify this, a note has been added.  **TGbe editor, please make change as shown in this doc 11-22/2181r1 tagged by #11024.** |

***TGbe editor: please add the note below after the last paragraph in clause 35.8.3:***

A non-AP STA should not request to establish membership in an R-TWT schedule advertised by the R-TWT scheduling AP with the Restricted TWT Schedule subfield set to 2.

Note: The R-TWT Scheduling AP that receives a request from a non-AP STA to establish membership in an R-TWT schedule advertised by the AP with Restricted TWT Schedule Info subfield set to 2 might reject the request (**#11024**).