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 Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following CID received for TGbe LB266:

* 13526.

**Revisions:**

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1: Header changes to align document number.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e., they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 13526 | Mark Hamilton |  | 0.00 | General statement: It is very confusing to review the status of comments (submitted on the previous CC, for example), when the Resolution is not based on the previous draft, but rather on some intermediate draft, for example with a comment that it was already fixed. Resolutions to comments on a CC or a LB should describe what has been changed (all the changes) since the draft that was balloted, as a standalone description. (If/as helpful, such references can be made in a Note to the Editor, to help with their processing. But, it does not change the Resolution that a change was made or not, since the balloted baseline.) | Please state Resolutions on this LB as based on D2.0, and all changes/dicussion since D2.0. | Rejected—The comment fails to identify a specific issue to be addressed. It fails to identify changes in sufficient detail so that the specific wording of the changes that will satisfy the commenter can be determined. In reply to the commenter, the task group has been working on comment resolutions for LB266 keeping in mind that the reference draft is D2.0. |