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Abstract

This document contains the meeting minutes for the TGbe MAC ad hoc teleconferences held in September 2022 and November 2021.

Revisions:

* Rev0: Added the minutes from the telephone conferences held on Sept 26,

**Wednesday 26 January 2021, 07:00pm – 09:00pm ET (TGbe MAC ad hoc conference call)**

Chairman: Jeongki Kim (Ofinno)

Secretary: Liwen Chu (NXP)

This meeting took place using a webex session.

**Introduction**

1. The Chair (Jeongki, Ofinno) calls the meeting to order at 07:02pm EDT. The Chair introduces himself and the Secretary, Liwen (NXP)
2. The Chair goes through the 802 and 802.11 IPR policy and procedures and asks if there is anyone that is aware of any potentially essential patents.
	1. Nobody responds.
3. The Chair goes through the IEEE copyright policy.
4. The Chair recommends using IMAT for recording the attendance.
	* Please record your attendance during the conference call by using the IMAT system:
		1. 1) login to [imat](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance), 2) select “802.11 Telecons (<Month>)” entry, 3) select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry, 4) click “TGbe <MAC/PHY/Joint> conference call that you are attending.
	* If you are unable to record the attendance via [IMAT](https://imat.ieee.org/attendance) then please send an e-mail to Liwen Chu (liwen.chu@nxp.com) and Jeongki Kim (jeongki.kim.ieee@gmail.com)
5. The agenda is approved without change.

**Recorded attendance through Imat and e-mail:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Breakout | Timestamp | Name | Affiliation |

 **Submissions**

1. [1586r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1586-00-00be-lb266-resolution-for-comments-related-to-nstr-emlsr-handling-with-tdls.docx) Res. related to NSTR-EMLSR handl. with TDLS Abhishek Patil [14C 25’]

Discussion:

C: when an AP of AP MLD is talking with a STA of non-AP MLD, is there any reason to disallow another link’s TDLS frame exchange?

A: no. It is better to use TWT.

C: Does it have to be shall from STA side?

A: Prefer to be shall requirement.

C: the propsoed method doesn’t work with EMLSR.

A: will do offline discussion.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/1586r0 for the following CIDs?

10058 13081 ~~10059~~ ~~11656~~ 10060 11657 13083 13083 10061 13084 10367 11158 10660 13635

SP deferred

1. [1645r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1645-00-00be-be-d2-0-comment-resolution-subclause-35-15-3-35-15-4-35-15-5-35-15-6.docx) CR subclause 35.15.3 35.15.4 35.15.5 35.15.6 Liwen Chu [14C 25’]

Discussion:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/1645r1 for the following CIDs?

11936, 10821, 10822, 11279, 11006, 11007, 11280, 11008, 11963, 11009,

11153, 11937, 11010, 11012

No Objection

1. [1582r2](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1582-00-00be-resolution-of-addressing-related-cids-in-clause-35-17-lb-266.docx) Res. of Addressing-Related CIDs in Clause 35.17John Wullert [11C 15’]

Discussion:

C: another pending CID is related to the last CID, please defer it.

A: ok.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/1582r2 for the following CIDs?

10510, 10379, 10475, 10262, 10380, 10476, 11803, 10264, 10181, 11825~~, 10473~~

No Objection

1. [1477r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1477-00-00be-lb266-cr-for-clause-9-and-10.docx) CR for Clause 9 and 10 Gaurang Naik [10C 15’]

Discussion:

C: last CID needs more thinking. The context will give the correct information although link ID and similar name are used in the spec.

A: Ok.

C: prefer to keep it.

C: MLO will be used for TDLS. AP ID is not suitable.

A: will defer the CID.

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/1477r1r2 for the following CIDs?

12958, 11123, 10842, 10708, 13126, 13127, 11987, 10844~~, 12940~~

No Objection

1. [1500r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1500-00-00be-11be-d2-0-comment-resolution-10-12.docx) D2.0 comment resolution subclause 10.12 Liwen Chu [7C 15’]

Discussion:

SP: Do you support to accept the resolution in 11-22/1500r1 for the following CIDs?

~~11852, 13453,~~ 13715, 13124, 12977, 13125, 14102

No Objection

1. [1373r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1373-00-00be-lb266-cr-for-cid-11700.docx) CR for CID 11700 Abdel K. Ajami [1C 10']

Discussion:

C: the definition of extended target wake time is not clear. ”shall” in the first paragraph should be ”may”.

A: will do offline checking.

C: it better to define a new R-TWT element.

A: since R-TWT is one type of broadcast TWT, it is easier to define this way.

SP deferred

1. [1454r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1454-00-00be-lb266-cr-for-cid-10674.docx) LB266 CR for CID 10674 Abdel K. Ajami [1C 10']

Discussion:

C: similar question. How is it measured? Can STA decide which type of report to transmit?

A: STA will decide.

The chair mentioned that several persions are still in the queue and need to do offline discusion.

SP deferred

There is no response to chair’s request for other business. The meeting is adjorned at 08:59pm.