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Abstract

This document contains the minutes for the UHR SG September 2022 Meeting Minutes.

Revision history:

* Rev0: initial version.

Abbreviations:

# 1st Call: Sep 13 EVE (19:30–21:30 Hawaii Time)

1. The Chair, Laurent Cariou (Intel), calls the meeting to order at 19:30 Hawaii Time. The Chair notifies the attendees that the agenda is in 11-22-[1295r3](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1295-03-0uhr-uhr-sg-september-2022-meeting-agenda.pptx).
   1. Note that this is a hybrid meeting, with some participants in person and some participating online through a webex session
   2. Need to pay the registration fee to attend
   3. Ross Jian Yu (Huawei) is serving as acting secretary in the absence of a permanent secretary
2. IEEE-SA Policies and Procedure

The chair reviews the IEEE-SA Patent Policy:

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair. Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents. **Nobody speaks/writes up**.

1. The chair goes through other guidelines for IEEE WG meetings, Patent-related information, Participation in IEEE 802 Meetings, and Copyright. The Chair asks that it be minuted that the **Copyright Policy** was presented.
2. Chair provides an attendance reminder:

3.1. Please record your attendance during the session by using the IMAT system:

* + 1. login to imat
    2. select “802 Wireless Interim Session - Mixed mode - Sept 2022”
    3. select “C/LM/WG802.11 Attendance” entry
    4. click “UHR SG session that you are attending
  1. If you are unable to record your attendance contact the Chair Laurent Cariou for assistance

1. Agenda:
   1. Chair reviews proposed agenda in 11-22-[1295r3](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1295-03-0uhr-uhr-sg-september-2022-meeting-agenda.pptx)
      1. More than 20 contributions in the queue, 25 minutes per submission including Q&A
      2. The chair groups submissions on similar topic together
   2. Discussion:
      1. C: Please defer 1038r0,
      2. 1038r0 is deferred. The chair adds 1512r0 into the queue.
   3. Agenda approved with unanimous consent.
2. Announcements:
   1. None
3. Submissions for Technical M-AP category:
   1. [11-22-1515r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1515-00-0uhr-a-candidate-feature-multi-ap.pptx) A candidate feature: Multi-AP
      1. Jinyoung Chun (LG) presented the material

* C: In 11be, we already have some agreement. We can consider those in this generation.
* C: slide 8, these wirelss sharing, they do consume some time. If we can do this using wire, we can achieve a lot of gain.
* A: need to consider more about that.
* C: slide 11, we don’t need a new PPDU format. In 11be, we introduce A-PPDU. We can use it for C-OFDMA.
* A: you are right. If the minimum unit is 80MHz, then we don’t need new PPDU format. But it is not decided yet.
* C: slide 4, are you assuming the shared AP and sharing AP are operating in the same channel, same bandwidth?
* A: yes, we can assume the same channel. But we can split the channel.
* C: did you check if current mesh-AP does that? That’s a good thing to check.
* A: I will check.
  1. [11-22-1567r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1567-00-0uhr-c-ofdma-throughput-analysis-in-various-mesh-backhaul-scenarios.pptx) C-OFDMA throughput analysis in various mesh backhaul scenarios
     1. Sigurd Schelstraete (MaxLinear) presented the material
* C: slide 12, inefficiency only exists when the network is heavily loaded. A hybrid approach would be good.
* A: That’s interesting suggestion. If the traffic is low, all things go through. We are trying to see maximum throughput of the extreme case.
* C: for off channel wireless backhaul, does it mean the backhaul and fronthual are in different channel?
* A: yes.
* C: it is like multi-link.
* A: it is not. The different radios are independent. They are mutli-band, rather than mutli-link.
* C: slide 14, I want to echo, we should try to use wired.
* A: I agree with that.
* C: slide 13, the gain is primarily coming from multi-AP SU and multi-AP C-OFDMA. Is the gain coming from the reduction of collision?
* A: Slide 18 has the details.
* C: I think wired backhaul for multi-AP is already used. For the control channel, are you assuming they are over the air?
* A: yes. Even for wire, the protocol exchange is over wireless.
  1. [11-22-1516r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1516-00-0uhr-considerations-on-multi-ap-coordination.pptx) Considerations on Multi-AP Coordination
     1. Yusuke Tanaka (Sony Group Corporation) presented the material
* C: which mtuli-AP techs are helping reliability?
* A: all cooridination tech can enable the collision avoidance. The relaiblity can be improved.
* C: I notice you want to bring back some of the discussion previuously. The wired backhaul cases are not considered before. Do we want to limit ourselves to the original discussion?
* A: the network structure should be discussed.
* C: slide for the summary, you are saying we can resue the concept in SFD of 11be, that would be helpful for fast progress. Generally I like your idea. We already have some high level concept. But one thing is that we design those in the very early stage of 11be. Now a lot of PHY and MAC changes have been made in 11be, like multi-link. My recommendation we can better think about it.
* A: there is some modification, we should reexamine it.
* C: my comment is similar as the previous commenter. Are you thinking like taking each item and run SPs again?
* A: I have no idea for now for the procedures.
  1. [11-22-1512r0](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-1512-00-0uhr-multi-ap-coordination-for-uhr.pptx) Multi-AP Coordination for UHR
     1. James Yee (MediaTek) presented the material
* C: interesting to talk about MLD for multi-AP coordination. Need to define some signals between interfaces of high MAC and low MAC.
* C: need to have more discussion regarding security.
* C: we believe home mesh are important. Enterprise multi-AP are important too. Regarding the models, could be MLD, and could be separate radios.
* A: we don’t intend to exclude other models.
* C: in 11be, one AP controls distributed APs, which model do you assume?
* A: it is closer to model 1. The agreement in TGbe may be outdated, we should examine them.
* C: for second model, what is being coordinated? Are we assuming C-OFDMA?
* A: could be coordinated spatial reuse.
* C: Model 1, are these AP MLD single link?
* A: we assume they will be multi-band.
* C: let us think how we can scale to large number.
* C: model 3, what level of coordination do you envision? There could be something that is already done.
* A: one new type of information is unsolicited status/resource utilization, reporting to the AP.
* C: like extending some of the status report?
* A: yes
* C: model 1, the idea of central upper MAC for controlling several lower MAC. IThe time cannot be guarantted. It would be necessary to study the time requirement.
* A: have’t looked that part closely. Additional protocol can be defiend.
* C: I also have similar question on model 3. I am hesitiating on the use case. I don’t know what the client is coordinating here.
* A: C-SR, STAs can report interfenrece. Give AP some information, how to reduce the Tx power, shrink the BSSs.
* C: for model 1, you still need those info. Do you mean in model 3, client will make the decision?
* A: the client only provides information. The client doesn’t decide the coordination.
* C: I agree on that part.
  + 1. The SP in the slides is deferred.

1. Recess at 21:30 Hawaii Time