IEEE P802.11  
Wireless LANs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LB266 CR for 3.2 Definitions specific to IEEE 802.11 | | | | |
| Date: 2022-08-08 | | | | |
| Author(s): | | | | |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | email |
| Yousi Lin | Huawei Technologies Co.,Ltd. | H3, Huawei Base, Bantian, Longgang, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, 518129 |  | linyousi@huawei.com |
| Yunbo Li |  |  |  |
| Ming Gan |  |  |  |

Abstract

This submission proposes a resolution for the following CID for TGbe LB266:

12033

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document
* Rev 1: Minor editorial changes

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

***TGbe editor: The baseline for this document is 11be D2.0.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 12033 | 3.2 | 52.48 | Any reason why capital letters are used in "Multi-Link" for "Multi-Link probe request" and "Multi-Link probe response" while they arent for MLO, MLD ... for consistency I would remove the capital letters "multi-link probe request" and "multi-link probe response" | As in comment. | Revised.  Agree with the commenter.  Since capital letters are used when referring to the name of elements and frames, and lower case letters are used otherwise, here, “Multi-Link probe request” and “Multi-Link probe response” are not the exact name of the frames which should be “Multi-Link Probe Request frame” and “Multi-Link Probe Response frame”, for consistency, the capital letters are removed from these two phrases.  Similar cases are found throughout the specification. Suggest to use “Multi-Link Probe Request frame” or “multi-link probe request” whenever no frame is mentioned.  **Instruction to the editor**, ***please make the following changes with the CID tag 12033 and change all other “Multi-Link probe request” and “Multi-Link probe response” in the specification to the lower case whenever no frame is mentioned (doc.: IEEE 802.11-22/1320r1).*** |

**Proposed Text Change:**

**TGbe editor**: ***at P461 of IEEE P802.11be™/D2.0,*** ***please make the following changes in 35.3.16.8.3 AP assisted medium synchronization recovery procedure*** (CID 12033)

**multi-link probe request:** A Probe Request frame that is transmitted by a station (STA) affiliated with a non-access point (non-AP) multi-link device (MLD) carrying Probe Request Multi-Link element to solicit information of one or more APs affiliated with an AP MLD as defined in 35.3.4.2 (Use of Multi-Link probe request and response).

**multi-link probe response:** A Probe Response frame transmitted by an access point (AP) affiliated with an AP multi-link device (MLD) carrying Basic Multi-Link element in response to a Multi-Link probe request to provide complete profile or requested information of one or more APs affiliated with an AP MLD as defined in 35.3.4.2 (Use of Multi-Link probe request and response).