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Discussion:

Related paragraph in 11be Draft 2.0

The Block Ack Bitmap subfield of the BA Information field of the Compressed BlockAck frame is used to indicate the received status of up to

—64 MSDUs and/or A-MSDUs for a non-HE STA;

—256 MSDUs and/or A-MSDUs for a HE STA (see 26.4.3 (Negotiation of block ack bitmap lengths));

—1024 MSDUs and/or A-MSDUs for an EHT STA (see 35.3.8 (Block ack procedures in Multi-link operation)).
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