IEEE P802.11
Wireless LANs

|  |
| --- |
| CR for Misc CIDs |
| Date: 2022-07-21 |
| Author(s): |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | email |
| Xiaofei Wang | InterDigital Inc. | 111 West 33rd StreetSuite 1420New York, NY, USA | +1-607-592-2727 | Xiaofei.wang@interdigital.com |
| Rui Yang |  |  |

Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the CIDs 10211 10992 11528 11922 12077 12611 12612 12613 12974 13530 13711.

The baseline for this comment resolution document is 802.11be Draft 2.0.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause Number(C)** | **Page(C)** | **Line(C)** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 10211 | John Wullert | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | Text uses the word "of" when it should be "if" | Revise to "In this subclause, a STA is NSTR limited if all of the following conditions are true:" |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 10992 | Yanjun Sun | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | Typo needs to be fixed by replacing "of all of the following conditions are true" with "if all of the following conditions are true" | As in comment |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 11528 | Xiaofei Wang | 10.3.2 | 280 | 11 | "of" should be "if" | as in comment |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 12077 | Gabor Bajko | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | change 'of' to 'if' | as in comment |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 11922 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 38 | These entries (amended paragraphs, fourth and fifth) seem to imply that VHT STAs may be part of an NSTR limited device. Is this the intention? Please clarify. Otherwise simply remove these changed to these two paragrapsh since the EHT case is covered in the subsequently added paragraphs. | As in comment. | Revised: agree with the comment. Even if an EHT STA is affiliated with a MLD can function as a VHT STA, its behavior when receiving a RTS is already covered by the paragraphs from P281L26 – P281L65. The same is true for paragraph 9. Delete the shifted paragraph 4, 5 and 9.TGbe editor: please incorporate the changes indicated in 11-22/1171r0 under tag 11922. |
| 12611 | Arik Klein | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | typo: replace "of" with "if" in the following sentence: "In this subclause, a STA is NSTR limited \*of\* all of the following conditions are true" | The sentence shall be revised as follows: "In this subclause, a STA is NSTR limited \*if\* all of the following conditions are true" |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 12612 | Arik Klein | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 17 | Need to clarify in the third condition that the TXOP Holder / TXOP Responder can be any STA affiliated with the same MLD (as the current STA) | Rephrase the 3rd condition as follows:"\*Any STA affiliated with the same MLD\* is a TXOP holder or TXOP responder on one of the other links..." |  Revised: agree with the comment that “a STA” may not be sufficiently clear. changed “a STA of the MLD” to “another STA affiliated with the same MLD”.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes shown in 11-22/1171r0 under tag 12612. |
| 12613 | Arik Klein | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 17 | Need to use a unified terminology along the TGbe spec, and replace "of" with "affiliated with" in the following sentence: "a STA of the MLD is a TXOP holder ..." | Please correct the sentence as follows: "a STA \*affiliated with\* the MLD is a TXOP holder ..." |  Revised: agree with the comment. changed “a STA of the MLD” to “another STA affiliated with the same MLD”.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes shown in 11-22/1171r0 under tag 12613. |
| 12974 | Chunyu Hu | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | "of" seems to be a typo for "if" | Change "of" to "if" |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |
| 13530 | Mark Hamilton | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 17 | "an affiliated STA of", or worse yet just "a STA of" are confusing alternatives to "a STA affiliated with". Be consisent. | Replace occurrences of "an affiliated STA of", and "a STA of" with "a STA affiliated with". |  Revised: there is no occurrence of “an affiliated STA of” at P280L17, though agree with the comment; changed “a STA of the MLD” to “another STA affiliated with the same MLD”. TGbe editor: please incorporate changes shown in 11-22/1171r0 under tag 12530.  |
| 13711 | Yunbo Li | 10.3.2.9 | 280 | 11 | "of all of the following conditions" --ã "when all of the following conditions" | Change "of all of the following conditions" to "when all of the following conditions" |  Revised: change “of” to “if” at P280L11.TGbe editor: please incorporate changes in 11-22/1171r0. |

***TGbe Editor: Please modify Subclause 10.3 (802.11be D2.0) as follows:***

**10.3 DCF**

**10.3.2 Procedures common to the DCF and EDCAF**

**10.3.2.9 CTS and DMG CTS procedure**

***Insert the following two paragraphs as the first and second paragraphs of the subclause:***

In this subclause, a STA is NSTR limited if all of the following conditions are true [#10221, 10992, 11528, 12077, 12611, 13711, 12974]:

—the STA is affiliated with an MLD that has at least one NSTR link pair

—the STA has received the RTS on a link that is a member of one or more of the MLD’s NSTR link pairs

—another STA affiliated with the same MLD is a TXOP holder or TXOP responder on one of the other links that is a member of at least one of the NSTR link pairs of which the link on which the RTS was received is a member [#12612, 12613, 13530]

If at least one of the above conditions is not true, then the STA is not NSTR limited.

 [#11922]