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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions of comments received from TGbe comment collection LB266 (TGbe D2.0):

* CIDs: 10965, 13216

Revisions:

* R0: Initial version of the document.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Commenter | Page.  Line | Clause Number | Comment | Proposed Change | Resolution |
| 10965 | Rui Yang | 55.53 | 4.3.16a | This part of the spec should include a statement for 20 MHz operating non-AP EHT STA. Since "Support For 242-tone RU In BW Wider Than 20 MHz" is an subfiled in PHY capability info field, supporting for participating in 160 MHz/320 MHz UL/DL OFDMA for an 20 MHz operating non-AP EHT STA should be optional. | Insert the following bullete after line 53: "Optional support for participating in 160 MHz/320 MHz UL/DL OFDMA for an 20 MHz operating non-AP EHT STA." | **Rejected.**  Agree that the support for large BW transmission for a 20 MHz operating non-AP EHT STA is optional.  But in this clause, only the key and main PHY features in an EHT STA that are not present in previous amendments are included. Such like totally new features and the support changed from optional to mandatory.  In 11ax, the optional support for 160 MHz UL/DL OFDMA for a 20 MHz operating non-AP STA is already covered. The only difference is the optional support for 320 MHz, which is also included in previous paragraph.  Based on all the above, the “Optional support for participating in 160 MHz/320 MHz UL/DL OFDMA for a 20 MHz operating non-AP EHT STA.” is not a key change from previous amendments, no need to be added in this subclause. |
| 13216 | Evgeny Khorov | 55.36 | 4.3.16a | 16x MU-MIMO is missing but was discussed as a candidate feature | Add the support of 16x MU-MIMO | **Rejected.**  The 16x MU-MIMO is a candidate feature, but there is no support in anywhere through D2.0 for 16x MU-MIMO. The maximal Nss supported in 11be D2.0 is still 8. |

**Discussion:** None.

**Propose:** None.