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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for the following CIDs for TGbe LB266:

14072

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document
* Rev 1: Make some changes for the proposed element
* Rev 2: Update the document based on the offline discussion

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

***TGbe editor: The baseline for this document is 11be D2.0.***

#

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 14072 | 35.9.2.1 Latency sensitive traffic differentiation | 511.12 | According to current specification it is difficult to differentiate the latency sensitive traffic especilly for the traffic identified with QoS Characteristics element. Because the latency Sensitive Traffic can be transferred during Restricted TWT periods for strict protection, some traffic with less-stringent requirements in terms of latency is treated as latency-sensitive traffic in advance and occupies the R-TWT periods, which is unfair for other EHT STAs which need to deliver the latency sensitive traffic latter. | The Latency Sensitive Traffic Criterion or differentiation is suggested to be specified. | RevisedR-TWT SPs are expected to be allocated by priority - e.g. by SCS delay bound, TID, etc - and can be allocated such that they are not shared with other STA - if the R-TWT slots are shared then AC-based access (triggered UL or EDCA) is used to differentiate.As the AP can know the CURRENT achievable KPIs (latency, MSDU Delivery Ratio, etc) it’s more useful to advertise the current KPIs and let the STA decide whether it should associate OR issue SCS and optionally use R-TWT SPs.Based on the above analyses a Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element is proposed to be defined and used to identify latency sensitive traffic as the currently supported and non-supported latency sensitive traffic.**Instruction to the editor**, ***please insert the paragraphs in 4.5.6.3 Support for predictable latency, and insert the new subclause at the end of subclause 9.4.2 Elements, as shown in this document (doc.: IEEE 802.11-22/1036r2).*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Discussion:**

This document proposes a mechanism for identifying currently supported or non-suported latency sensitive traffic with the KPIs of an advertised Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element including the Delay KPI and KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio.

The reasons for specifying the mechanism is described as follows:

1. 11be has currently specified latency sensitive traffic which has stringent requirements in terms of latency and its jitter along with certain reliability constraints, and latency bound and the reliability as the key KPIs of latency sensitive traffic. And the QoS Characteristics element includes a set of parameters to define the characteristics and QoS expectations of a traffic, among of which latency bound and MSDU Delivery Ratio correspond to the two key KPIs respectively.
2. The duration of r-TWT SPs or SCS SIs and the occurrence frequency of r-TWT SPs or SCS SIs should be controlled for the efficiency of the transmission of both LL traffic and non-LL traffic. The usage of the currently supportedKPIs provides a tool for AP and STA to plan the resource allocation including r-TWT SPs, SCS SIs and balance the protected delivery of LL traffic in UL TB PPDUs, r-TWT SPs and the unprotected transmission of other traffic outside of scheduled traffic.

**Proposed Text Change:**

**1. Proposed Text Change for “3.4 Abbreviations and acronyms”**

**TGbe editor**: ***please insert the following acronym definition:***

KPI Key Performance Indicator

**2. Proposed Text Change for “4.5.6.3 Support for predictable latency”**

**TGbe editor**: ***please*** insert the following ***pa***ragra***phs in 4.5.6.3 Support for predictable latency*** (CID 14072)

An EHT AP that has dot11ExtendedEstimatedServiceParametersImplemented equal to true may announce current support for latency sensitive traffic by containing a Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element in transmitted Beacon frames, Probe Response frames, and (Re)Association Response frames, and other management frames. A non-AP EHT STA identifies the support of its associated AP for latency sensitive traffic according to the thresholds indicated in the most recently received Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element. A traffic stream with a stringent requirement for predictable latency is identified as a supported latency sensitive traffic if the following conditions are met:

* The direction for the traffic stream is the same as the direction indicated in the Direction subfield of the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI Control field of Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element.
* The delay threshold for the traffic stream is more than or equal to the delay KPI indicated in the Delay KPI field of Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element.
* The MSDU delivery ratio for the traffic stream is less than or equal to the KPI for MSDU delivery ratio indicated in the KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field of Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element if present.

Otherwise it is not identified as a supported latency sensitive traffic.

**3. Proposed Text Change for “9.4.2 Elements”**

**TGbe editor**: ***please insert the following new subclause at the end of subclause 9.4.2 Elements*** (CID 14072)***:***

The format of the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element is defined in [Figure 9-xxx (Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element format)](#bookmark93). The frames carrying this element and usage of this element are described in 4.5.6.3 Support for predictable latency.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Element ID | Length | Element ID Extension | Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI Control | Delay KPI | KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio |

Octets: 1 1 1 1 4 0 or 1

**Figure 9-xxx—Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element format**

The Element ID, Length, and Element ID Extension fields are defined in [9.4.2.1 (General)](#bookmark71).

The format of the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI Control field is defined in [Figure 9-xxx (Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI Control field format )](#bookmark131).

B0 B1 B2 B3 B7

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Direction | KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio Presence Indicator | Reserved |

Bits: 2 1 5

**Figure 9-xxx—Latency Sensitive Traffic** KPI **Control field format**

The Direction subfield is defined in 9.4.2.316 (QoS Characteristics element).

The KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio Presence Indicator subfield indicates whether the KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field is present in the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element. A value of 1 in the KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio Presence Indicator subfield indicates that the KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field is present in the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element. Otherwise, the KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field is not present in the Latency Sensitive Traffic KPI element.

The Delay KPI field is 3 octets long and contains an unsigned integer that specifies the KPI for delay, measured between the time marking the arrival of the MSDU, or the first MSDU of the MSDUs constituting an A-MSDU, at the local MAC sublayer from the local MAC SAP and the time of completion of the suc-cessful transmission or retransmission of the MSDU or A-MSDU to the destination.

The KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field indicates the KPI for the percentage of MSDUs that are expected to be delivered within the delay KPI specified in the Delay KPI field and its encoding is defined in Table 9-xxx. The KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field is optional.

Table 9-xxx: KPI for MSDU Delivery Ratio field values

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Value** | **MSDU delivery ratio** |
| 0 | Not specified |
| 1 | 95% |
| 2 | 96% |
| 3 | 97% |
| 4 | 98% |
| 5 | 99% |
| 6 | 99.9% |
| 7 | 99.99% |
| 8 | 99.999% |
| 9 | 99.9999% |
| 10–15 | Reserved |

**4. Proposed Text Change for “****Annex C”**

**TGbe editor**: ***please insert dot11ExtendedEstimatedServiceParametersImplemented in the Dot11StationConfigEntry as follows:***

Dot11StationConfigEntry ::= SEQUENCE

{

…

(CID 14072) dot11ExtendedEstimatedServiceParametersImplemented TruthValue

 }

**TGbe editor**: ***please insert the following at the end of the dot11StationConfig TABLE:***

(CID 14072) dot11ExtendedEstimatedServiceParametersImplemented OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX TruthValue

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This is a capability variable.

Its value is determined by device capabilities.

This attribute, when true, indicates the ability of the EHT STA to support the extended estimated service Parameters. If the attribute is false, the EHT STA does not support the extended estimated service Parameters."

::= { StationConfigEntry <Last assigned + 1> }