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Abstract

Minutes for the Telecons for TGme (REVme) for June 2022

R0: June 3, 2022, Telecon minutes.

R1: June 6, 2022, Telecon minutes added

**Action Items:**
1.6.2.4 ACTION ITEM #1: Editors to review use of hyphen in "non-contiguous" appears both with and without a hyphen in D1.2.

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon –Friday, June 3, 2022, at 10:00-12:00 ET**
	1. **Called to order** 10:02 am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
		1. Introductions of other Officers present:
			1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
			2. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
			3. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
	2. **IMAT Reported attendance**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Ajami, Abdel Karim | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 2 | Coffey, John | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 3 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 4 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 5 | Handte, Thomas | Sony Group Corporation |
| 6 | Levy, Joseph | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 7 | Lumbatis, Kurt | CommScope, Inc. |
| 8 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 9 | Naik, Gaurang | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 10 | Patil, Abhishek | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 11 | Patwardhan, Gaurav | Hewlett Packard Enterprise |
| 12 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 13 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 14 | Thakore, Darshak | Cable Television Laboratories Inc. (CableLabs) |
| 15 | Wang, Xiaofei | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 16 | Xin, Yan | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |

* 1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy and Participation Policies.**
		1. **See slides 4-19 in** <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0593-00-0000-2nd-vice-chair-report-may-2022.pptx>
		2. No issues were noted.
	2. **Review agenda**:11-22/808r3:
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0808-03-000m-may-july-teleconference-agenda.docx>
		2. Comment resolution for today:
1. CID 1024, 1113 – doc 11-22/528 – (Abdel) Ajami (Qualcomm)
2. CID 2349 – doc 706 – Xin (Huawei)
3. CID 2345 – doc 11-22/707 – Wang (Interdigital)
4. CID 2347 – doc 11-22/775 – Wang (Interdigital)
5. CIDs 2353, 2362, 2363 – doc 11-22/691 – Fang (Mediatek)
	* 1. No objection to proposed agenda.
	1. **Review doc 11-22/528r1** - CID 1024, 1113 Abdel Karim AJAMI (Qualcomm)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0528-01-000m-resolution-for-cids-1024-and-1113.docx>
		2. CIDs 1024, 1113 (PHY):
			1. Review comment.
			2. Review discussion in submission.
			3. Change to “shall set to 0 or 1”.
			4. Discussion on AP operation in infrastructure mode – only operation possible.
				1. Noninfrastructure IEEE 802.11 network in which none of the Aps belongin to the same ESS operation on the channels identified by Channel Entry Field.
			5. Discussion on other minor changes that were made into R2.
			6. Some Editorials were captured in the chat window to be included in R2 as well.
				1. from [V] Mark RISON Samsung to everyone: 8:28 AM

"subfields values" -> subfields

"is not carried" -> is not present

"includes TWT element(s)" -> includes one or more TWT elements of the AP's

AP, that has -> no comma

"reffered" spelling

"does not have infrastructure BSS(s)" -> does not have any infrastructure BSSs

"Noninfrastructure" lowercase

Flow type subfield -> Flow Type subfield

", shall use the off-channel" -> no comma

"off-channel" -- should this have a hyphen?

set to 2 if -> and what if not?

"set to zero" -> set to 0

"Off-channel TWT Scheduling" -- lowercase

Element -> element

"field value" -> field

* + - 1. Proposed Resolution: CIDs 1024, 1113 (PHY): Revised. Incorporate the changes in 11-22/0528r2.
			2. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion.
	1. **Review doc 11-22/706r1 -** CID 2349 - Yan XIN (Huawei)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0706-01-000m-lb258-resolution-for-cid-2349.docx>
		2. CID 2349 (PHY):
			1. Review Comment.
			2. Review discussion in submission.
			3. Minor changes made to create R2.
			4. ACTION ITEM #1: Editors to review use of hyphen in "non-contiguous" appears both with and without a hyphen in D1.2.
			5. CID 2349 (PHY): Revised. Incorporate the changes in 11-22/0706r2. NOTE TO EDITOR: "non-contiguous" appears both with and without a hyphen in D1.2.
	2. **Review doc 11-22/775r0** CID 2347 - Xiaofei WANG (InterDigital)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0775-00-000m-cr-for-cid-2347.docx>
		2. CID 2347 (SEC)
			1. Review comment.
			2. Review discussion in submission.
			3. Discussion on if mandatory or optional or not.
			4. CID 2347 (SEC): Direction to list the individual types, with "M" and "O" as appropriate.
			5. Will bring back. Will send email to reflector to solicit more feedback.
	3. **Review doc 11-22/707r0** – CID 2345 - Xiaofei WANG (InterDigital)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0707-00-000m-cr-for-cid-2345.docx>
		2. CID 2345 (MAC)
			1. Review comment.
			2. Review discussion in submission.
			3. Proposed Resolution: CID 2345 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2022-06-03 14:52:19Z): Incorporate the changes in 11-22/0707r1 ( <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0707-01-000m-cr-for-cid-2345.docx>), which clarifies the first BFRP transmission.
			4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
	4. **Review Doc 11-22/691r0** - CIDs 2353, 2362, 2363 - Yonggang FANG (MediaTek)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0691-00-000m-resolution-for-2353-2362-2363.docx>
		2. CID 2353, 2362, 2363 (GEN)
			1. Review Comments
			2. Review proposed resolution changes in submission.
			3. Discussion on the latter two CIDs first.
			4. Does the SME need to know the information? Is it not all in the MAC only?
			5. Discussion on purpose of “Dialog Token”. Request to remove it.
			6. Minor editorials were shared in the WebEx chat window.
			7. Discussion on which address can be used – Individual and Broadcast.
			8. Discussion on the values being passed in the primitive.
			9. More work may be needed to resolve the CIDs.
			10. CIDs 2362, 2363 (GEN): More work needed. Take to reflector.
			11. For CID 2353; see p224.9 the Definition is there.
			12. Proposed Resolution CID 2352: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-03 15:31:43Z) Definition is included already on p223.18 (d1.0)
			13. No Objection – Mark Ready for motion.
	5. **CID 2359 (GEN)**
		1. Added to agenda without objection.
		2. CID 2359 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Discussion on what the justification for deletion.
			3. Proposed Resolution: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-03 15:42:48Z) Commentor has withdrawn the comment.
			4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
	6. **MAC Review CIDs** – Mark HAMILTON (Comscope/Ruckus)
		1. No objection to change agenda.
		2. See doc: 11-21/793r19:
			1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0793-19-000m-revme-mac-comments.xls>
		3. CID 1491 (MAC)
			1. Review Comment.
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Proposed Resolution: Accepted
			4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
			5. CID 1599 (MAC)
			6. Review Comment
			7. Review proposed change.
			8. Proposed Resolution: Accepted
			9. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
			10. Discussion on if the wording to indicate capability is correct. OCVC capability is used other locations and we do not use OCVC AP.
		4. CID 1663 (MAC)
			1. Review comment
			2. Review proposed change and context.
			3. Discussion on conditions that may be affected by the deletion.
			4. Maybe 11.8.8.4.4 should say that Extended Channel Switch Announcement must be used to do this. Check with Mesh SME.
			5. Mark Submission Required.
		5. CID 1767 (MAC)
			1. Review Comment.
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Proposed Resolution: Accepted
			4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
		6. CID 2384 (MAC)
			1. Review comment
			2. Review proposed change and context.
			3. Proposed Resolution: CID 2384 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2022-06-03 15:59:10Z): Move this paragraph to 10.3.2.4, between the existing first and second paragraphs. Note to commenter, there is already a reference to 10.3.2.4 just prior to the cited location.
			4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
	7. **Adjourned 12:00pm ET**
1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon –Monday, June 6, 2022, at 10:00-12:00 ET**
	1. **Called to order** 10:02 am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
		1. Introductions of other Officers present:
			1. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
	2. **IMAT Reported attendance**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Lu, Liuming | Guangdong OPPO Mobile Telecommunications Corp.,Ltd |
| 2 | McCann, Stephen | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 3 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 4 | Petrick, Albert | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 5 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 6 | Wei, Dong | NXP Semiconductors |

* 1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy and Participation Policies.**
		1. **See slides 4-19 in** <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0593-00-0000-2nd-vice-chair-report-may-2022.pptx>
		2. No issues were noted.
	2. **Review agenda**:11-22/808r6:
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0808-06-000m-may-july-teleconference-agenda.docx>
		2. Comment resolution:
1. CIDs 1231, 2310 – doc 11-22/765 – McCann (Huawei)
2. GEN CIDs – doc 11-22/839 – Montemurro (Huawei)
3. GEN Review CIDs – Rosdahl (Qualcomm)
	* 1. No objection to proposed Agenda.
	1. **Editor report** – Emily QI/Edward AU
		1. Not Present – No Report.
	2. **Review doc 11-22/765r1** – CIDs 1231, 2310 – Stephen MCCANN (Huawei)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0765-01-000m-comment-resolution-for-cids-1231-2310.docx>
		2. CID 1231 (GEN) and CID 2310 (MAC)
			1. Review comment
			2. Review Proposed Changes
			3. Review discussion.
			4. CID 2310 (MAC) was pulled from motion in May.
			5. Proposed Resolution: CID 1231 (GEN) and CID 2310 (MAC) REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:17:23Z) – Incorporate the changes in 11-22/765r2:

<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0765-02-000m-comment-resolution-for-cids-1231-2310.docx>

* + 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
	1. **Assigned Chair to Chair Pro-Tem to Stephen MCCANN**
	2. **Review doc 11-22/839- GEN CIDs –** Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei)
		1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0839-00-000m-tgme-lb258-misc-gen-comment-resolutions.docx>
		2. CID 1039 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Commentor has withdrawn comment
			3. Proposed Resolution: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:22:05Z) Commenter has withdrawn the comment
			4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
		3. CID 1281, 2255, 1869 (GEN)
			1. Review Comments
			2. 11ax and 11ay both added a definition for SISO.
			3. Review MIMO definition
			4. Proposed Resolution: CID 2255: ACCEPTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:25:18Z)
			5. Proposed Resolution: CID 1281 and 1869: REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:27:14Z). Remove the "SISO" definition at 202.60. Remove the "(SISO)" on P4318L32. Note to editor. The resolution to this CID is the same as CID 2255.
			6. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		4. CID 1379 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Proposed Resolution: ACCEPTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:28:35Z).
			3. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		5. CID 1389 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Proposed Resolution: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:32:00Z) Multicast traffic does pass through the controlled port and requires a negotiated GTKSA. The note is neither correct nor required
			5. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		6. CID 1432 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Proposed Resolution: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:33:44Z). The convention used in this specification is consistent with the IEEE Standards Style Manual, see <https://mentor.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/draft/styleman.pdf>
			5. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		7. CID 1657 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Review Context on page 274
			5. Proposed Resolution: REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:36:36Z) The proposed changes are already included in other parts of the specification. See clauses 11.20.1 and 4.3.24. No changes are required at the cited location.
			6. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		8. CID 1661 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Review context on page 490
			5. Proposed Resolution: ACCEPTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:38:50Z)
			6. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		9. CID 1739 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Proposed Resolution: REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:41:53Z) Change the definition of direct link to cover an IBSS.

At the cited location, change

“direct link: A bidirectional link from one quality-of-service (QoS) station (STA) to another QoS STA operating in the same infrastructure QoS basic service set (BSS) that does not pass through a QoS access point (AP).”

to

“direct link: A bidirectional link from one quality-of-service (QoS) station (STA) to another QoS STA operating in the same QoS independent basic service set (IBSS), or infrastructure QoS basic service set (BSS) that does not pass through a QoS access point (AP).”

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		1. CID 2212 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Proposed Resolution:
			5. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		2. CID 2213 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. Proposed Resolution: REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 14:45:58Z)

The “specific context” in the definition of the trigger frame is not required.

At cited location, change:

“trigger frame: A frame type or a frame transmission occurrence within a specific context, intended to solicit the peer entity into a responding action.

to

“trigger frame: A frame type or a frame transmission intended to solicit the peer entity into a responding action.”

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark ready for Motion
		1. CID 1083 (GEN)
			1. Review Comment
			2. Review proposed change.
			3. Review discussion in submission.
			4. See Table 12-8 for context.
			5. From the Submission Discussion:
* Note that this proposed change is implemented in a more comprehensive contribution: <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/18/11-18-0652-01-000m-resolution-for-wep-tkip-removal-cids.docx> that proposed to remove all of WEP and TKIP.
* This CID should be resolved along with other CIDs that propose to remove WEP and TKIP.
* Removal of TKIP and WEP were considered in REVmd and the comments were resolved with REJECTED (PHY: 2019-03-12 21:07:33Z)

“The task group discussed removal of WEP and/or TKIP from the standard and decided to not change the standard based on strawpolls in the direction for the resolution. The strawpolls were held during the Warsaw meeting (2018-05-08) and the option to keep WEP and TKIP text as-is received most support. See https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/18/11-18-0616-00-000m-minutes-revmd-may-2018-warsaw.docx”

* + - 1. More work will need to be done on the group CIDs.
	1. **Return Chair to Michael MONTEMURRO**
		1. **Thank you to Stephen MCCANN for taking minutes the rest of the telecon.**
	2. **GEN Review CIDs** – Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
		1. See doc 11-22/67r11
		2. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/22/11-22-0067-11-000m-gen-adhoc-revme-wg-lb258-comments.xlsx>
		3. CID 2188 (GEN)
			1. Stephen McCann to revisit email exchange with Menzo Wentink.
		4. CID 1060 (GEN)
			1. The proposed resolution is ACCEPTED.
			2. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		5. CID 2236 (GEN)
			1. The proposed resolution is ACCEPTED.

Note to Editor - formatting corrected:

The FCC adopted on 12 December 2019 a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to make the 5.9 GHz band’s lower 45 MHz available for unlicensed operations.

TO

"The FCC adopted on 18 November 2020 a First Report and Order to make the 5.9 GHz band's

lower 45 MHz available for unlicensed operations."

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		1. CID 2235 (GEN)
			1. The proposed resolution is ACCEPTED.
			2. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		2. CID 2052 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. Q: How often are these terms (e.g. 2G4) actually used in the standard?
			2. A: Not often, but they are used.
			3. C: I think this comment should be rejected. Any change should occur when the regulatory bodies change their rules. For now, it’s ok.
			4. The proposed resolution is:

“REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 15:08:34Z) The comment indicates that things may be "brittle", but until the regulation changes or a problem is specifically identified, no change should be made at this time.”

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		1. CID 1752 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. C: I’m not sure if we can do option 1.
			2. C: There are some other CID resolutions which also affect this comment.
			3. C: It should either be rejected or marked “submission required”.
			4. C: Option 2 would be ok.
			5. The proposed resolution is:

REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 15:17:19Z) Change cited definition to "peer-to-peer link: A station-to-station (STA-to-STA) link between tunnelled direct-link setup (TDLS) peer STAs in an infrastructure basic service set (BSS) or between STAs in an independent basic service set (IBSS)."

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		1. CID 1355 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. C: There are other resolved CIDs that can be used here.
			2. The proposed resolution is:
			3. REVISED (GEN: 2022-06-06 15:19:49Z) ) Change the definition of direct link to cover an IBSS.At the cited location, change“direct link: A bidirectional link from one quality-of-service (QoS) station (STA) to another QoS STA operating in the same infrastructure QoS basic service set (BSS) that does not pass through a QoS access point (AP).”to “direct link: A bidirectional link from one quality-of-service (QoS) station (STA) to another QoS STA operating in the same QoS independent basic service set (IBSS), or infrastructure QoS basic service set (BSS) that does not pass through a QoS access point (AP).”Note to Editor: This is the same resolution as CID 1739.
			4. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		2. CID 1864 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. Chair: Perhaps Youhan KIM can assist with this one.
			2. Assign to Youhan KIM – Mark CID as Discuss
		3. CID 1870 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. C: There is a proposed change to the grammar of the sentence.
			2. C: The addition of the words “set” is not very clear. The original definition is ok.
			3. The proposed resolution is:
			4. “REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 15:30:14Z) - The existing definition is not incorrect, and the proposed change does not address the commenters stated concern. The TG discussed the issue and no change was deemed necessary to address the concern. This is the generic definition of MIMO. Note that "the set of receivers" is ambiguous and does not add value to the definition.”
			5. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		4. CID 1886 (GEN Clause 3 - Discuss)
			1. C: I think we can add a forward reference to the definition.
			2. The proposed resolution is:
			3. “REVISED. Add a reference to the description of self-protected action frames as part of the definition. At the end of the cited definition, add "See 9.6.15.1."
			4. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		5. CID 2074 (GEN Discuss direction)
			1. The proposed resolution is:
			2. “REJECTED (GEN: 2022-06-06 15:50:53Z) The cited paragraph provides a convention in the standard for requests that implicitly indicate the specific type of frame to be used. The cited text cannot be removed without rewriting other parts of the standard.”
			3. No Objection – Mark CID Ready for Motion.
		6. CID 2005 (GEN Discuss direction)
			1. C: I think the best resolution will be reject, although we don’t have enough time today.
			2. *Post meeting, the following options were conveyed in email capturing alternative ideas proposed during the telecon.:*

Two alternative Proposals to Review:

1. REJECTED. The issue proposed in the comment is addressed by the text in 1.4 on page 182, lines 47-55. The convention for "<x> is" would equally apply to "<x> is not" and the usage of "is present" text does not need to be included in the "word usage" sub-clause since "is present" is not ambiguous

--- Alternatively, we could add an additional bullet to the paragraph at 182.47.

1. REVISED. Add a sentence to the existing text to cover the "is not" case. The usage of "is present" text does not need to be included in the "word usage" sub-clause since "is present" is not ambiguous.

At 182.51, insert the following bullet after the first bullet:

"if “<x> is not” is used in a context that relates to the testing or setting the value of “<x>” this usage is to be interpreted as though written “the value of <x> is not”

* 1. **Adjourned at 12:00pm ET**
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