IEEE P802.11  
Wireless LANs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Resolutions to Editorial Comments Part 3 | | | | |
| Date: 2022-05-11 | | | | |
| Author(s): | | | | |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | email |
| Yujin Noh | Senscomm |  |  | yujin.noh at senscomm.com |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Abstract

This submission shows


* Comments from TGbd draft 4.0.
* Resolutions applied to TGbd draft 4.0.
* 9 CIDs:  
  5051, 5026, 5017, 5014, 5034, 5013, 5063, 5061, 5059

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.


|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5051 | 118.37 | Change "space-time stream" to "spatial streams." | As in comment | Accepted. |

***Discussion***




|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5026 | 122.41 | To follow other amendment, suggest to move 32.3.15 (NGV ranging NDP) forward and before "Transmit specification". | as in the comment. | Accepted. |

***Discussion***



|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5017 | 80.62 | "per NGV-LTF symbols" should be "per NGV-LTF symbol". | As in comment | Accepted. |


***Discussion***




|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5014 | 82.44 | BPSK-DCM is one of the modulation type, but not DCM. | Change DCM to BPSK-DCM. Other places in the document should be changed as well. | Revised.  To TGbd editor:  Change DCM to BPSK-DCM at P82L44.  Change “dualcarrier  modulation (DCM)” to “BPSK- dualcarrier modulation (DCM)” at P69L22 |
| 5013 | 86.64 | Change DCM to BPSK-DCM, which is one of the modulation type. | Delete the first sentence and change the second sentence to "The use of BPSK-DCM on the Data field of an NGV PPDU is indicated…" | Accepted |

***Discussion***


At P82L44,



At P69L22,


At P86L64,






|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5034 | 84.62 | In step a), a space is missing between "LTF\_REP" and "Add". | as in the comment. | Accepted |

***Discussion***




|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5063 | 96.60 | Make a space immediately following the 2nd period on this line. | As in comment | Accepted. |

***Discussion***



|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5061 | 104.34 | It would be more clear for dk,m,n in equation (32-29) by adding "out of constellation mapping" immediately following "The streams of complex numbers." | As in comment | Accepted |

***Discussion***



|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5059 | 107.12 | Suggest unifying the style of nth (L12 & L13) and M-th (L50) on this page. | As in comment | Revised.  Agreed in principle.  TGbd Editor:  Change *n^th* to *n*-th at P107L12 and P107L13 |

***Discussion***



