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Abstract

This document contains the minutes for the IEEE 802.11bi task group meeting that took place on 2 March 2022 at 09:00 ET.

Note: Highlighted text are action items.

Q – proceeds a question

A - proceeds an answer

C - proceeds a comment

Yellow highlight - action point

**Chair: Carol Ansley, Cox Communications**

**Secretary: Amelia Andersdotter, Sky UK**

**Vice-chairs: Jerome Henri, Cisco; Stephen McCann, Huawei**

**Technical editor: Po-Kai Huang, Intel**

Chair calls meeting to order at 09:02 ET.

Agenda slide deck: 11-22-300r4:

1. Reminder to do attendance
2. Review of policies and procedures.
3. The chair mentioned the call for essential patents
	1. No one responded to the call for essential patents
4. The chair covered the IEEE copyright and participation rules.
	1. No questions
5. **Discussion of agenda 11-22-300r4 (slide #16)**
	1. Adoption of agenda 11-22-300r4 slide #16 as amended by unanimous consent.
6. **Administration**
	1. Reminder of plenary slots.
	2. Question on whether physical Warsaw Interim meeting in May. TG strawpoll necessary to assess number of participants?
	3. Wireless Chairs will be meeting later today to discuss this topic. There will also be working group level polls at the virtual Plenary meeting.
7. **Presentations:**
	1. **Initial Privacy Enhancement Requirements (11-22-107r2), Philip Hawkes (Qualcomm)**

For previous discussion on an earlier version of this document, see January Interim minutes contained in document 11-22-164r1.

**Discussion:**

Q: The CPE requirements look reasonable to me. I have a presentation on something similar and I agree fully with some of the stuff and other stuff looks, well, also reasonable. What I'm wondering is if the BPE, at least some requirements, aren't already covered by CPE? Also I think some of the BPE things are covered by the mobile AP considerations and that this would be a better starting point?
A: So we have the mobile AP requirements, it's true, but we tried when developing these proposals to make sure that they could be used more generally and not just the mobile AP. Bsaically the vendor would have larger flexibilities, and the certification bodies would have more flexibilities, to decide which of these features are adopted. To me, some of the mobile AP use-cases are covered by these requirement proposals, but let's say you put a normal AP in a mobile home or caravan or similar place, you might want more general proposals.
Q: In my opinion the AP in a car would also be mobile but sure.
Q: All of these requirements seem like a good list but the challenge seem like they don't all have the same benefit. Should we specify solutions even to the ones which aren't necessarily equally beneficial? Moving forward we neeed to consider complexity trade-offs too, to weed down which ones are the most important to address.
A: Very valid point.
C: I think the CPE/BPE split you present of the requirements provide a good structure for our requirements discussion. The different levels and ambitions with privacy protection too. I'd like to chime in my support for this direction of our future discussion.
Chair: The queue is finished, so I'd like to move on.

1. Preparations for plenary meeting March 2022.
	1. Chair: we have one planned brainstorming session for next week.
	2. Presentations:
		1. Revised version of Proposed 11bi requirements (11-22-109) Po-Kai Huang (Intel) (see meeting minutes from January interim 11-22-164r1)
		2. Revised version of Enhanced randomized and changing MAC addresses (11-22-114), Stephane Baron (Canon) (see meeting minutes from January interim 11-22-164r1)
		3. Planned submission from Duncan Ho (Qualcomm) on
		4. Continued discussion on Initial Privacy Enhancement Requirements (11-22-107r2) if necessary (see above, point 7.1).
2. AoB
	1. No other business.
3. Chair adjourned the meeting at 09:58 ET.
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