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### Overview

This document tracks Requirements against Issues and Use Cases for TGbi.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R0 | Initial Draft for Discussion |
| R1 | Update after discussion |
| R2 | Update after discussion, including process addition and examples |
| R3 | Adding first round of Requirements from 22/107r2 and 22/109r2 |
| R4 | Updating with Use Case/Issue list, and other items from Plenary discussions |

# Requirements

The following table summarizes the requirements for TGbi.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Requirement** | **Issue / Use Case Reference** | **Status** | **Information** |
| 1 | 11bi shall define a mechanism to prevent an eavesdropper distinguishing whether authentication exchanges between CPE Clients and CPE AP use identical **SAE credentials** or distinct SAE credentials (where a CPE AP supports multiple SAE credentials). | I1, I5 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 2 | 11bi shall define a mechanism to prevent an eavesdropper distinguishing whether reassociation exchanges between CPE Clients and CPE APs use identical **PMK** or distinct PMK | I1, I5 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 3 | 11bi shall define a minimal set of Elements for transmission by a CPE Client in **a probe request** prior to authentication. | I2 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 4 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP **to establish keys from an Authentication exchange** which can then be used to protect the (Re)Association Request/Response. | I2 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 5 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP **to** **protect the (Re)Association Request/Response**. | I2 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 6 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client **to change its own OTA MAC Address** when reassociating from a CPE AP to another CPE AP | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 7 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client to initiate **changing** **its own OTA MAC Address** used with a CPE AP in Associate STA State 4 without any loss of connection. | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 8 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE AP to initiate **changing the OTA MAC Addresses of all associated CPE Client’s** in the BSS (those CPE Clients in Associate STA State 4) simultaneously without any loss of connection | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 9 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP **to change the transmitted SN** to an uncorrelated new value on downlink and uplink to new values in Associate STA State 4, without any loss of connection. | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 10 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP **to change the transmitted PN** to an uncorrelated new value on downlink and uplink to new values in Associate STA State 4, without any loss of connection. | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 11 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP **to change the CPE Client’s AID** to an uncorrelated new value in Associate STA State 4, without any loss of connection. | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 12 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a CPE Client and CPE AP to establish the CPE Client’s DS MAC Address without the CPE Client’s DS MAC Address being transmitted in the clear. | I3 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 13 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for CPE Clients and CPE APs to transmit and receive the CPE Client’s DS MAC Address in SA and DA in protected form on both the downlink and uplink. | I4 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 14 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for CPE Clients and CPE APs to transmit and receive other DS MAC Addresses in SA and DA in protected form on both the downlink and uplink. | I4 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 15 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a BPE Client to determine which of the BPE Client’s configured networks a BPE AP belongs to (if any), while providing some mitigation against an eavesdropper easily identifying the ESS of the BPE AP. | I6 | Proposed | Proposed -22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 16 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for the BPE AP to refrain from transmitting Beacon frames containing elements except TBD element(s). | I2, I6 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 17 | BPE AP may change its BSSID while there are no Clients associated. | I6/I7 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 18 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a BPE AP to facilitate changing its BSSID while there are Clients associated, without disrupting the connectivity from the Clients. | I6/I7 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 19 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for a BPE Client and BPE AP to establish the BPE AP’s DS MAC Address without the CPE AP’s DS MAC Address being transmitted in the clear. *This will likely be the same mechanism as used in Req 12.* | I6 | Proposed | Proposed - 22/107r2  (9 March 2022) |
| 20 | 11bi shall define a mechanism for the 11bi non-AP STA to refrain from transmitting Probe Request frames containing elements except TBD element(s) | I2 | Proposed | Proposed – 22/109r2  (10 March 2022; SP Y15, N7, A14) |
| 21 | 11bi shall define a mechanism to protect the Frame Body field of the (Re)Association Request frame | I2 | Proposed | Proposed – 22/109r2 (10 March 2022) |
| 22 | 11bi shall define a mechanism to protect the Frame Body field of the (Re)Association Response frame | I2 | Proposed | Proposed – 22/109r2 (10 March 2022) |
| 23 | The mechanism defined in 11bi to protect the Frame Body field of the (Re)Association Request/Response frame shall use existing cryptographic encapsulation mechanisms (e.g. GCMP 256) in 802.11 specification | I2 | Proposed | Proposed– 22/109r2 (10 March 2022) |
| 24 | 11bi shall define a private MAC address that is used by the 11bi non-AP STA or 11bi non-AP MLD for the DS and can be different for different ESS. (details in 22/109r2) | I3 | Proposed | Proposed – 22/109r2 (10 March 2022) |
| 25 | 11bi shall define a mechanism to carry the private MAC address of 11bi non-AP STA or 11bi non-AP MLD in protected (Re)Association Request frame | I3 | Proposed | Proposed – 22/109r2 (10 March 2022) |

# References:

The following table contains relevant reference information including:

1. Motioned Use cases/Issues document
2. Minutes
3. Presentations

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **References** | **Relevant Requirement(s)**  **(optional)** |
| 1 | **11-21-641r7 Proposed Issues** | R1-19 |
| 2 | **11-21-1848r2 Requirements Document** | R1-19 |
| 3 | **11-21-0109r1 Proposed 11bi Requirements** | R1-19 |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |

# List of Use Cases and Issues:

The following table contains a list of use cases and issues from the latest motioned Use cases/Issues document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Issues/Use Cases** |
| I1 | **Protecting password identifiers** |
| I2 | **Avoid element fingerprint** |
| I3 | **STA MAC address persistence within an ESS** |
| I4 | **Tracking SA and DA OTA** |
| I5 | **Protecting authentication identifiers and key identifiers** |
| I6 | **Mobile AP privacy** |
| I7 | **Protecting behavioral figerprinting while associated** |
| I8 | **PHY/RF related privacy** |
| U1 | **Smart home environment** |
| U2 | **Remote stalking in public places** |
| U3 | **Avoid fingerprinting from SSID elements or SSID list elements in probe requests** |

# Requirements Tracking Document Process:

Proposed Requirements from Submissions:

1. A submission can propose requirements related to one or more issues or use cases from the Use Case Document (21/641).
2. A presenter may ask for one or more straw polls on a requirement to determine the level of consensus on that requirement.
3. A presenter may ask for a requirement that has been presented to the group to be added to the Requirements Table as a proposed requirement.
   1. No specific straw poll results or other indicators are required for a requirement to be added to the Requirements table.
   2. A presenter may choose to work further on a requirement instead of asking for it to be added to the Requirements Tracking document.

Brainstorming for Requirements

1. Chair may dedicate one or more meetings to review existing requirements and lead brainstorming for additional requirements, if needed

Conversion of Proposed Requirements to Approved Requirements

1. A presenter may initiate a motion to approve one or more proposed requirements.
   1. The approval metric is the standard Y>=.75(Y+N).
2. With notice, the chair may dedicate one or more meetings to review existing requirements and motion one or more proposed requirements for approval.
3. If a motion to approve a requirement fails, the status of that requirement is updated to Failed in the table.

Examples:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Requirement** | **Issue / Use case Reference** | **Status** | **Information** |
| 1 | STA may make a loud noise when unassociated. | I-1,2,5 | Proposed | **Proposed**  (12 Nov 2021; SP Y4 ,N16) |
| 2 | STA may play music while associated. | I-1,2,5  U-3 | Approved | Proposed  (14 Nov 2021; SP Y13, N2)  **Approved** (Motion #12, 14 May 2022) |
| 3 | STA must make a loud noise when unassociated. | I-2 | Failed | Proposed  (14 Nov 2021; SP Y13, N2)  **Failed** (Motion #1, 14 May 2022) |